

CONSOLIDATED INDEX VOLUMES 1–4

- ACICA Arbitration Rules
 - evidence, compulsion of, 2.38–2.39
 - features (notable) of, 2.90–2.92
 - note on, 2.89–2.92
 - philosophies underlying, 2.90
- ACICA Model Arbitration Clause, 2.92
- Agreement (arbitration). *See* Arbitration agreement
- Alternative dispute resolution (Indonesia)
 - stakeholder engagement, and, 3.187–3.213
- Amicus curiae*
 - acceptability of submissions
 - extent to which process rendered more transparent, 3.181–3.185
 - negative consequences of, 3.185
 - requirements for, 3.179–3.181
 - intervention of
 - suitability in investor-state dispute resolution, 3.178–3.181
 - purpose of, 3.185
 - role of
 - in investor-state dispute resolution, 3.178–3.181
- Arbitral jurisdiction
 - determination of
 - UNCITRAL Model Law, Art 16, 3.128
- Arbitral tribunal
 - jurisdiction of
 - investment treaty arbitrations, in, 3.14–3.58
 - power to grant interim measures of protection under the Model Law, 2.5–2.17
- Arbitration agreement
 - separability of
 - application of doctrine in China, 3.163–3.175
 - judicial recognition in China, 3.169–3.175
 - party autonomy, and, 3.166–3.175
- Arbitrator
 - amiable compositeur*, 2.158–2.159
 - Arbitrator (continued)*
 - power of
 - contract adaptation 2.158–2.162. *See also* petroleum contracts, third party adaptation of
- ASEAN
 - ASEAN Agreement for the Promotion and Protection of Investments, 1.23–1.25
 - features of, 1.23–1.25
 - goals of, 1.23
 - Framework Agreement for the ASEAN Investment Area, 1.25
- Asia
 - International Commercial Arbitration in Asia* (2nd Ed), 2.192–2.193
- Asia-Pacific
 - evidence, compulsion of (international commercial arbitration), 2.32–2.59
- Australia
 - Anaconda Operations Pty Ltd v Fluor Australia Pty Ltd* 2.163–2.178
 - finding of court 2.166–2.167
 - judicial treatment and interpretation
 - of arbitral award, analysis of 2.167–2.175: ambiguity in arbitral award 2.168–2.169; court discretion in granting leave to appeal 2.167; court’s familiarity with international arbitral procedure 2.171–2.172; recognition of tribunal’s experience 2.169–2.171; remission for clarification 2.172–2.175
 - lessons to be learnt 2.175–2.178
 - court intervention
 - Commercial Arbitration Act 1984 (Vic) 2.164–2.178
 - review of arbitral awards 2.163–2.178
 - evidence from third parties, compulsion of, 2.40–2.47
 - International Arbitration Act 1974 (Cth)
 - finality of award, impact on, 2.176–2.177

