

CONSOLIDATED INDEX

VOLUMES 1–6

- ACICA
 - interim measures of protection, regime for, 6.82–6.96
 - organisational background, 6.75–6.76
- ACICA Arbitration Rules
 - article 28, examination of, 6.82–6.96
 - evidence, compulsion of, 2.38–2.39
 - features (notable) of, 2.90–2.92
 - interim measures of protection, and, 6.74–6.96
 - costs or damages, liability for, 6.93–6.94
 - criteria for grant of, 6.88–6.90
 - definition of, 6.86–6.88
 - disclosure of material changes in circumstances, 6.91–6.92
 - general, in, 6.77–6.78
 - jurisdiction to grant, 6.94–6.96
 - modification, suspension or termination of, 6.92–6.93
 - power to grant, 6.83–6.86
 - security, provision of, 6.90–6.91
 - note on, 2.89–2.92
 - philosophies underlying, 2.90
- ACICA Model Arbitration Clause, 2.92
- Agreement (arbitration). *See* Arbitration agreement
- Alternative dispute resolution (Indonesia)
 - stakeholder engagement, and, 3.187–3.213
- Amicus curiae*
 - acceptability of submissions
 - extent to which process rendered more transparent, 3.181–3.185
 - negative consequences of, 3.185
 - requirements for, 3.179–3.181
 - intervention of
 - suitability in investor-state dispute resolution, 3.178–3.181
 - purpose of, 3.185
 - role of
 - in investor-state dispute resolution, 3.178–3.181
- Arbitral jurisdiction
 - determination of
 - UNCITRAL Model Law, Art 16, 3.128
- Arbitral tribunal
 - jurisdiction of
 - investment treaty arbitrations, in, 3.14–3.58
 - mandatory rules of law, and, 5.7–5.9
 - power to grant interim measures of protection under the Model Law, 2.5–2.17
- Arbitration agreement
 - drafting of, and consolidation of proceedings, 5.70–5.94
 - form of, 5.147–5.151
 - hybrid agreement
 - validity in Singapore, 5.217–5.221
 - separability of
 - application of doctrine in China, 3.163–3.175
 - judicial recognition in China, 3.169–3.175
 - party autonomy, and, 3.166–3.175
- Arbitration proceedings
 - consolidation of
 - approach by courts, 5.75–5.84
 - arbitrators, selection of, 5.90–5.93
 - drafting agreements, and, 5.70–5.94
 - issue of, 5.72–5.75
 - statutory bases for, 5.84–5.89
 - dismissal for want of prosecution, 5.190–5.209
 - comparative legislation, 5.207–5.208
 - during arbitration proceedings and prior to publication of award, 5.195–5.200
 - Hong Kong Arbitration Ordinance 1992, s 2GE(2), 5.200–5.207
 - principles applicable in litigation, and, 5.191–5.200
 - where claimant seeks leave to appeal from award, 5.193–5.195

Arbitrator

- amiable compositeur*, 2.158–2.159
- jurisdiction to apply mandatory rules, 5.22–5.23
- factors for consideration, 5.43–5.45
- power of
 - contract adaptation, 2.158–2.162. *See also* petroleum contracts, third party adaptation of

ASEAN

- ASEAN Agreement for the Promotion and Protection of Investments, 1.23–1.25
- features of, 1.23–1.25
- goals of, 1.23
- Comprehensive Investment Agreement, 5.173–5.189
 - dispute resolution, and, 5.186–5.187
 - exceptions, 5.185–5.186
 - expropriation, protection from, 5.184–5.185
 - investment: pillars of, 5.171; treatment of, 5.183
 - most favoured nation treatment, 5.181–5.182
 - national treatment, 5.180–5.181
 - scope of application, 5.174–5.180, 5.185–5.186
 - transfer of funds, 5.183–5.184
 - transitional provisions, 5.187–5.188
- development of ASEAN framework, 5.168–5.173
- Framework Agreement for the ASEAN Investment Area, 1.25

Asia

- International Commercial Arbitration in Asia* (2nd Ed), 2.192–2.193

Asia-Pacific

- evidence, compulsion of (international commercial arbitration), 2.32–2.59

Association of Southeast Asian Nations. *See* ASEAN

Australia

- Anaconda Operations Pty Ltd v Fluor Australia Pty Ltd*, 2.163–2.178
- finding of court, 2.166–2.167
- judicial treatment and interpretation of arbitral award, analysis of, 2.167–2.175: ambiguity in arbitral award, 2.168–2.169; court discretion in granting leave to appeal, 2.167; court's familiarity with international arbitral procedure, 2.171–2.172

Australia (*continued*)

- Anaconda Operations Pty Ltd v Fluor Australia Pty Ltd* (*continued*)
- judicial treatment and interpretation of arbitral award, analysis of (*continued*): recognition of tribunal's experience, 2.169–2.171; remission for clarification, 2.172–2.175
- lessons to be learnt, 2.175–2.178
- arbitration
 - applicable law, 5.99–5.101
 - mandatory rules of law, and, 5.95–5.108
- Comandate Marine Corp v Pan Australia Shipping Pty Ltd* (2006), 5.95–5.108
- background, 5.97–5.99
- determination on appeal, 5.102–5.106
- court intervention
 - Commercial Arbitration Act 1984 (Vic) 2.164–2.178
 - review of arbitral awards 2.163–2.178
- evidence from third parties, compulsion of, 2.40–2.47
- International Arbitration Act 1974 (Cth)
 - finality of award, impact on, 2.176–2.177
- UNCITRAL Model Law,
 - implementation of 2.176–2.177
- legislative framework, review of, 6.1–6.43
- arbitrability of disputes, 6.5–6.9
- arbitration agreement: applicable law, 6.9–6.10; writing requirements, 6.11–6.16
- enforcement of foreign arbitral awards, 6.16–6.22: public policy and, 6.19–6.22
- interim measures, 6.22–6.25
- interpretation, 6.41
- proceedings, privacy of, 6.40–6.25
- stay of proceedings by courts:
 - applications under International Arbitration Act 1974 and UNCITRAL Model Law, 6.11;
 - conditions imposed on, 6.10–6.11
- UNCITRAL Model Law, and:
 - arbitrators, power to appoint, 6.31–6.34; arbitrators ruling on own jurisdiction, 6.34; arb-med, 6.35–6.37; awards, 6.37–6.39; courts' jurisdiction, 6.29–6.31; evidence, taking of, 6.35; interest and costs, awarding, 6.39; opting in or out, 6.25–6.29;

