

CONSOLIDATED INDEX

VOLUMES 1–8

- ACICA
 - interim measures of protection, regime for, 6.82–6.96
 - organisational background, 6.75–6.76
- ACICA Arbitration Rules
 - article 28, examination of, 6.82–6.96
 - evidence, compulsion of, 2.38–2.39
 - features (notable) of, 2.90–2.92
 - interim measures of protection, and, 6.74–6.96
 - costs or damages, liability for, 6.93–6.94
 - criteria for grant of, 6.88–6.90
 - definition of, 6.86–6.88
 - disclosure of material changes in circumstances, 6.91–6.92
 - general, in, 6.77–6.78
 - jurisdiction to grant, 6.94–6.96
 - modification, suspension or termination of, 6.92–6.93
 - power to grant, 6.83–6.86
 - security, provision of, 6.90–6.91
 - note on, 2.89–2.92
 - philosophies underlying, 2.90
- ACICA Model Arbitration Clause, 2.92
- Agreement (arbitration). *See* Arbitration agreement
- Alternative dispute resolution (Indonesia)
 - stakeholder engagement, and, 3.187–3.213
- Amicus curiae*
 - acceptability of submissions
 - extent to which process rendered more transparent, 3.181–3.185
 - negative consequences of, 3.185
 - requirements for, 3.179–3.181
 - intervention of
 - suitability in investor-state dispute resolution, 3.178–3.181
 - purpose of, 3.185
 - role of
 - in investor-state dispute resolution, 3.178–3.181
- Arbitral jurisdiction
 - determination of
 - UNCITRAL Model Law, Art 16, 3.128
- Arbitral tribunal. *See also* Tribunal
 - jurisdiction of
 - corruption (arbitrability of issues of), and, 8.62–8.64
 - investment treaty arbitrations, in, 3.14–3.58
 - mandatory rules of law, and, 5.7–5.9
 - power to grant interim measures of protection under the Model Law, 2.5–2.17
 - power to grant interim relief, 8.223
 - right and obligation to inquire into corruption *sua sponte*, 8.14–8.22
- Arbitration agreement
 - drafting of, and consolidation of proceedings, 5.70–5.94
 - form of, 5.147–5.151
 - formalities
 - ‘writing’ requirement (Aust), 7.32
- hybrid agreement
 - validity in Singapore, 5.217–5.221
- separability of
 - application of doctrine in China, 3.163–3.175
 - judicial recognition in China, 3.169–3.175
 - party autonomy, and, 3.166–3.175
- Arbitration proceedings
 - consolidation of
 - approach by courts, 5.75–5.84
 - arbitrators, selection of, 5.90–5.93
 - drafting agreements, and, 5.70–5.94
 - issue of, 5.72–5.75
 - statutory bases for, 5.84–5.89
 - dismissal for want of prosecution, 5.190–5.209
 - comparative legislation, 5.207–5.208