- Australia (*continued*)
 International Arbitration Act 1974 (Cth) (*continued*)
 UNCITRAL Model Law, implementation of 2.176–2.177
 legislative regime, 2.41–2.47
 Uniform Commercial Arbitration Acts
 review of arbitral awards, scope for 2.176–2.177
- Australian Centre for International Commercial Arbitration. *See* ACICA
- Awards
 cancellation in China, grounds for, 4.84–4.86, 4.90. *See also* non-enforcement in China *below*
 domestic awards, 4.84–4.85, 4.86
 Foreign Invested Enterprises (FIEs), and, 4.97
 foreign-related awards, 4.85–4.86
 Prior Reporting System, 4.91, 4.93
 procedures, 4.96: competent courts, 4.94; comparison with enforcement procedures, 4.101; documents for submission, 4.95; fees, 4.94–4.95; time limit, 4.94
 confidentiality of
 approaches to, 1.170–1.173
 arbitration institutions, role of, 1.173–1.174
 general duty, 1.169–1.170
 definition
 comparative analysis of various national legislation, 3.134–3.141
 form vs substance, 3.125–3.141
 UNCITRAL Model Law, in, 3.131–3.133
 disclosure of, advantages, 1.177–1.180
 enforcement in China, 4.83–4.103
 checklist, 4.102–4.103
 domestic awards, 4.86–4.87, 4.90
 foreign awards, 4.87–4.89, 4.90
 foreign-related awards, 4.87, 4.90
 legal framework, 4.84
 Prior Reporting System, 4.91, 4.92
 procedures, 4.94–4.96
 enforcement in India, 4.64–4.82
 enforcement in New Zealand, 4.47–4.50
 non-enforcement in China, grounds for, 4.84–4.86, 4.90. *See also* cancellation in China *above*
 domestic awards, 4.84–4.85, 4.86
 foreign-related awards, 4.85–4.86
- Awards (*continued*)
 publication of, need for, 1.175–1.176
 repository of, benefits of having, 1.177–1.180
 rulings (negative) of tribunal on jurisdiction, and, 3.136–3.141
 setting aside in New Zealand, 4.22–4.42
 usage of term
 UNCITRAL Model Law context, 3.127
- Awards (inconsistent)
 multi-party, multi-contract arbitration proceedings, in, 1.161–1.168
 contractual approaches to problem: arbitrator, single common, 1.165–1.166; consolidation and joinder, 1.164–1.165; issue estoppel (contractually agreed), 1.167
 illustrative case, 1.161–1.164
 problem of, 1.161–1.168
- BANI
 role of, 3.194–3.196
- BITs
 Chinese BITs *See under* China
 investment protections, 1.6–1.7
 investor-state dispute settlement mechanism, 1.7–1.10
 list of (chronological), 1.4 n2
 origins of, 1.4
 parties to, 1.4
 scope of, 1.5–1.6
 qualifying investments, 1.5
 qualifying investors, 1.6
See also Investment treaty arbitrations; Investment treaties
 structure of, 1.4–1.10
- Book reviews
American Arbitration Association Handbook on International Arbitration and ADR, 3.214–3.217
Arbitration in the Philippines and the Alternative Dispute Resolution Act of 2004, 1.102–1.104
Arbitration Law of Japan, 1.181–1.182
Comparative Law of International Arbitration (2nd Ed), 4.121–4.122
Handbook of ICC Arbitration — Commentary, Precedents, Materials, 2.93–2.96
International Commercial Arbitration in Asia (2nd Ed), 2.192–2.193
Russell on Arbitration (23rd Ed), 4.221–4.222

Case notes

- New Sound Industries Ltd v Meliga Ltd*
(2005) (HKSAR CA), 1.95–1.101
court, decision of, 1.100–1.101
court, stance of, 1.101
facts, 1.96–1.98
legal principles, statement and application
of, 1.98–1.101

China

- ad hoc* arbitration, restrictions on, 1.86–1.87
arbitration
applicable law, 4.97–4.100
legislation governing, 1.84–1.94
overview of, 1.83–1.94
venue, selection of, 1.87–1.88
Arbitration Act 1994
arbitrability 2.179–2.182
arbitration agreement 2.183–2.185
arbitration agreement, determining
validity of, 4.104–4.120
arbitration-friendliness of provisions,
4.104–4.120
service 2.185–2.189
third parties 2.180–2.182, 2.189–2.190
arbitration agreement, circumvention of,
1.85–1.86
arbitration agreement, effect of, 1.85–1.86
arbitration agreement, separability of,
3.163–3.175
application of doctrine, 3.164–3.175
case analysis, 3.164–3.166, 3.169–3.175
development of, 3.163–3.175
legislative analysis, 3.164–3.168
now, 3.169–3.175
then, 3.163–3.168
arbitration agreement, validity of, 4.104–
4.120
power to determine jurisdictional
challenges, 4.116–4.119
requirements (formal) under the
Arbitration Act 1994, 4.106–4.109
requirements (substantive) under the
Arbitration Act 1994: analysis of,
4.110; designation of arbitration
institutions, 4.111–4.114; definition
of ‘arbitration commission’, 4.114;
ad hoc arbitration, treatment of,
4.115–4.116
arbitration process
third parties, and 2.180–2.182, 2.189–
2.190
arbitrators, selection and appointment of,
1.88–1.90

China (*continued*)

- awards
cancellation of. *See* Awards,
cancellation in China
domestic award, definition of, 4.97
enforcement of. *See* enforcement of
arbitral awards *below*
foreign award, definition of, 4.97
non-enforcement of. *See* Awards, non-
enforcement in China
BITs, 1.17–1.20
international arbitration, and, 1.18–1.20
new generation BITs, 1.19–1.20, 1.31
case notes 2.179–2.191
CIETAC
enforcement of CIETAC awards,
1.91–1.92
foreign-related arbitration, and,
1.87–1.94
jurisdictional scope, 1.87
rules and procedures, 1.83–1.94
setting aside of CIETAC awards,
grounds for, 1.91–1.92
Code of Civil Procedures
arbitrability 2.180
service in arbitration 2.185–2.189
Convention on the Recognition and
Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral
Awards (New York, 10 June 1958),
and. *See* New York Convention
below
enforcement of arbitral awards, 4.83–4.103
checklist, 4.102–4.103
domestic awards, 4.86–4.87, 4.90
foreign awards, 4.87–4.89, 4.90
foreign-related awards, 4.87, 4.90
legal framework, 4.84
Prior Reporting System, 4.91, 4.92
procedures, 4.94–4.96: competent
courts, 4.94; comparison with
cancellation procedures, 4.101;
documents for submission, 4.95;
fees, 4.94–4.95; time limit, 4.94
enforcement of foreign arbitral awards,
1.92–1.94
New York Convention awards, 1.93–
1.94
non-New York Convention awards,
1.92–1.93
review of, 4.123–4.136
evidence from third parties, compulsion
of, 2.52–2.53