Australia (*continued*)

- legislative framework, review of (*continued*)
 - UNCITRAL Model Law, and (*continued*): proceedings, consolidation of, 6.39
 - legislative regime, 2.41–2.47
 - Trade Practices Act 1974 (Cth)
 - application of, 5.100–5.101
 - International Arbitration Act, and, 5.105–5.106
 - status as ‘public policy’ statute, 5.101
 - Uniform Commercial Arbitration Acts
 - review of arbitral awards, scope for 2.176–2.177
- Australian Centre for International Commercial Arbitration. *See* ACICA

Awards

- cancellation in China, grounds for, 4.84–4.86, 4.90. *See also* non-enforcement in China *below*
- domestic awards, 4.84–4.85, 4.86
- Foreign Invested Enterprises (FIEs), and, 4.97
- foreign-related awards, 4.85–4.86
- Prior Reporting System, 4.91, 4.93
- procedures, 4.96: competent courts, 4.94; comparison with enforcement procedures, 4.101; documents for submission, 4.95; fees, 4.94–4.95; time limit, 4.94
- confidentiality of
 - approaches to, 1.170–1.173
 - arbitration institutions, role of, 1.173–1.174
 - general duty, 1.169–1.170
- definition
 - comparative analysis of various national legislation, 3.134–3.141
 - form vs substance, 3.125–3.141
 - UNCITRAL Model Law, in, 3.131–3.133
- disclosure of, advantages, 1.177–1.180
- enforcement in China, 4.83–4.103
 - checklist, 4.102–4.103
 - domestic awards, 4.86–4.87, 4.90
 - foreign awards, 4.87–4.89, 4.90
 - foreign-related awards, 4.87, 4.90
 - legal framework, 4.84
 - Prior Reporting System, 4.91, 4.92
 - procedures, 4.94–4.96

Awards (*continued*)

- enforcement in India, 4.64–4.82
 - enforcement in New Zealand, 4.47–4.50
 - enforcement in Philippines
 - foreign awards, 6.101–6.133
 - non-enforcement in China, grounds for, 4.84–4.86, 4.90. *See also* cancellation in China *above*
 - domestic awards, 4.84–4.85, 4.86
 - foreign-related awards, 4.85–4.86
 - publication of, need for, 1.175–1.176
 - recognition and enforcement, comparative study of Chinese arbitration law, 2006 UNCITRAL Model Law and New York Convention, 5.156–5.165
 - repository of, benefits of having, 1.177–1.180
 - rulings (negative) of tribunal on jurisdiction, and, 3.136–3.141
 - setting aside in New Zealand, 4.22–4.42
 - setting aside in Singapore, 5.213–5.221
 - usage of term
 - UNCITRAL Model Law context, 3.127
- Awards (inconsistent)
- multi-party, multi-contract arbitration proceedings, in, 1.161–1.168
 - contractual approaches to problem: arbitrator, single common, 1.165–1.166; consolidation and joinder, 1.164–1.165; issue estoppel (contractually agreed), 1.167
 - illustrative case, 1.161–1.164
 - problem of, 1.161–1.168

BANI

- role of, 3.194–3.196

BITs

- Chinese BITs *See under* China
- investment protections, 1.6–1.7
- investor-state dispute settlement mechanism, 1.7–1.10
- list of (chronological), 1.4 n2
- origins of, 1.4
- parties to, 1.4
- scope of, 1.5–1.6
 - qualifying investments, 1.5
 - qualifying investors, 1.6
- See also* Investment treaty arbitrations; Investment treaties
- shareholder claims, multiplicity of prioritising, 6.134–6.163
- problem of, 6.137–6.141

BITs (continued)

- shareholder claims, multiplicity of (continued)
- tiered approach: framework, 6.141–6.144; rationale for, 6.148–6.162; relevance of, 6.144–6.148
- structure of, 1.4–1.10

Book reviews

- American Arbitration Association Handbook on International Arbitration and ADR*, 3.214–3.217
- Arbitrability: International and Comparative Perspectives*, 5.223–5.226
- Arbitration in the Philippines and the Alternative Dispute Resolution Act of 2004*, 1.102–1.104
- Arbitration Law of Japan*, 1.181–1.182
- Comparative Law of International Arbitration* (2nd Ed), 4.121–4.122
- Handbook of ICC Arbitration — Commentary, Precedents, Materials*, 2.93–2.96
- International Commercial Arbitration and Conciliation in UNCITRAL Model Law Jurisdictions*, 6.97–6.99
- International Commercial Arbitration in Asia* (2nd Ed), 2.192–2.193
- Introduction to the Law and Practice of Arbitration in Singapore*, 6.201–6.202
- Russell on Arbitration* (23rd Ed), 4.221–4.222

Case management

- pre-action discovery and/or pre-action interrogatories in aid of arbitration, use of, 6.186–6.200