- Arbitration proceedings (*continued*)
 dismissal for want of prosecution
 (*continued*)
 during arbitration proceedings and
 prior to publication of award,
 5.195–5.200
 Hong Kong Arbitration Ordinance
 1992, s 2GE(2), 5.200–5.207
 principles applicable in litigation, and,
 5.191–5.200
 where claimant seeks leave to appeal
 from award, 5.193–5.195
- Arbitrator
amiable compositeur, 2.158–2.159
 conflicts of interest
 disclosure, standards and expectations
 of, 7.118–7.147
 due diligence, duty to conduct, 7.137–
 7.146
 non-disclosure, consequences of,
 7.127–7.134
 over-disclosure, effects of, 7.135–7.137
 duties of
 SIAC Rules, under, 8.196–8.221. *See also*
 under SIAC Rules
 duty to report corruption, 8.69–8.73
 expectations of, 8.199–8.201
 impartiality and independence, standards
 of
 IBA Guidelines on Conflicts of Interest
 in International Arbitration,
 examination of, 7.118–7.147
 jurisdiction to apply mandatory rules,
 5.22–5.23
 factors for consideration, 5.43–5.45
 power of
 contract adaptation, 2.158–2.162. *See*
 also petroleum contracts, third party
 adaptation of
- ASEAN
 ASEAN Agreement for the Promotion and
 Protection of Investments, 1.23–1.25
 features of, 1.23–1.25
 goals of, 1.23
 Comprehensive Investment Agreement,
 5.173–5.189
 dispute resolution, and, 5.186–5.187
 exceptions, 5.185–5.186
 expropriation, protection from, 5.184–
 5.185
 investment: pillars of, 5.171; treatment
 of, 5.183
- ASEAN (*continued*)
 Comprehensive Investment Agreement,
 5.173–5.189 (*continued*)
 most favoured nation treatment,
 5.181–5.182
 national treatment, 5.180–5.181
 scope of application, 5.174–5.180,
 5.185–5.186
 transfer of funds, 5.183–5.184
 transitional provisions, 5.187–5.188
 development of ASEAN framework,
 5.168–5.173
 Framework Agreement for the ASEAN
 Investment Area, 1.25
- Asia
International Commercial Arbitration in Asia
 (2nd Ed), 2.192–2.193
- Asia-Pacific
 evidence, compulsion of (international
 commercial arbitration), 2.32–2.59
- Association of Southeast Asian Nations. *See*
 ASEAN
- Australia
Anaconda Operations Pty Ltd v Fluor
Australia Pty Ltd, 2.163–2.168
 finding of court, 2.166–2.167
 judicial treatment and interpretation
 of arbitral award, analysis of,
 2.167–2.175: ambiguity in arbitral
 award, 2.168–2.169; court discretion
 in granting leave to appeal, 2.167;
 court's familiarity with international
 arbitral procedure, 2.171–2.172;
 recognition of tribunal's experience,
 2.169–2.171; remission for
 clarification, 2.172–2.175
 lessons to be learnt, 2.175–2.178
- arbitration
 applicable law, 5.99–5.101
 mandatory rules of law, and, 5.95–5.108
- Comandate Marine Corp v Pan Australia*
Shipping Pty Ltd (2006), 5.95–5.108
 background, 5.97–5.99
 determination on appeal, 5.102–5.106
- court intervention
 Commercial Arbitration Act 1984 (Vic)
 2.164–2.178
 review of arbitral awards 2.163–2.178
 enforcement of foreign arbitral awards
 against non-signatories of
 arbitration agreement, 8.131–8.160

Australia (*continued*)

- evidence from third parties, compulsion of, 2.40–2.47
- International Arbitration Act 1974 (Cth)
 - finality of award, impact on, 2.176–2.177
 - UNCITRAL Model Law, implementation of 2.176–2.177
- International Arbitration Amendment Act 2010
 - aims and objectives, 7.30–7.31
 - Commercial Arbitration Act, and, 7.49–7.51
 - confidentiality in international arbitrations, 7.39–7.42
 - enforcement of foreign awards, 7.32–7.33
 - exclusion of Model Law, 7.33–7.37
 - formalities and arbitration agreement, 7.32
 - interim measures, 7.38–7.39
 - operation of, 7.45–7.51
- legislative amendments, review of, 7.29–7.53
- legislative framework, review of, 6.1–6.43
 - arbitrability of disputes, 6.5–6.9
 - arbitration agreement: applicable law, 6.9–6.10; writing requirements, 6.11–6.16
 - enforcement of foreign arbitral awards, 6.16–6.22: public policy and, 6.19–6.22
 - interim measures, 6.22–6.25
 - interpretation, 6.41
 - proceedings, privacy of, 6.40–6.25
 - stay of proceedings by courts:
 - applications under International Arbitration Act 1974 and UNCITRAL Model Law, 6.11;
 - conditions imposed on, 6.10–6.11
- UNCITRAL Model Law, and:
 - arbitrators, power to appoint, 6.31–6.34; arbitrators ruling on own jurisdiction, 6.34; arb-med, 6.35–6.37; awards, 6.37–6.39;
 - courts' jurisdiction, 6.29–6.31;
 - evidence, taking of, 6.35; interest and costs, awarding, 6.39; opting in or out, 6.25–6.29; proceedings, consolidation of, 6.39
- legislative regime, 2.41–2.47

Australia (*continued*)