- China (*continued*)
 legislative regime, 2.52–2.53
 arbitration-friendliness of, 4.104–4.120
 New York Convention, and
 implementation of, 4.123–4.136
 interpretation (judicial) of, 4.126–4.132
 setting aside arbitral award: service
 2.185–2.189
- Convention on the Recognition and
 Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral
 Awards (New York, 10 June 1958).
See New York Convention
- Definitions
all disputes, 1.98–1.99
international arbitration (Singapore), 1.49 n2
investment treaties, 1.3
umbrella clauses, 1.34–1.38
- Disclosure of documents
 international arbitrations, in Singapore
 law and practice, 1.53–1.58
 limited disclosure, reservations
 concerning: dispelling myths,
 1.62–1.65
 process, management of: factors for
 consideration, 1.65–1.67
 reassessment of, 1.49–1.68
 investor-state dispute resolution
 Korea, 4.137–4.142
 standards of
 civil law regime, 1.50–1.53
 common law regime, 1.50–1.53
 compromise approach: IBA Rules,
 1.58–1.62
- Discovery *See* Disclosure of documents
- Enforcement of arbitral awards. *See also under*
specific jurisdictions
 Korea, in, 2.60–2.75
- Enforcement of foreign arbitral awards
 China, in, 1.92–1.94, 4.123–4.136
 India, in, 1.123
 Japan, in, 1.135
 Philippines, in
 judicial review, 4.175–4.194
 refusal of, grounds for
 public policy, violation of, 4.175–4.194
 suspension of proceedings under New
 York Convention, Art VI, 1.69–1.82
 case law, review of, 1.71–1.82
 factors, 1.71–1.82
 grounds for: mandatory or
 discretionary, 1.76–1.82
 policy considerations, 1.71–1.82
- Enforcement of foreign arbitral awards
 (*continued*)
 suspension of proceedings under New
 York Convention, Art VI (*continued*)
 standards, search for, 1.69–1.82
- Evidence, compulsion of
 Asia-Pacific perspective, 2.32–2.59
 documents, production of, 2.34
 judicial intervention (generally),
 2.34–2.35
 judicial intervention (specifically).
See under specific jurisdictions and
institutional rules
 parties, 2.36–2.39
 public policy, and, 2.32–2.33
 rules of international arbitral
 institutions, and, 2.36–2.39
 third parties, 2.39–2.58
 witnesses, compelling, 2.34
 proof, mode of, 2.35–2.36
- Foreign arbitration
 injunctions in aid of
 jurisdiction of Singapore court to grant,
 3.142–3.162
- Free trade agreements (FTAs)
 investment treaties, and, 1.3–1.10. *See also*
Investment treaty arbitrations;
Investment treaties
 United States-Singapore FTA, 1.20–1.23
 arbitral proceedings, transparency of,
 1.23
 investment chapter, features of,
 1.21–1.23
investment, definition of, 1.21
investor, definition of, 1.21
 investor-state dispute settlement
 mechanism, 1.23
 treatment, standards of, 1.22
- HKIAC Procedures for the Administration of
 International Arbitration
 evidence, compulsion of, 2.38
- Hong Kong International Arbitration Centre.
See HKIAC
- Hong Kong Special Administrative Region
 (HKSAR)
 arbitration agreement, scope of
 subject matter of dispute, and, 1.95–
 1.101
 awards, enforcement in mainland China,
 1.93–1.94
 court proceedings, stay of
 reference to arbitration, and, 1.95–1.101