Case notes

- Comandante Marine Corp v Pan Australia Shipping Pty Ltd* (2006) [Aust], 5.95–5.108
 - background, 5.97–5.99
 - determination on appeal, 5.102–5.106
- Navigator Investment Services Ltd v Acclaim Insurance Brokers Pte Ltd*, 6.186–6.200
 - pre-action discovery and/or pre-action interrogatories in spite of arbitration: availability of, 6.189–6.196; effect of, 6.196–6.199
- New Sound Industries Ltd v Meliga Ltd* (2005) (HKSAR CA), 1.95–1.101
 - court, decision of, 1.100–1.101
 - court, stance of, 1.101
 - facts, 1.96–1.98
 - legal principles, statement and application of, 1.98–1.101

Case notes (continued)

- Tza Yap Shum v Republic of Peru*, 6.164–6.185
 - ‘claimant’ under Chinese BIT: holding company and corporate nationality, 6.170–6.172; implications of decision, 6.183–6.185; ‘investment’, definition of, 6.173–6.183; ‘investor’ and nationality, 6.166–6.170

China

- ad hoc* arbitration, restrictions on, 1.86–1.87
- arbitration
 - applicable law, 4.97–4.100
 - legislation governing, 1.84–1.94
 - overview of, 1.83–1.94
 - venue, selection of, 1.87–1.88

Arbitration Act 1994

- arbitrability 2.179–2.182
- arbitration agreement 2.183–2.185
- arbitration agreement, determining validity of, 4.104–4.120
- arbitration-friendliness of provisions, 4.104–4.120
- service 2.185–2.189
- third parties 2.180–2.182, 2.189–2.190
- arbitration agreement, circumvention of, 1.85–1.86
- arbitration agreement, effect of, 1.85–1.86
- arbitration agreement, form of, 5.150–5.151
- arbitration agreement, separability of, 3.163–3.175
 - application of doctrine, 3.164–3.175
 - case analysis, 3.164–3.166, 3.169–3.175
 - development of, 3.163–3.175
 - legislative analysis, 3.164–3.168
 - now, 3.169–3.175
 - then, 3.163–3.168
- arbitration agreement, validity of, 4.104–4.120
 - applicable law, 6.53–6.58
 - authorities competent to determine, 6.46–6.52
 - interpretation, liberality of, 6.58–6.62
 - power to determine jurisdictional challenges, 4.116–4.119
 - requirements (formal) under the Arbitration Act 1994, 4.106–4.109
 - requirements (substantive) under the Arbitration Act 1994: analysis of, 4.110; designation of arbitration institutions, 4.111–4.114; definition of ‘arbitration commission’, 4.114;

China (*continued*)

- arbitration agreement, validity of (*continued*)
 - requirements (substantive) under the Arbitration Act 1994 (*continued*): *ad hoc* arbitration, treatment of, 4.115–4.116
- arbitration law
 - interim measures of protection, 5.154–5.156
 - legal framework, 5.143–5.147
- arbitration process
 - third parties, and 2.180–2.182, 2.189–2.190
- arbitrators, selection and appointment of, 1.88–1.90
- awards
 - cancellation of. *See* Awards, cancellation in China
 - domestic award, definition of, 4.97
 - enforcement of. *See* enforcement of arbitral awards *below*
 - foreign award, definition of, 4.97
 - non-enforcement of. *See* Awards, non-enforcement in China
 - recognition and enforcement of, 5.158–5.165
- BITs, 1.17–1.20
 - ‘claimant’, 6.164–6.185
 - international arbitration, and, 1.18–1.20
 - new generation BITs, 1.19–1.20, 1.31
- case notes 2.179–2.191
- CIETAC
 - enforcement of CIETAC awards, 1.91–1.92
 - foreign-related arbitration, and, 1.87–1.94
 - jurisdictional scope, 1.87
 - rules and procedures, 1.83–1.94
 - setting aside of CIETAC awards, grounds for, 1.91–1.92
- Code of Civil Procedures
 - arbitrability 2.180
 - service in arbitration 2.185–2.189
- Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards (New York, 10 June 1958), and. *See* New York Convention *below*
- enforcement of arbitral awards, 4.83–4.103, 5.158–5.165

China (*continued*)

- enforcement of arbitral awards (*continued*)
 - checklist, 4.102–4.103
 - domestic awards, 4.86–4.87, 4.90
 - foreign awards, 4.87–4.89, 4.90
 - foreign-related awards, 4.87, 4.90
 - legal framework, 4.84
 - Prior Reporting System, 4.91, 4.92
 - procedures, 4.94–4.96: competent courts, 4.94; comparison with cancellation procedures, 4.101; documents for submission, 4.95; fees, 4.94–4.95; time limit, 4.94
- enforcement of foreign arbitral awards, 1.92–1.94
 - New York Convention awards, 1.93–1.94
 - non-New York Convention awards, 1.92–1.93
 - review of, 4.123–4.136
- evidence from third parties, compulsion of, 2.52–2.53
- legislative regime, 2.52–2.53
 - arbitration-friendliness of, 4.104–4.120
- New York Convention, and
 - implementation of, 4.123–4.136
 - interpretation (judicial) of, 4.126–4.132
- setting aside arbitral award: service 2.185–2.189
- Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards (New York, 10 June 1958). *See* New York Convention
- Definitions
 - all disputes*, 1.98–1.99
 - international arbitration* (Singapore), 1.49 n2
 - investment treaties*, 1.3
 - public policy* (Korea), 6.69–6.70
 - umbrella clauses*, 1.34–1.38
- Disclosure of documents
 - international arbitrations, in Singapore
 - law and practice, 1.53–1.58
 - limited disclosure, reservations concerning: dispelling myths, 1.62–1.65
 - process, management of: factors for consideration, 1.65–1.67
 - reassessment of, 1.49–1.68
 - investor-state dispute resolution
 - Korea, 4.137–4.142

