INDEX

Arbitral tribunal jurisdiction of corruption (arbitrability of issues of), and, 8.62–8.64 right and obligation to inquire into corruption sua sponte, 8.14–8.22 Arbitrator duty to report corruption, 8.69–8.73 Awards judicial scrutiny of corruption-tainted awards, 8.73–8.116 competing considerations: finality of award vs forum's fundamental public policy concerns, 8.74–8.75 competing judicial attitudes: minimal review, 8.76–8.85; maximal review, 8.85–8.93; contextual review, 8.93–8.98 public policy considerations, 8.98–8.105	Corruption (continued) finding of, and consequences (legal)
standard of review, 8.105–8.116	between Mainland China and Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, 8.120–8.130
Arrangement Concerning Mutual Enforcement of Arbitral Awards between the Mainland China and the Hong Kong SAR 1999 conflicts in enforcement, 8.127–8.129 legislative background, 8.121–8.127 awards mutual enforcement of awards between Mainland China and Hong Kong SAR, 8.120–8.130 Corruption arbitrability of issues of, 8.62–8.64 arbitrator's duty to report, 8.69–8.73 burden of proof, 8.22–8.37 elements of corrupt conduct, 8.9 examination of, in international arbitration, 8.1–8.119 finding of, and consequences (legal), 8.61–8.68	Intermediary agreement applicable law, 8.37–8.61 choice of law analysis, 8.57–8.60 conflicts of laws analysis, 8.37–8.57 transnational public policy, and, 8.60–8.61 corruption, and, 8.25–8.28 elements of, 8.25–8.28 Place of arbitration. See Seat of arbitration Place of performance seat of arbitration, and, in conflicts of laws analysis, 8.46–8.60 Seat of arbitration applicable law governing intermediary agreement, and, 8.37–8.61 place of performance, and, in conflicts of laws analysis, 8.46–8.60