- Hong Kong Special Administrative Region (HKSAR) (*continued*)
 evidence from third parties, compulsion of, 2.47–2.52
 legislative regime, 2.47–2.52
- IBA Rules on the Taking of Evidence in International Commercial Arbitration
 evidence, compulsion of, 2.38
- ICC Rules of Arbitration
 evidence, compulsion of, 2.37
- ICSID Arbitration Rules
 amendment of, 3.13
- India
 Arbitration and Conciliation Act 1996
 analysis of, 1.105–1.126
 arbitral awards, setting aside, 1.120–1.123
 arbitral proceedings: conduct of, 1.116–1.118; evidence, taking of, 1.117–1.118
 arbitral tribunal: powers (general) of, 1.119–1.120; jurisdiction of, 1.116
 arbitration agreement: definition of, 1.108; existence of, 1.110; reference to expert, distinguished, 1.110; validity of, 1.123–1.125
 arbitrators: appointment of, 1.113–1.115; challenge to, 1.115; termination of mandate, 1.116
 court intervention in arbitral proceedings, 1.111–1.112
 coverage, 1.106–1.107
 disputes, arbitrability of, 1.108–1.110
 features (salient), 1.107–1.126
 foreign arbitrations, and, 1.107
 foreign awards: definition of, 1.123; enforcement of, 1.123, 1.125–1.126
 governing law, 1.118–1.119
 interim measures of protection, 1.107, 1.112–1.113
 international commercial arbitration, definition of, 1.111
 objectives of, 1.106
 scheme of, 1.106–1.107
 UNCITRAL Model Law, departure from, 1.112, 1.113, 1.115, 1.116, 1.126
 arbitration law
 analysis of, 1.105–1.126
 pre-1996, 1.105
 post-1996, 1.105–1.106
- India (*continued*)
 arbitrators, appointment of
 court orders, nature of, 3.107–3.112
 awards, enforcement of. *See* enforcement of arbitral awards *below*
 court intervention in arbitral proceedings, 3.99–3.123
 arbitrators, appointment and jurisdiction of, 3.102–3.112
 award, setting aside, 3.118–3.121
 interim measures of protection, grant of, 3.112–3.117
 trend, 3.107–3.112
 enforcement of arbitral awards, 4.64–4.82
 domestic awards: comparison with enforcement of foreign awards, 4.76; grounds for setting aside 4.66–4.70; procedures, 4.70–4.72
 foreign awards: conditions for enforcement, 4.74–4.78; comparison with enforcement of domestic awards, 4.76; definition of, 4.74–4.75; procedures, 4.79–4.80
 legislative regime, 4.64–4.66
 statistics: domestic awards, 4.73–4.74; foreign awards, 4.80–4.81
- Indonesia
 alternative dispute resolution
 overview of, 3.191–3.194
 stakeholder engagement, and, 3.187–3.213
 Arbitration and Alternative Dispute Resolution Act 1999
 overview of, 3.196–3.197
 salient features of, 3.189
 terminology (selected) of, 3.197–3.200
 arbitration law
 challenges facing, 3.189–3.213
 dispute avoidance
 stakeholder engagement, and, 3.187–3.213
 stakeholder engagement
 as pre-emptive measure of dispute avoidance, 3.187–3.213
 Indonesian National Board of Arbitration. *See* BANI
 Institutional rules of arbitration. *See under* specific rules
 Interim measures of protection
 court's power to grant injunctions in aid of foreign arbitrations, 3.142–3.162