- Disclosure of documents (*continued*)
pre-action discovery and/or pre-action interrogatories in spite of arbitration, 6.186–6.200
standards of
civil law regime, 1.50–1.53
common law regime, 1.50–1.53
compromise approach: IBA Rules, 1.58–1.62
- Discovery *See* Disclosure of documents
- Enforcement of arbitral awards. *See also under specific jurisdictions*
Korea, in, 2.60–2.75
public policy grounds for refusal, standards for, 6.64–6.73
- Enforcement of foreign arbitral awards
China, in, 1.92–1.94, 4.123–4.136
India, in, 1.123
Japan, in, 1.135
Philippines, in, 6.101–6.133
judicial review, 4.175–4.194
refusal of, grounds for
public policy, violation of, 4.175–4.194
suspension of proceedings under New York Convention, Art VI, 1.69–1.82
case law, review of, 1.71–1.82
factors, 1.71–1.82
grounds for: mandatory or discretionary, 1.76–1.82
policy considerations, 1.71–1.82
standards, search for, 1.69–1.82
- Evidence, compulsion of
Asia-Pacific perspective, 2.32–2.59
documents, production of, 2.34
judicial intervention (generally), 2.34–2.35
judicial intervention (specifically). *See under specific jurisdictions and institutional rules*
parties, 2.36–2.39
public policy, and, 2.32–2.33
rules of international arbitral institutions, and, 2.36–2.39
third parties, 2.39–2.58
witnesses, compelling, 2.34
proof, mode of, 2.35–2.36
- Foreign arbitration
injunctive aid of
jurisdiction of Singapore court to grant, 3.142–3.162
- Free trade agreements (FTAs)
investment treaties, and, 1.3–1.10. *See also* Investment treaty arbitrations; Investment treaties
United States-Singapore FTA, 1.20–1.23
arbitral proceedings, transparency of, 1.23
investment chapter, features of, 1.21–1.23
investment, definition of, 1.21
investor, definition of, 1.21
investor-state dispute settlement mechanism, 1.23
treatment, standards of, 1.22
- HKICAC Procedures for the Administration of International Arbitration
evidence, compulsion of, 2.38
Hong Kong International Arbitration Centre. *See* HKIAC
Hong Kong Special Administrative Region (HKSAR)
arbitration agreement, scope of subject matter of dispute, and, 1.95–1.101
Arbitration Ordinance, proposed changes to, 5.109–5.141
confidentiality of proceedings, 5.122–5.123
court intervention under, 5.118–5.120, 5.129–5.133
interim measures of protection, 5.121–5.122, 5.134–5.141
interpretation of, 5.116–5.118
legislative background, 5.110–5.112
opt-in provisions, 5.123–5.128
recommendations for change, 5.112–5.114
structural changes, 5.114–5.116
awards, enforcement in mainland China, 1.93–1.94
court proceedings, stay of
reference to arbitration, and, 1.95–1.101
dismissal of arbitration proceedings for want of prosecution, 5.190–5.209
Arbitration Ordinance 1992, s 2GE(2), 5.200–5.207
evidence from third parties, compulsion of, 2.47–2.52
legislative regime, 2.47–2.52

IBA Rules on the Taking of Evidence
in International Commercial
Arbitration

evidence, compulsion of, 2.38

ICC Rules of Arbitration

evidence, compulsion of, 2.37

ICSID Arbitration Rules

amendment of, 3.13

India

Arbitration and Conciliation Act 1996

analysis of, 1.105–1.126

arbitral awards, setting aside, 1.120–
1.123

arbitral proceedings: conduct of,
1.116–1.1.118; evidence, taking of,
1.117–1.118

arbitral tribunal: powers (general) of,
1.119–1.1.120; jurisdiction of, 1.116

arbitration agreement: definition of,
1.108; existence of, 1.110; reference
to expert, distinguished, 1.110;
validity of, 1.123–1.125

arbitrators: appointment of,
1.113–1.1.115; challenge to, 1.115;
termination of mandate, 1.116

court intervention in arbitral
proceedings, 1.111–1.112

coverage, 1.106–1.107

disputes, arbitrability of, 1.108–1.110

features (salient), 1.107–1.126

foreign arbitrations, and, 1.107

foreign awards: definition of, 1.123;
enforcement of, 1.123, 1.125–1.126

governing law, 1.118–1.119

interim measures of protection, 1.107,
1.112–1.113

international commercial arbitration,
definition of, 1.111

objectives of, 1.106

scheme of, 1.106–1.107

UNCITRAL Model Law, departure

from, 1.112, 1.113, 1.115, 1.116, 1.126

arbitration law

analysis of, 1.105–1.126

pre-1996, 1.105

post-1996, 1.105–1.106

arbitrators, appointment of

court orders, nature of, 3.107–3.112

awards, enforcement of. *See* enforcement
of arbitral awards *below*

India (*continued*)

court intervention in arbitral proceedings,
3.99–3.123

arbitrators, appointment and

jurisdiction of, 3.102–3.112

award, setting aside, 3.118–3.121

interim measures of protection, grant
of, 3.112–3.117

trend, 3.107–3.112

enforcement of arbitral awards, 4.64–4.82

domestic awards: comparison with
enforcement of foreign awards,
4.76; grounds for setting aside 4.66–
4.70; procedures, 4.70–4.72

foreign awards: conditions for
enforcement, 4.74–4.78; comparison
with enforcement of domestic
awards, 4.76; definition of, 4.74–
4.75; procedures, 4.79–4.80

legislative regime, 4.64–4.66

statistics: domestic awards, 4.73–4.74;
foreign awards, 4.80–4.81

Indonesia

alternative dispute resolution

overview of, 3.191–3.194

stakeholder engagement, and, 3.187–
3.213

Arbitration and Alternative Dispute

Resolution Act 1999

overview of, 3.196–3.197

salient features of, 3.189

terminology (selected) of, 3.197–3.200

arbitration law

challenges facing, 3.189–3.213

dispute avoidance

stakeholder engagement, and, 3.187–
3.213

stakeholder engagement

as pre-emptive measure of dispute
avoidance, 3.187–3.213

Indonesian National Board of Arbitration.