- Trade Practices Act 1974 (Cth)
 - application of, 5.100–5.101
 - International Arbitration Act, and, 5.105–5.106
 - status as 'public policy' statute, 5.101
- Uniform Commercial Arbitration Acts
 - review of arbitral awards, scope for 2.176–2.177
- Australian Centre for International Commercial Arbitration. *See* ACICA
- Awards
 - cancellation in China, grounds for, 4.84–4.86, 4.90. *See also* non-enforcement in China *below*
 - domestic awards, 4.84–4.85, 4.86
 - Foreign Invested Enterprises (FIEs), and, 4.97
 - foreign-related awards, 4.85–4.86
 - Prior Reporting System, 4.91, 4.93
 - procedures, 4.96: competent courts, 4.94; comparison with enforcement procedures, 4.101; documents for submission, 4.95; fees, 4.94–4.95; time limit, 4.94
 - confidentiality of
 - approaches to, 1.170–1.173
 - arbitration institutions, role of, 1.173–1.174
 - general duty, 1.169–1.170
 - definition
 - comparative analysis of various national legislation, 3.134–3.141
 - form vs substance, 3.125–3.141
 - UNCITRAL Model Law, in, 3.131–3.133
 - disclosure of, advantages, 1.177–1.180
 - enforcement in China, 4.83–4.103
 - checklist, 4.102–4.103
 - domestic awards, 4.86–4.87, 4.90
 - foreign awards, 4.87–4.89, 4.90
 - foreign-related awards, 4.87, 4.90
 - legal framework, 4.84
 - Prior Reporting System, 4.91, 4.92
 - procedures, 4.94–4.96
 - enforcement in India, 4.64–4.82
 - enforcement in New Zealand, 4.47–4.50
 - enforcement in Philippines
 - foreign awards, 6.101–6.133
 - enforcement in UAE, 8.166–8.168
 - interim awards and the enforceability conundrum, 8.222–8.231

Awards (*continued*)

- judicial scrutiny of corruption-tainted awards, 8.73–8.116
 - competing considerations: finality of award vs forum's fundamental public policy concerns, 8.74–8.75
 - competing judicial attitudes: minimal review, 8.76–8.85; maximal review, 8.85–8.93; contextual review, 8.93–8.98
 - public policy considerations, 8.98–8.105
 - standard of review, 8.105–8.116
 - non-enforcement in China, grounds for, 4.84–4.86, 4.90. *See also* cancellation in China *above*
 - domestic awards, 4.84–4.85, 4.86
 - foreign-related awards, 4.85–4.86
 - publication of, need for, 1.175–1.176
 - recognition and enforcement
 - comparative study of Chinese arbitration law, 2006 UNCITRAL Model Law and New York Convention, 5.156–5.165
 - judicial scrutiny of validity of award at time of enforcement, extent of, 7.97–7.117
 - repository of, benefits of having, 1.177–1.180
 - rulings (negative) of tribunal on jurisdiction, and, 3.136–3.141
 - setting aside in India, 8.178–8.195
 - condonation, sufficient cause for, 8.182–8.183
 - limitation, period of: Arbitration and Conciliation Act 1996, s 34, 8.183–8.195; Limitation Act 1963, applicability of, 8.185–8.192
 - statutory context, 8.180–8.182
 - setting aside in New Zealand, 4.22–4.42
 - setting aside in Singapore, 5.213–5.221
 - usage of term
 - UNCITRAL Model Law context, 3.127
- Awards (inconsistent)
- multi-party, multi-contract arbitration proceedings, in, 1.161–1.168
 - contractual approaches to problem: arbitrator, single common, 1.165–1.166; consolidation and joinder, 1.164–1.165; issue estoppel (contractually agreed), 1.167
 - illustrative case, 1.161–1.164
 - problem of, 1.161–1.168

BANI

- role of, 3.194–3.196

BITs

- Chinese BITs *See under* China
- investment protections, 1.6–1.7
- investor-state dispute settlement
 - mechanism, 1.7–1.10
- list of (chronological), 1.4 n2
- origins of, 1.4
- parties to, 1.4
- scope of, 1.5–1.6
 - qualifying investments, 1.5
 - qualifying investors, 1.6
- See also* Investment treaty arbitrations; Investment treaties
- shareholder claims, multiplicity of
 - prioritising, 6.134–6.163
 - problem of, 6.137–6.141
 - tiered approach: framework, 6.141–6.144; rationale for, 6.148–6.162; relevance of, 6.144–6.148
- structure of, 1.4–1.10

Book reviews

- American Arbitration Association Handbook on International Arbitration and ADR*, 3.214–3.217
- Arbitrability: International and Comparative Perspectives*, 5.223–5.226
- Arbitration in the Philippines and the Alternative Dispute Resolution Act of 2004*, 1.102–1.104
- Arbitration Law of Japan*, 1.181–1.182
- Comparative Law of International Arbitration* (2nd Ed), 4.121–4.122
- Handbook of ICC Arbitration — Commentary, Precedents, Materials*, 2.93–2.96
- International Commercial Arbitration — An Asia-Pacific Perspective*, 7.148–7.150
- International Commercial Arbitration and Conciliation in UNCITRAL Model Law Jurisdictions*, 6.97–6.99
- International Commercial Arbitration in Asia* (2nd Ed), 2.192–2.193
- Introduction to the Law and Practice of Arbitration in Singapore*, 6.201–6.202
- Russell on Arbitration* (23rd Ed), 4.221–4.222