- Interim measures of protection (UNCITRAL Model Law). *See also under* UNCITRAL Model Law
- conditions for issuance, 2.7–2.9
 - burden of proof, 2.7–2.9
 - considerations for arbitral tribunal, 2.7–2.9
 - court-ordered, assessment of provisions on, 2.21–2.23
 - ex parte* applications for, controversy surrounding, 2.10–2.17
 - ex parte* preliminary orders
 - analysis of, 2.13–2.14
 - consensus on, 2.12–2.17
 - enforceability of, 2.14–2.17
 - impact (potential) of proposed reforms, 2.23–2.30
 - India, 2.25–2.28
 - Indonesia, 2.28–2.30
 - Singapore, 2.24–2.25
 - opt-out scheme, 2.5, 2.13
 - power to grant, 2.5–2.17
 - provisions on, clarification of, 2.1–2.23
 - recognition and enforcement of, 2.17–2.21
 - court assistance, desirability of, 2.17–2.18
 - evaluation of provisions on, 2.20–2.21
 - features of provisions on, 2.18–2.20
 - reformation of Model Law provisions, 2.1–2.31
 - harmonisation, process of, 2.24
 - impact (potential) of, 2.23–2.30
 - safeguards, built-in, 2.9–2.10, 2.14, 2.18
- International Bar Association. *See* IBA
- International Chamber of Commerce. *See* ICC
- Investment treaties
- Asian states, and, 1.16–1.25, 3.5–3.7
 - ASEAN, 1.23–25
 - China, 1.17–20
 - Singapore, 1.20–23
 - benefits under, maximisation of. *See* 'treaty shopping' below
 - bilateral. *See* BITs
 - described, 3.2
 - dispute resolution options, 1.10–1.14
 - ICSID arbitration, 1.10–1.13
 - others, 1.14
 - fair and equitable treatment of
 - investments, 3.59–3.67
 - investors' expectations, 3.62–3.67
 - standard of treatment, 3.59–3.62
- Investment treaties (*continued*)
- interpretation of
 - jurisdictional issues, 3.14–3.58
 - merits issues, 3.58–3.79
 - investment contracts, and, 1.38–1.43
 - dispute resolution clauses (conflicting), 1.39–1.43
 - lists of, 1.3 n1
 - MFN clauses, scope of application, 3.47–3.58
 - multilateral, 1.3
 - structure of, 1.4–1.5, 1.10
 - structure and content, 3.2–3.3
 - treaty shopping, 1.44–1.47
 - practice of, 3.17–3.26
 - prevention of, 1.46–1.47
 - special purpose vehicles, and, 1.44–1.47
 - usage, 1.44
 - types of, 3.2
 - umbrella clauses
 - meaning and effect of, 1.7, 1.34–1.38, 1.41–1.43
 - violations of: characterisation and treatment of claims, 1.38–1.43
- Investment treaty arbitrations. *See also* Investor-state dispute resolution
- arbitration with privity, 1.9–1.10, 1.38
 - arbitration without privity, 1.9, 1.38
 - ASEAN Agreement for the Promotion and Protection of Investments, under, 1.27–1.30
 - Asia, and, 1.3–1.48
 - developments in 2005 and 2006, 3.1–3.79
 - Asian parties, and, 1.26–1.30
 - pending cases in 2005 and 2006, 3.8–3.12
 - contractual claims
 - jurisdiction of tribunal over, 3.44–3.47
 - expropriation and state's right to regulate, 3.67–3.77
 - proportionality and purpose of state's conduct, 3.67–3.62
 - international commercial arbitrations, and, 1.14
 - jurisdictional issues, 3.14–3.58
 - contract claims vs treaty claims, 3.26–3.47
 - MFN clauses, reliance upon, 3.47–3.58
 - shareholder's right to bring investment treaty claims, 3.15–3.26
 - treaty claims vs contract claims, 3.26–3.47

- Investment treaty arbitrations (*continued*)
- merits issues, 3.58–3.77
 - fair and equitable treatment of investments, 3.59–3.67
 - expropriation of investments and compensation, 3.67–3.77
 - MFN clauses, applicability of, 3.47–3.58
 - most-favoured-nation clauses, jurisdiction based on, 1.30–1.34
 - procedure, 1.14–1.15
 - proliferation of, 1.15–1.16
 - right of action of investor-shareholders, 3.15–3.26
 - lifting the corporate veil, 3.17–3.26
 - nationality (of investor-shareholders), relevance of, 3.17–3.26
 - transparency of, 1.12–1.13
 - transparency, pursuit of
 - amicus curiae* procedure (availability of) as solution, 3.176–3.186
 - treaty claims
 - definition of, 3.27
 - jurisdiction of tribunal over, 3.27–3.33
 - trends and challenges in, 1.47–1.48
 - tribunals' jurisdiction over contract claims, 1.38–1.43
 - 'umbrella clause' claims
 - jurisdiction of tribunal over, 3.33–3.44
- Investor-state dispute resolution. *See also* Investment treaty arbitrations; Investment treaties
- discovery involving Korea, 4.137–4.142
 - grounds for objection, 4.139–4.140
 - privilege involving government communications, 4.140–4.142
 - requirements, 4.139
 - most-favoured-nation clauses, applicability of, 1.30–1.34
 - state-state dispute resolution, and, 1.40
 - transparency, pursuit of
 - amicus curiae* intervention, reliance on, 3.176–3.186
- Japan
- arbitration law
 - historical landscape and reform, 1.127–128
 - review of, 1.127–140
 - Arbitration Law (2003)
 - alternative dispute resolution (ADR), and, 1.139–140
 - arbitral procedures, innovation and modifications: arb-med, 1.133–134
- Japan (*continued*)
- Arbitration Law (2003) (*continued*)
 - arbitral procedures, innovation and modifications: multiparty arbitrations, 1.132–1.133; 'public order' exceptions, 1.133
 - arbitral tribunal, constituting: role of courts, 1.130–1.131; role of arbitral institutions, 1.131
 - arbitration agreement, requirements for, 1.130
 - arbitration, commencement of, 1.136–137
 - arbitration-mediation: advisability of, 1.134; statutory provision for, 1.133
 - arbitrators, criminal sanctions for bribery and corruption, 1.138
 - clarification, points of, 2.80–2.85
 - comparison with former Arbitration Law (1890), 2.80–2.88
 - costs, recovery of, 1.137–138
 - court assistance: commencement of arbitration, 1.136; constituting arbitral tribunal, 1.130–1.131; service of documents, 1.136; taking evidence, 1.137
 - courts: jurisdiction of, 1.131–1.132; role of, 1.136–137
 - disputes, arbitrability of, 1.129–130
 - features (key) of, 2.75–2.88
 - features (principal) of, 1.128–138
 - foreign awards, enforcement of, 1.135
 - governing law, 1.134–135
 - information technology age, and, 2.87
 - international conventions, and, 2.79–2.80
 - multiparty arbitrations, 1.132–133
 - prognosis, 1.138–140
 - 'public order' exceptions, 1.133
 - revisions, points of, 2.85–2.87
 - scope of, 1.129
 - shortcoming, 2.88
 - UNCITRAL Model Law, and, 2.77–2.78
 - UNCITRAL Model Law, departure from, 1.128–138
- KLRC
- Arbitration Act 1952
 - independence of KLRC under, 2.99
 - Arbitration Act 2005
 - appointment of arbitral tribunal, 2.101–2.102
 - independence of KLRC under, 2.101