See BANI

Institutional rules of arbitration. *See under*
specific rules

Interim measures of protection

ACICA regime, examination of, 6.82–6.96

China, 5.154–5.156

civil law states, in, 6.78–6.82

court's power to grant injunctions in aid of
foreign arbitrations, 3.142–3.162

- Interim measures of protection (*continued*)
 Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, 5.121–5.122, 5.134–5.141
- Interim measures of protection (UNCITRAL Model Law). *See also under* UNCITRAL Model Law
- conditions for issuance, 2.7–2.9
- burden of proof, 2.7–2.9
- considerations for arbitral tribunal, 2.7–2.9
- court-ordered, assessment of provisions on, 2.21–2.23
- ex parte* applications for, controversy surrounding, 2.10–2.17
- ex parte* preliminary orders
- analysis of, 2.13–2.14
- consensus on, 2.12–2.17
- enforceability of, 2.14–2.17
- impact (potential) of proposed reforms, 2.23–2.30
- India, 2.25–2.28
- Indonesia, 2.28–2.30
- Singapore, 2.24–2.25
- opt-out scheme, 2.5, 2.13
- power to grant, 2.5–2.17
- provisions on, clarification of, 2.1–2.23
- recognition and enforcement of, 2.17–2.21
- court assistance, desirability of, 2.17–2.18
- evaluation of provisions on, 2.20–2.21
- features of provisions on, 2.18–2.20
- reformation of Model Law provisions, 2.1–2.31
- harmonisation, process of, 2.24
- impact (potential) of, 2.23–2.30
- safeguards, built-in, 2.9–2.10, 2.14, 2.18
- International Bar Association. *See* IBA
- International Chamber of Commerce. *See* ICC
- Investment treaties
- Asian states, and, 1.16–1.25, 3.5–3.7
- ASEAN, 1.23–25
- China, 1.17–20
- Singapore, 1.20–23
- benefits under, maximisation of. *See* 'treaty shopping' *below*
- bilateral. *See* BITs
- described, 3.2
- dispute resolution options, 1.10–1.14
- ICSID arbitration, 1.10–1.13
- others, 1.14
- fair and equitable treatment of investments, 3.59–3.67
- Investment treaties (*continued*)
- fair and equitable treatment of investments (*continued*)
- investors' expectations, 3.62–3.67
- standard of treatment, 3.59–3.62
- interpretation of
- jurisdictional issues, 3.14–3.58
- merits issues, 3.58–3.79
- investment contracts, and, 1.38–1.43
- dispute resolution clauses (conflicting), 1.39–1.43
- lists of, 1.3 n1
- MFN clauses, scope of application, 3.47–3.58
- multilateral, 1.3
- structure of, 1.4–1.5, 1.10
- structure and content, 3.2–3.3
- treaty shopping, 1.44–1.47
- practice of, 3.17–3.26
- prevention of, 1.46–1.47
- special purpose vehicles, and, 1.44–1.47
- usage, 1.44
- types of, 3.2
- umbrella clauses
- meaning and effect of, 1.7, 1.34–1.38, 1.41–1.43
- violations of: characterisation and treatment of claims, 1.38–1.43
- Investment treaty arbitrations. *See also* Investor-state dispute resolution
- arbitration with privity, 1.9–1.10, 1.38
- arbitration without privity, 1.9, 1.38
- ASEAN Agreement for the Promotion and Protection of Investments, under, 1.27–1.30
- Asia, and, 1.3–1.48
- developments in 2005 and 2006, 3.1–3.79
- Asian parties, and, 1.26–1.30
- pending cases in 2005 and 2006, 3.8–3.12
- contractual claims
- jurisdiction of tribunal over, 3.44–3.47
- expropriation and state's right to regulate, 3.67–3.77
- proportionality and purpose of state's conduct, 3.67–3.62
- international commercial arbitrations, and, 1.14
- jurisdictional issues, 3.14–3.58
- contract claims vs treaty claims, 3.26–3.47

Investment treaty arbitrations (*continued*)jurisdictional issues (*continued*)

MFN clauses, reliance upon, 3.47–3.58

shareholder's right to bring investment treaty claims, 3.15–3.26

treaty claims vs contract claims, 3.26–3.47

merits issues, 3.58–3.77

fair and equitable treatment of investments, 3.59–3.67

expropriation of investments and compensation, 3.67–3.77

MFN clauses, applicability of, 3.47–3.58

most-favoured-nation clauses, jurisdiction based on, 1.30–1.34

procedure, 1.14–1.15

proliferation of, 1.15–1.16

right of action of investor-shareholders, 3.15–3.26

lifting the corporate veil, 3.17–3.26

nationality (of investor-shareholders), relevance of, 3.17–3.26

transparency of, 1.12–1.13

transparency, pursuit of

amicus curiae procedure (availability of) as solution, 3.176–3.186

treaty claims

definition of, 3.27

jurisdiction of tribunal over, 3.27–3.33

trends and challenges in, 1.47–1.48

tribunals' jurisdiction over contract claims, 1.38–1.43

'umbrella clause' claims

jurisdiction of tribunal over, 3.33–3.44

Investor-state dispute resolution. *See also*

Investment treaty arbitrations;