Case management

- pre-action discovery and/or pre-action interrogatories in aid of arbitration, use of, 6.186–6.200

Case notes

- Comandante Marine Corp v Pan Australia Shipping Pty Ltd* (2006) [Aust], 5.95–5.108
 background, 5.97–5.99
 determination on appeal, 5.102–5.106
Navigator Investment Services Ltd v Acclaim Insurance Brokers Pte Ltd, 6.186–6.200
 pre-action discovery and/or pre-action interrogatories in spite of arbitration: availability of, 6.189–6.196; effect of, 6.196–6.199
New Sound Industries Ltd v Meliga Ltd (2005) (HKSAR CA), 1.95–1.101
 court, decision of, 1.100–1.101
 court, stance of, 1.101
 facts, 1.96–1.98
 legal principles, statement and application of, 1.98–1.101
Tza Yap Shum v Republic of Peru, 6.164–6.185
 ‘claimant’ under Chinese BIT: holding company and corporate nationality, 6.170–6.172; implications of decision, 6.183–6.185; ‘investment’, definition of, 6.173–6.183; ‘investor’ and nationality, 6.166–6.170

China

- ad hoc* arbitration, restrictions on, 1.86–1.87
 arbitration
 applicable law, 4.97–4.100
 legislation governing, 1.84–1.94
 overview of, 1.83–1.94
 venue, selection of, 1.87–1.88
 Arbitration Act 1994
 arbitrability 2.179–2.182
 arbitration agreement 2.183–2.185
 arbitration agreement, determining validity of, 4.104–4.120
 arbitration-friendliness of provisions, 4.104–4.120
 service 2.185–2.189
 third parties 2.180–2.182, 2.189–2.190
 arbitration agreement, circumvention of, 1.85–1.86
 arbitration agreement, effect of, 1.85–1.86
 arbitration agreement, form of, 5.150–5.151
 arbitration agreement, separability of, 3.163–3.175
 application of doctrine, 3.164–3.175
 case analysis, 3.164–3.166, 3.169–3.175
 development of, 3.163–3.175

China (*continued*)

- arbitration agreement, separability of (*continued*)
 legislative analysis, 3.164–3.168
 now, 3.169–3.175
 then, 3.163–3.168
 arbitration agreement, validity of, 4.104–4.120
 applicable law, 6.53–6.58
 authorities competent to determine, 6.46–6.52
 interpretation, liberality of, 6.58–6.62
 power to determine jurisdictional challenges, 4.116–4.119
 requirements (formal) under the Arbitration Act 1994, 4.106–4.109
 requirements (substantive) under the Arbitration Act 1994: analysis of, 4.110; designation of arbitration institutions, 4.111–4.114; definition of ‘arbitration commission’, 4.114; *ad hoc* arbitration, treatment of, 4.115–4.116
 arbitration law
 interim measures of protection, 5.154–5.156
 legal framework, 5.143–5.147
 arbitration process
 third parties, and 2.180–2.182, 2.189–2.190
 arbitrators, selection and appointment of, 1.88–1.90
 Arrangement Concerning Mutual Enforcement of Arbitral Awards between the Mainland China and the Hong Kong SAR 1999
 conflicts in enforcement, 8.127–8.129
 legislative background, 8.121–8.127
 awards
 cancellation of. *See* Awards, cancellation in China
 domestic award, definition of, 4.97
 enforcement of. *See* enforcement of arbitral awards *below*
 foreign award, definition of, 4.97
 mutual enforcement of awards between Mainland China and Hong Kong SAR, 8.120–8.130
 non-enforcement of. *See* Awards, non-enforcement in China
 recognition and enforcement of, 5.158–5.165

China (*continued*)

- BITs, 1.17–1.20
 - 'claimant', 6.164–6.185
 - international arbitration, and, 1.18–1.20
 - new generation BITs, 1.19–1.20, 1.31
- case notes 2.179–2.191
- CIETAC
 - enforcement of CIETAC awards, 1.91–1.92
 - foreign-related arbitration, and, 1.87–1.94
 - jurisdictional scope, 1.87
 - rules and procedures, 1.83–1.94
 - setting aside of CIETAC awards, grounds for, 1.91–1.92
- Code of Civil Procedures
 - arbitrability 2.180
 - service in arbitration 2.185–2.189
- Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards (New York, 10 June 1958), and. *See* New York Convention *below*
- enforcement of arbitral awards, 4.83–4.103, 5.158–5.165
 - checklist, 4.102–4.103
 - domestic awards, 4.86–4.87, 4.90
 - foreign awards, 4.87–4.89, 4.90
 - foreign-related awards, 4.87, 4.90
 - legal framework, 4.84
 - Prior Reporting System, 4.91, 4.92
 - procedures, 4.94–4.96: competent courts, 4.94; comparison with cancellation procedures, 4.101; documents for submission, 4.95; fees, 4.94–4.95; time limit, 4.94
- enforcement of foreign arbitral awards, 1.92–1.94
 - New York Convention awards, 1.93–1.94
 - non-New York Convention awards, 1.92–1.93
 - review of, 4.123–4.136
- evidence from third parties, compulsion of, 2.52–2.53
- legislative regime, 2.52–2.53
 - arbitration-friendliness of, 4.104–4.120
- New York Convention, and
 - implementation of, 4.123–4.136
 - interpretation (judicial) of, 4.126–4.132
- setting aside arbitral award: service 2.185–2.189

- Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards (New York, 10 June 1958). *See* New York Convention

Corruption

- arbitrability of issues of, 8.62–8.64
- arbitrator's duty to report, 8.69–8.73
- burden of proof, 8.22–8.37
- elements of corrupt conduct, 8.9
- examination of, in international arbitration, 8.1–8.119
- finding of, and consequences (legal), 8.61–8.68
- admissibility and merits of claim, 8.64–8.68
- jurisdiction of arbitral tribunal and arbitrability of issues of corruption, 8.62–8.64
- judicial scrutiny of, standard of proof, 8.22–8.37

Definitions

- all disputes*, 1.98–1.99
- bribery*, 8.4–8.5
- corruption*, 8.4–8.11
- international arbitration* (Singapore), 1.49 n2
- investment treaties*, 1.3
- public policy* (Korea), 6.69–6.70
- umbrella clauses*, 1.34–1.38

DIFC

- DIFC Court, extension of jurisdiction and its impact on arbitration in UAE, 8.161–8.177
- DIFC Court judgment: enforcement of, 8.171–8.176

Disclosure of documents

- international arbitrations, in Singapore
 - law and practice, 1.53–1.58
 - limited disclosure, reservations concerning: dispelling myths, 1.62–1.65
 - process, management of: factors for consideration, 1.65–1.67
 - reassessment of, 1.49–1.68
- investor-state dispute resolution
 - Korea, 4.137–4.142
- pre-action discovery and/or pre-action interrogatories in spite of arbitration, 6.186–6.200
- standards of
 - civil law regime, 1.50–1.53
 - common law regime, 1.50–1.53

- Disclosure of documents (*continued*)
standards of (*continued*)
 compromise approach: IBA Rules, 1.58–1.62
- Discovery *See* Disclosure of documents
- Dubai International Financial Centre. *See* DIFC
- Enforcement of arbitral awards. *See also under specific jurisdictions*
arbitral theory
 application of, 7.97–7.117
 development of, and judicial practice, 7.97–7.117
judicial scrutiny at time of enforcement, extent of, 7.97–7.117
Korea, in, 2.60–2.75
 public policy grounds for refusal, standards for, 6.64–6.73
- Enforcement of foreign arbitral awards
Australia, in, 7.32–7.33
Australia, in, against non-signatories of arbitration agreement, 8.131–8.160
 caselaw: *IMC Aviation Solutions Pty Ltd v Altain Khuder LLC* (2011) [Aust], 8.133–8.160
 privity of award-debtor to arbitration agreement: circumstances
 precluding award-debtor from contesting privity, 8.145–8.159;
 onus of proof for award-creditor, 8.134–8.145
China, in, 1.92–1.94, 4.123–4.136
India, in, 1.123
Japan, in, 1.135
Philippines, in, 6.101–6.133
 judicial review, 4.175–4.194
refusal of, grounds for
 public policy, violation of, 4.175–4.194
suspension of proceedings under New York Convention, Art VI, 1.69–1.82
 case law, review of, 1.71–1.82
 factors, 1.71–1.82
 grounds for: mandatory or discretionary, 1.76–1.82
 policy considerations, 1.71–1.82
 standards, search for, 1.69–1.82
- Evidence, compulsion of
Asia-Pacific perspective, 2.32–2.59
documents, production of, 2.34
judicial intervention (generally), 2.34–2.35
- Evidence, compulsion of (*continued*)
Asia-Pacific perspective (*continued*)
judicial intervention (specifically).
 See under specific jurisdictions and institutional rules
parties, 2.36–2.39
public policy, and, 2.32–2.33
rules of international arbitral institutions, and, 2.36–2.39
third parties, 2.39–2.58
witnesses, compelling, 2.34
proof, mode of, 2.35–2.36
- Foreign arbitration
injunctions in aid of
 jurisdiction of Singapore court to grant, 3.142–3.162
- Free trade agreements (FTAs)
investment treaties, and, 1.3–1.10. *See also* Investment treaty arbitrations;
Investment treaties
United States-Singapore FTA, 1.20–1.23
arbitral proceedings, transparency of, 1.23
investment chapter, features of, 1.21–1.23
investment, definition of, 1.21
investor, definition of, 1.21
investor-state dispute settlement
 mechanism, 1.23
treatment, standards of, 1.22
- HKIAC Procedures for the Administration of International Arbitration
evidence, compulsion of, 2.38
- Hong Kong International Arbitration Centre.
See HKIAC
- Hong Kong Special Administrative Region (HKSAR)
arbitration agreement, scope of
 subject matter of dispute, and, 1.95–1.101
- Arbitration Ordinance, proposed changes to, 5.109–5.141
confidentiality of proceedings, 5.122–5.123
court intervention under, 5.118–5.120, 5.129–5.133
interim measures of protection, 5.121–5.122, 5.134–5.141
interpretation of, 5.116–5.118
legislative background, 5.110–5.112
opt-in provisions, 5.123–5.128