- KLRC (continued)
legislative impact on, 2.97–2.112
model arbitration clause, 2.105–2.106
recognition and enforcement of awards, 2.103
- KLRC Arbitration Rules
evidence, compulsion of, 2.38
- Korea
Arbitration Act (Law No 6083)
features of, 2.61–2.64
UNCITRAL Model Law, and, 2.61–2.64
arbitration landscape, 2.61
convention status, 2.61
court system (civil), 2.64
discovery
guide in investment arbitration, 4.137–4.142
enforcement of arbitral awards, 2.60–2.75
challenging enforcement, grounds for, 2.66–2.75
court, jurisdiction of 2.64–2.65
domestic and foreign awards, distinctive treatment of 2.65–2.66
enforcement orders, application for 2.65
foreign and domestic awards, distinctive treatment of 2.65–2.66
procedure for 2.64–2.66
investment arbitration
discovery, 4.137–4.142
legislative regime 2.61–2.64
- Kuala Lumpur Regional Centre for Arbitration. *See* KLRC
- Legislation
comparative analysis
China's Arbitration Law, art 19 and UNCITRAL Model Law, Art 16, 3.166–3.168
Croatian Arbitration Act and UNCITRAL Model Law, Art 16(3), 3.138–3.139
German Arbitration Law 1998, s 1040 and UNCITRAL Model Law, Art 16(3), 3.137–3.138
Singapore International Arbitration Act and UNCITRAL Model Law, Art 16(3), 3.140–3.141
UNCITRAL Model Law, Art 16
evolution of, 3.128–3.131
- LCIA Arbitration Rules
evidence, compulsion of, 2.37–2.38
- London Court of International Arbitration.
See LCIA
- Malaysia
Arbitration Act 1952
court intervention, 2.99
impact of, 2.97–2.98
interim relief, and, 2.99
KLRC, and, 2.98–2.99
legislative background, 2.98–2.99
Arbitration Act 2005
Arbitration Act 1996 (NZ), 2.99
arbitration proceedings, commencement of, 2.100
costs and expenses, 2.103
electronic communications, recognition of, 2.100
impact of, 2.99–2.112
legislative background, 2.99–2.100
New York Convention 1958, and, 2.103–2.112
recognition and enforcement of awards, 2.103–2.111
shortcomings, 2.109
stay of court proceedings, 2.100
UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules, and, 2.102
UNCITRAL Model Law, adoption of, 2.99, 2.109
evidence from third parties, compulsion of, 2.56–2.58
legislative regime, 2.56–2.58
- Model arbitration clauses. *See under specific arbitral institutions*
- Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration. *See* UNCITRAL Model Law
- New York Convention, application of
Art V, grounds to resist enforcement proceedings under, 1.69–82
mandatory or discretionary, 1.76–82
Art VI, suspension of enforcement proceedings under, 1.69–82
- New York Convention, implementation of
China, in, 4.123–4.136
applicable law, determination of, 4.133–4.134
arbitration agreement, validity of, 4.126–4.127
due process, observance of, 4.128
foreign law, application of, 4.134
jurisdiction, excess of, 4.128–4.129