Investment treaties

discovery involving Korea, 4.137–4.142

grounds for objection, 4.139–4.140

privilege involving government communications, 4.140–4.142

requirements, 4.139

most-favoured-nation clauses,

applicability of, 1.30–1.34

state-state dispute resolution, and, 1.40

transparency, pursuit of

amicus curiae intervention, reliance on, 3.176–3.186

Japan

arbitration law

historical landscape and reform, 1.127–128

Japan (*continued*)arbitration law (*continued*)

review of, 1.127–140

Arbitration Law (2003)

alternative dispute resolution (ADR), and, 1.139–140

arbitral procedures, innovation and modifications: arb-med, 1.133–134

arbitral procedures, innovation and modifications: multiparty arbitrations, 1.132–1.133; 'public order' exceptions, 1.133

arbitral tribunal, constituting: role of courts, 1.130–1.131; role of arbitral institutions, 1.131

arbitration agreement, requirements for, 1.130

arbitration, commencement of, 1.136–137

arbitration-mediation: advisability of, 1.134; statutory provision for, 1.133

arbitrators, criminal sanctions for

bribery and corruption, 1.138

clarification, points of, 2.80–2.85

comparison with former Arbitration Law (1890), 2.80–2.88

costs, recovery of, 1.137–138

court assistance: commencement of

arbitration, 1.136; constituting

arbitral tribunal, 1.130–1.131;

service of documents, 1.136; taking evidence, 1.137

courts: jurisdiction of, 1.131–1.132; role of, 1.136–137

disputes, arbitrability of, 1.129–130

features (key) of, 2.75–2.88

features (principal) of, 1.128–138

foreign awards, enforcement of, 1.135

governing law, 1.134–135

information technology age, and, 2.87

international conventions, and, 2.79–2.80

multiparty arbitrations, 1.132–133

prognosis, 1.138–140

'public order' exceptions, 1.133

revisions, points of, 2.85–2.87

scope of, 1.129

shortcoming, 2.88

UNCITRAL Model Law, and, 2.77–2.78

UNCITRAL Model Law, departure from, 1.128–138

KLIRCA

- Arbitration Act 1952
 - independence of KLIRCA under, 2.99
- Arbitration Act 2005
 - appointment of arbitral tribunal, 2.101–2.102
 - independence of KLIRCA under, 2.101
- legislative impact on, 2.97–2.112
- model arbitration clause, 2.105–2.106
- recognition and enforcement of awards, 2.103

KLIRCA Arbitration Rules

- evidence, compulsion of, 2.38

Korea

- Arbitration Act (Law No 6083)
 - features of, 2.61–2.64
 - UNCITRAL Model Law, and, 2.61–2.64
- arbitration landscape, 2.61
- convention status, 2.61
- court system (civil), 2.64
- discovery
 - guide in investment arbitration, 4.137–4.142
- enforcement of arbitral awards, 2.60–2.75
 - challenging enforcement, grounds for, 2.66–2.75
 - court, jurisdiction of 2.64–2.65
 - domestic and foreign awards, distinctive treatment of 2.65–2.66
 - enforcement orders, application for 2.65
 - foreign and domestic awards, distinctive treatment of 2.65–2.66
 - procedure for 2.64–2.66
 - public policy defences: fraud, and, 6.70–6.72; manifest disregard of the law, and, 6.68–6.69; standards for, 6.64–6.73
 - review of merits of award, 6.67–6.68
- investment arbitration
 - discovery, 4.137–4.142
- legislative regime 2.61–2.64

Kuala Lumpur Regional Centre for Arbitration. *See* KLIRCA

Legislation

- comparative analysis
 - China's Arbitration Law, art 19 and UNCITRAL Model Law, Art 16, 3.166–3.168
 - Croatian Arbitration Act and UNCITRAL Model Law, Art 16(3), 3.138–3.139

Legislation (*continued*)

- comparative analysis (*continued*)
 - German Arbitration Law 1998, s 1040 and UNCITRAL Model Law, Art 16(3), 3.137–3.138
 - Singapore International Arbitration Act and UNCITRAL Model Law, Art 16(3), 3.140–3.141
 - UNCITRAL Model Law, Art 16
 - evolution of, 3.128–3.131

LCIA Arbitration Rules

- evidence, compulsion of, 2.37–2.38
- London Court of International Arbitration. *See* LCIA

Malaysia

- Arbitration Act 1952
 - court intervention, 2.99
 - impact of, 2.97–2.98
 - interim relief, and, 2.99
 - KLIRCA, and, 2.98–2.99
 - legislative background, 2.98–2.99
- Arbitration Act 2005
 - Arbitration Act 1996 (NZ), 2.99
 - arbitration proceedings, commencement of, 2.100
 - costs and expenses, 2.103
 - electronic communications, recognition of, 2.100
 - impact of, 2.99–2.112
 - legislative background, 2.99–2.100
 - New York Convention 1958, and, 2.103–2.112
 - recognition and enforcement of awards, 2.103–2.111
 - shortcomings, 2.109
 - stay of court proceedings, 2.100
 - UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules, and, 2.102
 - UNCITRAL Model Law, adoption of, 2.99, 2.109
- evidence from third parties, compulsion of, 2.56–2.58
- legislative regime, 2.56–2.58

Mandatory rules of law

- application of, 5.13–5.25, 5.95–5.108
- debate, position of, 5.9–5.13
- methodologies (key) used: Legitimate Expectations Method, 5.37–5.38; Special Connection Method, 5.29–5.34; Transnational Public Policy Method, 5.34–5.37