- Hong Kong Special Administrative Region (HKSAR) (*continued*)
- Arbitration Ordinance, proposed changes to (*continued*)
 - recommendations for change, 5.112–5.114
 - structural changes, 5.114–5.116
 - awards
 - enforcement in mainland China, 1.93–1.94
 - mutual enforcement of awards
 - between Mainland China and Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, 8.120–8.130
 - court proceedings, stay of
 - reference to arbitration, and, 1.95–1.101
 - dismissal of arbitration proceedings for want of prosecution, 5.190–5.209
 - Arbitration Ordinance 1992, s 2GE(2), 5.200–5.207
 - evidence from third parties, compulsion of, 2.47–2.52
 - legislative regime, 2.47–2.52
- IBA Guidelines on Conflicts of Interest in International Arbitration
- arbitrator disclosure of potential conflicts of interest, standards for, 7.118–7.147
- IBA Rules on the Taking of Evidence in International Commercial Arbitration
- evidence, compulsion of, 2.38
- ICC Rules of Arbitration
- evidence, compulsion of, 2.37
- ICSID Arbitration Rules
- amendment of, 3.13
- India
- arbitral awards
 - setting aside, time limits for, 8.178–8.195
 - Arbitration and Conciliation Act 1996
 - analysis of, 1.105–1.126
 - arbitral awards, setting aside, 1.120–1.123
 - arbitral proceedings: conduct of, 1.116–1.1.118; evidence, taking of, 1.117–1.118
 - arbitral tribunal: powers (general) of, 1.119–1.1.120; jurisdiction of, 1.116
- India (*continued*)
- Arbitration and Conciliation Act 1996
 - analysis of (*continued*)
 - arbitration agreement: definition of, 1.108; existence of, 1.110; reference to expert, distinguished, 1.110; validity of, 1.123–1.125
 - arbitrators: appointment of, 1.113–1.1.115; challenge to, 1.115; termination of mandate, 1.116
 - court intervention in arbitral proceedings, 1.111–1.112
 - coverage, 1.106–1.107
 - disputes, arbitrability of, 1.108–1.110
 - features (salient), 1.107–1.126
 - foreign arbitrations, and, 1.107
 - foreign awards: definition of, 1.123; enforcement of, 1.123, 1.125–1.126
 - governing law, 1.118–1.119
 - interim measures of protection, 1.107, 1.112–1.113
 - international commercial arbitration,
 - definition of, 1.111
 - objectives of, 1.106
 - scheme of, 1.106–1.107
 - UNCITRAL Model Law, departure from, 1.112, 1.113, 1.115, 1.116, 1.126
 - arbitration law
 - analysis of, 1.105–1.126
 - pre-1996, 1.105
 - post-1996, 1.105–1.106
 - arbitrators, appointment of
 - court orders, nature of, 3.107–3.112
 - awards, enforcement of. *See* enforcement of arbitral awards *below*
 - court intervention in arbitral proceedings, 3.99–3.123
 - arbitrators, appointment and jurisdiction of, 3.102–3.112
 - award, setting aside, 3.118–3.121
 - interim measures of protection, grant of, 3.112–3.117
 - trend, 3.107–3.112
 - enforcement of arbitral awards, 4.64–4.82
 - domestic awards: comparison with enforcement of foreign awards, 4.76; grounds for setting aside 4.66–4.70; procedures, 4.70–4.72