- New York Convention, implementation of
(*continued*)
- China, in (*continued*)
- limitation period, 4.130–4.131
 - procedural considerations, 4.123–4.124, 4.135–4.136
 - public policy, 4.129–4.130
 - terms of application, satisfaction of, 4.131–4.132
- New Zealand
- Arbitration Act 1996
 - 2007 Amendments, 4.7
 - arbitration: confidentiality of, 4.54–4.58; extension of time for commencement of, 4.10
 - arbitration agreement (consumer), 4.8–4.10
 - arbitrators: appointment of, 4.17–4.20; challenge to, 4.20–4.21; jurisdiction, determination of, 4.21–4.22
 - awards: appeal on question of law, 4.42–4.47; recognition and enforcement, 4.47–4.50; setting aside, 4.22–4.42
 - background, 4.1–4.4
 - costs, 4.50–4.53
 - court intervention, 4.10–4.11
 - features, 4.5–4.6
 - interim measures of protection, power of court to grant, 4.15–4.17
 - interim measures regime (new), 4.59–4.63
 - judicial decisions on: arbitrators, appointment of, 4.17–4.20; arbitrators, challenge to, 4.20–4.21; arbitrators' jurisdiction, determination of, 4.21–4.22; awards, appeal on question of law, 4.42–4.47; awards, recognition and enforcement, 4.47–4.50; awards, setting aside, 4.22–4.42; confidentiality, 4.54–4.58; consumer arbitration agreements, 4.8–4.10; costs, 4.50–4.53; court intervention, 4.10–4.11; extension of time for commencing arbitral proceedings, 4.10; interim measures of protection, power of court to grant, 4.15–4.17; stay of court proceedings, 4.11–4.15
 - stay of court proceedings, 4.11–4.15
 - structure, 4.4
- New Zealand (*continued*)
- experience of the UNCITRAL Model Law, 4.1–4.63
 - legislative regime, 4.1–4.7, 4.59–4.63
 - background, 4.1–4.4
 - features, 4.5–4.6
 - interim measures regime (new), 4.59–4.63
- Petroleum contracts, third party adaptation of
amiable compositeur, role of, 2.158–2.159
- arbitration procedure, and, 2.157
 - civil law system, 2.156, 2.158, 2.159
 - common law system, 2.155–2.156
 - gap filling, 2.151–2.162
 - justification, 2.152–2.155
 - national laws, and, 2.158, 2.159
 - practice, 2.159–2.161
 - role of arbitration, 2.151–2.162
 - scope, 2.152–2.155
- Philippines
- enforcement of foreign arbitral awards
 - refusal of: violation of public policy, 4.175–4.194
- Place of arbitration. *See* Seat of arbitration
- Public policy defences
- classes of, 4.156–4.160
 - domestic, 4.158–4.160
 - international, 4.157–4.158
 - examples of, 4.150–4.156
 - harmonisation, desirability of, 4.160–4.163
 - principles applicable, analysis of, 4.146–4.168
 - review of, 4.143–4.174
 - sovereignty, exercise of state's, 4.149–4.150, 4.160, 4.169–4.171
 - uniformity in, principles for consideration, 4.163–4.174
- Rulings (preliminary) of tribunals
- jurisdiction, on
 - affirmative rulings, effect of, 3.125–3.141
 - awards, and, 3.125–3.141
 - enforceability of, 3.125–3.141
 - nature of, 3.125–3.141
 - negative rulings, effect of, 3.136–3.141
 - procedural orders and directions
 - awards, and, 3.125–3.141
- Seat of arbitration
- concept of, 4.197–4.201
 - curial law, and, 4.216
 - physical place of hearing, *cf.* 4.196