Mandatory rules of law (*continued*)application of (*continued*)

policy considerations, 5.13–5.21

request by party, necessity for, 5.21–5.22

arbitral tribunal's jurisdiction, and, 5.7–5.9

factors for consideration, 5.43–5.45

arbitration, and, 5.1–5.45, 5.95–5.108

categorisation of, 5.25–5.27

identification of, 5.23–5.25

importance of, 5.7

nature of, 5.5–5.6

role of (in arbitration), critical analysis, 5.1–5.45

Model arbitration clauses. *See under specific arbitral institutions*Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration. *See* UNCITRAL Model Law

New York Convention, application of

Art V, grounds to resist enforcement

proceedings under, 1.69–82

mandatory or discretionary, 1.76–82

Art VI, suspension of enforcement

proceedings under, 1.69–82

New York Convention, implementation of

China, in, 4.123–4.136

applicable law, determination of, 4.133–4.134

arbitration agreement, validity of, 4.126–4.127

due process, observance of, 4.128

foreign law, application of, 4.134

jurisdiction, excess of, 4.128–4.129

limitation period, 4.130–4.131

procedural considerations, 4.123–4.124, 4.135–4.136

public policy, 4.129–4.130

terms of application, satisfaction of, 4.131–4.132

New Zealand

Arbitration Act 1996

2007 Amendments, 4.7

arbitration: confidentiality of, 4.54–4.58; extension of time for commencement of, 4.10

arbitration agreement (consumer), 4.8–4.10

arbitrators: appointment of, 4.17–4.20; challenge to, 4.20–4.21; jurisdiction, determination of, 4.21–4.22

New Zealand (*continued*)Arbitration Act 1996 (*continued*)

awards: appeal on question of law, 4.42–4.47; recognition and enforcement, 4.47–4.50; setting aside, 4.22–4.42

background, 4.1–4.4

costs, 4.50–4.53

court intervention, 4.10–4.11

features, 4.5–4.6

interim measures of protection, power of court to grant, 4.15–4.17

interim measures regime (new), 4.59–4.63

judicial decisions on: arbitrators,

appointment of, 4.17–4.20;

arbitrators, challenge to, 4.20–

4.21; arbitrators' jurisdiction,

determination of, 4.21–4.22;

awards, appeal on question of

law, 4.42–4.47; awards, recognition and enforcement, 4.47–4.50;

awards, setting aside, 4.22–4.42;

confidentiality, 4.54–4.58; consumer

arbitration agreements, 4.8–4.10;

costs, 4.50–4.53; court intervention,

4.10–4.11; extension of time for

commencing arbitral proceedings,

4.10; interim measures of

protection, power of court to grant, 4.15–4.17; stay of court proceedings,

4.11–4.15

stay of court proceedings, 4.11–4.15

structure, 4.4

experience of the UNCITRAL Model Law, 4.1–4.63

legislative regime, 4.1–4.7, 4.59–4.63

background, 4.1–4.4

features, 4.5–4.6

interim measures regime (new), 4.59–4.63

Petroleum contracts, third party adaptation of

amiable compositeur, role of, 2.158–2.159

arbitration procedure, and, 2.157

civil law system, 2.156, 2.158, 2.159

common law system, 2.155–2.156

gap filling, 2.151–2.162

justification, 2.152–2.155

national laws, and, 2.158, 2.159

practice, 2.159–2.161

role of arbitration, 2.151–2.162

- Petroleum contracts, third party adaptation of
(*continued*)
scope, 2.152–2.155
- Philippines
enforcement of foreign arbitral awards
appeal, right of, 6.119–6.122
challenges: pre-Special ADR Rules
2009, 6.107–6.114; post-Special ADR
Rules 2009, 6.123–6.132
filing fees, 6.111–6.112, 6.123
grounds for refusal, 6.108–6.111,
6.116–6.119
legislative regime, 6.102–6.107
process, 6.113–6.114, 6.115–6.116
refusal of: violation of public policy,
4.175–4.194
Special ADR Rules 2009, and, 6.114–
6.123
- Place of arbitration. *See* Seat of arbitration
- Public policy defences
classes of, 4.156–4.160
domestic, 4.158–4.160
international, 4.157–4.158
examples of, 4.150–4.156
harmonisation, desirability of, 4.160–4.163
'international public policy', and, 6.69–6.70
principles applicable, analysis of, 4.146–
4.168
review of, 4.143–4.174
sovereignty, exercise of state's, 4.149–4.150,
4.160, 4.169–4.171
standards in Korea, 6.64–6.73
uniformity in, principles for consideration,
4.163–4.174
- Rulings (preliminary) of tribunals
jurisdiction, on
affirmative rulings, effect of, 3.125–
3.141
awards, and, 3.125–3.141
enforceability of, 3.125–3.141
nature of, 3.125–3.141
negative rulings, effect of, 3.136–3.141
procedural orders and directions
awards, and, 3.125–3.141
- Seat of arbitration
concept of, 4.197–4.201
curial law, and, 4.216
physical place of hearing, *cf.* 4.196
selection, factors for consideration, 4.195–
4.220
- Seat of arbitration (*continued*)
selection, factors for consideration
(*continued*)
attitude of state and courts towards
arbitration, 4.201–4.205
ease of enforcement of awards, 4.205–
4.207
importance of making clear selection,
4.214–4.219
knowledge of procedural law of seat,
4.212–4.214
neutrality of seat, 4.207–4.210
practical conveniences, 4.210–4.211
time taken to appoint tribunal, 4.211–
4.212
systems of law, and, 4.197
- Set-offs
counterclaim, distinguished, 1.145–146
defence
civil law, in, 1.145
common law, in, 1.144
doctrine of, 1.143
institutional rules, analysis of, 1.147–153
reference to set-off defences - none,
1.151–152
reference to set-off defences - specific,
1.152–153
UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules, based
on, 1.147–151
institutional rules, list of, 1.157–160
international arbitrations, in, 1.141–160
debate surrounding, 1.141–143,
1.154–157
- SIAC arbitration
ICC arbitration, and, 5.212–5.213
structure of, 5.212–5.213
- SIAC Rules
evidence, compulsion of, 2.38
- Singapore
anti-suit injunctions. *See also* methods to
secure arbitration *below*
court's power to grant, 2.128–2.130
steps to obtain, 2.130–2.136.
court intervention
philosophy, 2.113–2.118
UNCITRAL Model Law, and, 2.113–
2.118
court's jurisdiction to grant injunctions in
aid of foreign arbitration
case review, 3.147–3.161
procedural considerations, 3.155–3.159