- India (*continued*)
- enforcement of arbitral awards (*continued*)
 - foreign awards: conditions for enforcement, 4.74–4.78; comparison with enforcement of domestic awards, 4.76; definition of, 4.74–4.75; procedures, 4.79–4.80
 - legislative regime, 4.64–4.66
 - statistics: domestic awards, 4.73–4.74; foreign awards, 4.80–4.81
- Indonesia
- alternative dispute resolution
 - overview of, 3.191–3.194
 - stakeholder engagement, and, 3.187–3.213
 - Arbitration and Alternative Dispute Resolution Act 1999
 - overview of, 3.196–3.197
 - salient features of, 3.189
 - terminology (selected) of, 3.197–3.200
 - arbitration law
 - challenges facing, 3.189–3.213
 - dispute avoidance
 - stakeholder engagement, and, 3.187–3.213
 - stakeholder engagement
 - as pre-emptive measure of dispute avoidance, 3.187–3.213
- Indonesian National Board of Arbitration. *See* BANI
- Institutional rules of arbitration. *See under specific rules*
- Interim measures of protection
- ACICA regime, examination of, 6.82–6.96
 - arbitral tribunal, and, 8.222–8.223
 - China, 5.154–5.156
 - civil law states, in, 6.78–6.82
 - court's power to grant injunctions in aid of foreign arbitrations, 3.142–3.162
 - enforceability of interim award passed by tribunal, 8.225–8.230
 - contractual obligation, 8.228
 - conundrum, 8.225–8.226
 - New York Convention, under, 8.226–8.228
 - UNCITRAL Model Law 2006, under, 8.229–8.230
- Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, 5.121–5.122, 5.134–5.141
- institutional initiatives, 8.223–8.225
- Interim measures of protection (UNCITRAL Model Law). *See also under* UNCITRAL Model Law
- conditions for issuance, 2.7–2.9
 - burden of proof, 2.7–2.9
 - considerations for arbitral tribunal, 2.7–2.9
 - court-ordered, assessment of provisions on, 2.21–2.23
 - ex parte* applications for, controversy surrounding, 2.10–2.17
 - ex parte* preliminary orders
 - analysis of, 2.13–2.14
 - consensus on, 2.12–2.17
 - enforceability of, 2.14–2.17
 - impact (potential) of proposed reforms, 2.23–2.30
 - India, 2.25–2.28
 - Indonesia, 2.28–2.30
 - Singapore, 2.24–2.25
 - opt-out scheme, 2.5, 2.13
 - power to grant, 2.5–2.17
 - provisions on, clarification of, 2.1–2.23
 - recognition and enforcement of, 2.17–2.21
 - court assistance, desirability of, 2.17–2.18
 - evaluation of provisions on, 2.20–2.21
 - features of provisions on, 2.18–2.20
 - reformation of Model Law provisions, 2.1–2.31
 - harmonisation, process of, 2.24
 - impact (potential) of, 2.23–2.30
 - safeguards, built-in, 2.9–2.10, 2.14, 2.18
- Intermediary agreement
- applicable law, 8.37–8.61
 - choice of law analysis, 8.57–8.60
 - conflicts of laws analysis, 8.37–8.57
 - transnational public policy, and, 8.60–8.61
 - corruption, and, 8.25–8.28
 - elements of, 8.25–8.28
- International Bar Association. *See* IBA
- International Chamber of Commerce. *See* ICC
- International commercial arbitration regime
- delocalisation theory, key constructs of, 7.103–7.107
 - limitations of, 7.104
- Investment treaties
- Asian states, and, 1.16–1.25, 3.5–3.7
 - ASEAN, 1.23–25
 - China, 1.17–20