- Seat of arbitration (*continued*)
 selection, factors for consideration, 4.195–4.220
 attitude of state and courts towards arbitration, 4.201–4.205
 ease of enforcement of awards, 4.205–4.207
 importance of making clear selection, 4.214–4.219
 knowledge of procedural law of seat, 4.212–4.214
 neutrality of seat, 4.207–4.210
 practical conveniences, 4.210–4.211
 time taken to appoint tribunal, 4.211–4.212
 systems of law, and, 4.197
- Set-offs
 counterclaim, distinguished, 1.145–146
 defence
 civil law, in, 1.145
 common law, in, 1.144
 doctrine of, 1.143
 institutional rules, analysis of, 1.147–153
 reference to set-off defences - none, 1.151–152
 reference to set-off defences - specific, 1.152–153
 UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules, based on, 1.147–151
 institutional rules, list of, 1.157–160
 international arbitrations, in, 1.141–160
 debate surrounding, 1.141–143, 1.154–157
- SIAC Rules
 evidence, compulsion of, 2.38
- Singapore
 anti-suit injunctions. *See also* methods to secure arbitration *below*
 court's power to grant, 2.128–2.130
 steps to obtain, 2.130–2.136.
 court intervention
 philosophy, 2.113–2.118
 UNCITRAL Model Law, and, 2.113–2.118
 court's jurisdiction to grant injunctions in aid of foreign arbitration
 case review, 3.147–3.161
 procedural considerations, 3.155–3.159
 sources (statutory) of power, 3.145–3.147
 disclosure of documents in international arbitrations, 1.49–68
- Singapore (*continued*)
 evidence from third parties, compulsion of, 2.53–2.56
 foreign arbitration
 court's power to grant orders in aid of, 2.134–2.136
 legislative regime, 2.53–2.56
 methods to secure arbitration, 2.118–2.136
 anti-suit injunctions, 2.127–2.136
 appointment of arbitrators, 2.118–2.119
 stay of proceedings, 2.119–2.127
 stay of court proceedings
 conditions, imposition of, 2.126–2.127
 'null and void, inoperative or incapable of being performed', meaning of, 2.125–2.126
prima facie test, and, 2.121–2.125
 Singapore International Arbitration Centre.
See SIAC
 stakeholder engagement
 alternative dispute resolution, and, 3.200–3.209
 case study, 3.209–3.212
 in practice, 3.206–3.208
 promise of, 3.201–3.202
 challenges facing, 3.202–3.206
 failure to utilise (as part of dispute resolution process), consequence of, 3.210–3.212
 premise of, 3.187–3.188
 theory, prominence of, 3.200–3.201
 Stay of enforcement proceedings *See* Enforcement of foreign arbitral awards: suspension of proceedings
- Tribunal
 ruling on arbitral jurisdiction
 award, and, 3.125–3.141
- UNCITRAL, role of, 2.24
 UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules
 ACICA, and, 2.89
 evidence, compulsion of, 2.37
 UNCITRAL Model Law
 application of Art 8(1) (in HKSAR)
 construction of first limb, 1.95–101
 legal principles, application of, 1.98–101
 legal principles, restatement of, 1.98–100
 arbitral jurisdiction, determination of
 negative preliminary ruling, effect of, 3.128–3.141

- UNCITRAL Model Law (*continued*)
- Australian International Arbitration Act 1974, and, 2.42–2.46
 - harmonisation, desirability of, 2.3, 2.5, 2.22
 - interim measures of protection
 - enforceability of, background on, 2.2
 - essence of, attempt to capture, 2.6
 - form of, 2.7
 - impact (potential) of proposed reforms, 2.23–2.30
 - reformation of provisions on, 2.1–2.31
 - revisions (proposed), formulation of, 2.3–2.4, 2.6, 2.7, 2.12–2.13, 2.16, 2.18–2.19, 2.22
 - revisions (proposed), key considerations for, 2.7
 - revisions (proposed), key features of, 2.5–2.10, 2.13–2.14, 2.18–2.20
 - shortcomings of original provisions, 2.2–2.3, 2.5
 - Japanese Arbitration Law (2003), 2.75–2.88
 - Korean Arbitration Act, and, 2.61–2.64
 - New Zealand's experience of, 4.1–4.63
 - reformation of provisions
 - harmonisation, process of, 2.24
 - United Nations Commission on International Trade Law. *See* UNCITRAL
- Vietnam
- enforcement of arbitral awards, 2.137–2.150
- Vietnam (*continued*)
- enforcement of arbitral awards (*continued*)
 - Code of Civil Procedure 2005, 2.149–2.150
 - Ordinance on Commercial Arbitration 2003, 2.147–2.150
 - pre-legislative reforms, 2.138–2.147:
 - domestic awards, 2.138–2.139;
 - foreign awards, 2.139–2.147
 - post-legislative reforms, 2.147–2.150:
 - domestic awards, 2.147–2.148;
 - foreign awards, 2.149–2.150
 - Ordinance on Commercial Arbitration 2003
 - analysis of, 3.80–3.98
 - appointing authority, restriction on selection of, 3.91–3.44
 - arbitrators: choice of, 3.87–3.92;
 - nationality of, 3.87–3.89;
 - professional experience of, 3.89;
 - restrictions on appointment of, 3.87–3.90
 - choice of law, restrictions on, 3.93–3.95
 - party autonomy, restrictions on, 3.87–3.92
 - pleadings, amendment of, 3.97
 - reforms, proposed, 3.84–3.98
 - scope of application, 3.84–3.87
 - tribunal, powers of: determine
 - jurisdiction, 3.92–3.93; grant interim relief, 3.95–3.96; award costs, 3.96–3.97