Singapore (*continued*)

- court's jurisdiction to grant injunctions in aid of foreign arbitration (*continued*)
 - sources (statutory) of power, 3.145–3.147
- developments in arbitration, 5.210–5.222
- disclosure of documents in international arbitrations, 1.49–68
- evidence from third parties, compulsion of, 2.53–2.56
- foreign arbitration
 - court's power to grant orders in aid of, 2.134–2.136
- hybrid arbitration agreement, validity of, 5.217–5.221
- inviolability of awards, 5.213–5.221
- legislative regime, 2.53–2.56
- methods to secure arbitration, 2.118–2.136
 - anti-suit injunctions, 2.127–2.136
 - appointment of arbitrators, 2.118–2.119
 - stay of proceedings, 2.119–2.127
- stay of court proceedings
 - conditions, imposition of, 2.126–2.127
 - 'null and void, inoperative or incapable of being performed', meaning of, 2.125–2.126
 - prima facie* test, and, 2.121–2.125

Singapore International Arbitration Centre.

See SIAC

stakeholder engagement

- alternative dispute resolution, and, 3.200–3.209
 - case study, 3.209–3.212
 - in practice, 3.206–3.208
 - promise of, 3.201–3.202
- challenges facing, 3.202–3.206
- failure to utilise (as part of dispute resolution process), consequence of, 3.210–3.212
- premise of, 3.187–3.188
- theory, prominence of, 3.200–3.201

Stay of enforcement proceedings *See*

- Enforcement of foreign arbitral awards: suspension of proceedings

Thailand

- Arbitration Act BE 2545 (2002), 5.52–5.54
- arbitration institutions, 5.55–5.57
- arbitration practice, 5.57–5.69
- enforcement of arbitral awards
 - public sector, and, 5.63–5.67

Thailand (*continued*)

- commercial arbitration, review of, 5.46–5.69
 - arbitration law, 5.52–5.55
 - arbitration institutions, 5.55–5.57
 - arbitration procedures, 5.57–5.58
 - arbitrators, 5.58–5.60
 - historical and social context, 5.47–5.51
 - judicial support, 5.61–5.63
 - public sector dealings, 5.63–5.67

Tribunal

- ruling on arbitral jurisdiction
 - award, and, 3.125–3.141

UNCITRAL, role of, 2.24

UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules

- ACICA, and, 2.89
- evidence, compulsion of, 2.37

UNCITRAL Model Law

- application of Art 8(1) (in HKSAR)
 - construction of first limb, 1.95–101
 - legal principles, application of, 1.98–101
 - legal principles, restatement of, 1.98–100
- arbitral jurisdiction, determination of
 - negative preliminary ruling, effect of, 3.128–3.141
- arbitration agreement, form of, 5.148–5.150
- Australian International Arbitration Act 1974, and, 2.42–2.46
- Chinese arbitration law and 2006 Model Law, comparative study of, 5.142–5.166
- harmonisation, desirability of, 2.3, 2.5, 2.22
- interim measures of protection, 5.153–5.154
 - enforceability of, background on, 2.2
 - essence of, attempt to capture, 2.6
 - form of, 2.7
 - impact (potential) of proposed reforms, 2.23–2.30
 - reformation of provisions on, 2.1–2.31
 - revisions (proposed), formulation of, 2.3–2.4, 2.6, 2.7, 2.12–2.13, 2.16, 2.18–2.19, 2.22
 - revisions (proposed), key considerations for, 2.7
 - revisions (proposed), key features of, 2.5–2.10, 2.13–2.14, 2.18–2.20
 - shortcomings of original provisions, 2.2–2.3, 2.5

UNCITRAL Model Law (*continued*)

Japanese Arbitration Law (2003), 2.75–2.88

Korean Arbitration Act, and, 2.61–2.64

New Zealand's experience of, 4.1–4.63

reformation of provisions

 harmonisation, process of, 2.24

United Nations Commission on International

 Trade Law. *See* UNCITRAL

Vietnam

enforcement of arbitral awards, 2.137–

 2.150

Code of Civil Procedure 2005, 2.149–

 2.150

Ordinance on Commercial Arbitration

 2003, 2.147–2.150

pre-legislative reforms, 2.138–2.147:

 domestic awards, 2.138–2.139;

 foreign awards, 2.139–2.147

post-legislative reforms, 2.147–2.150:

 domestic awards, 2.147–2.148;

 foreign awards, 2.149–2.150

Vietnam (*continued*)

Ordinance on Commercial Arbitration

 2003

analysis of, 3.80–3.98

appointing authority, restriction on

 selection of, 3.91–3.44

arbitrators: choice of, 3.87–3.92;

 nationality of, 3.87–3.89;

 professional experience of, 3.89;

 restrictions on appointment of,

 3.87–3.90

choice of law, restrictions on, 3.93–3.95

party autonomy, restrictions on,

 3.87–3.92

pleadings, amendment of, 3.97

reforms, proposed, 3.84–3.98

scope of application, 3.84–3.87

tribunal, powers of: determine

 jurisdiction, 3.92–3.93; grant interim

 relief, 3.95–3.96; award costs,

 3.96–3.97