- Investment treaties (*continued*)
- Asian states, and (*continued*)
 - Singapore, 1.20–23
 - benefits under, maximisation of. *See* 'treaty shopping' *below*
 - bilateral. *See* BITs
 - described, 3.2
 - dispute resolution options, 1.10–1.14
 - ICSID arbitration, 1.10–1.13
 - others, 1.14
 - fair and equitable treatment of
 - investments, 3.59–3.67
 - investors' expectations, 3.62–3.67
 - standard of treatment, 3.59–3.62
 - interpretation of
 - jurisdictional issues, 3.14–3.58
 - merits issues, 3.58–3.79
 - investment contracts, and, 1.38–1.43
 - dispute resolution clauses (conflicting), 1.39–1.43
 - lists of, 1.3 n1
 - MFN clauses, scope of application, 3.47–3.58
 - multilateral, 1.3
 - structure of, 1.4–1.5, 1.10
 - structure and content, 3.2–3.3
 - treaty shopping, 1.44–1.47
 - practice of, 3.17–3.26
 - prevention of, 1.46–1.47
 - special purpose vehicles, and, 1.44–1.47
 - usage, 1.44
 - types of, 3.2
 - umbrella clauses
 - meaning and effect of, 1.7, 1.34–1.38, 1.41–1.43
 - violations of: characterisation and treatment of claims, 1.38–1.43
- Investment treaty arbitrations. *See also* Investor-state dispute resolution
- arbitration with privity, 1.9–1.10, 1.38
 - arbitration without privity, 1.9, 1.38
 - ASEAN Agreement for the Promotion and Protection of Investments, under, 1.27–1.30
 - Asia, and, 1.3–1.48
 - developments in 2005 and 2006, 3.1–3.79
 - Asian parties, and, 1.26–1.30
 - pending cases in 2005 and 2006, 3.8–3.12
 - contractual claims
 - jurisdiction of tribunal over, 3.44–3.47
- Investment treaty arbitrations (*continued*)
- expropriation and state's right to regulate, 3.67–3.77
 - proportionality and purpose of state's conduct, 3.67–3.62
 - international commercial arbitrations, and, 1.14
 - jurisdictional issues, 3.14–3.58
 - contract claims vs treaty claims, 3.26–3.47
 - MFN clauses, reliance upon, 3.47–3.58
 - shareholder's right to bring investment treaty claims, 3.15–3.26
 - treaty claims vs contract claims, 3.26–3.47
 - merits issues, 3.58–3.77
 - fair and equitable treatment of investments, 3.59–3.67
 - expropriation of investments and compensation, 3.67–3.77
 - MFN clauses, applicability of, 3.47–3.58
 - most-favoured-nation clauses, jurisdiction based on, 1.30–1.34
 - procedure, 1.14–1.15
 - proliferation of, 1.15–1.16
 - right of action of investor-shareholders, 3.15–3.26
 - lifting the corporate veil, 3.17–3.26
 - nationality (of investor-shareholders), relevance of, 3.17–3.26
 - transparency of, 1.12–1.13
 - transparency, pursuit of
 - amicus curiae* procedure (availability of) as solution, 3.176–3.186
 - treaty claims
 - definition of, 3.27
 - jurisdiction of tribunal over, 3.27–3.33
 - trends and challenges in, 1.47–1.48
 - tribunals' jurisdiction over contract claims, 1.38–1.43
 - 'umbrella clause' claims
 - jurisdiction of tribunal over, 3.33–3.44
- Investor-state dispute resolution. *See also* Investment treaty arbitrations; Investment treaties
- discovery involving Korea, 4.137–4.142
 - grounds for objection, 4.139–4.140
 - privilege involving government communications, 4.140–4.142
 - requirements, 4.139
 - most-favoured-nation clauses, applicability of, 1.30–1.34

Investor-state dispute resolution (*continued*)
 state-state dispute resolution, and, 1.40
 transparency, pursuit of
amicus curiae intervention, reliance on,
 3.176–3.186

Japan
 arbitration law
 historical landscape and reform,
 1.127–128
 review of, 1.127–140
 Arbitration Law (2003)
 alternative dispute resolution (ADR),
 and, 1.139–140
 arbitral procedures, innovation and
 modifications: arb-med, 1.133–134
 arbitral procedures, innovation
 and modifications: multiparty
 arbitrations, 1.132–1.133; ‘public
 order’ exceptions, 1.133
 arbitral tribunal, constituting: role of
 courts, 1.130–1.131; role of arbitral
 institutions, 1.131
 arbitration agreement, requirements
 for, 1.130
 arbitration, commencement of, 1.136–
 137
 arbitration-mediation: advisability of,
 1.134; statutory provision for, 1.133
 arbitrators, criminal sanctions for
 bribery and corruption, 1.138
 clarification, points of, 2.80–2.85
 comparison with former Arbitration
 Law (1890), 2.80–2.88
 costs, recovery of, 1.137–138
 court assistance: commencement of
 arbitration, 1.136; constituting
 arbitral tribunal, 1.130–1.131;
 service of documents, 1.136; taking
 evidence, 1.137
 courts: jurisdiction of, 1.131–1.132; role
 of, 1.136–137
 disputes, arbitrability of, 1.129–130
 features (key) of, 2.75–2.88
 features (principal) of, 1.128–138
 foreign awards, enforcement of, 1.135
 governing law, 1.134–135
 information technology age, and, 2.87
 international conventions, and,
 2.79–2.80
 multiparty arbitrations, 1.132–133
 prognosis, 1.138–140

Japan (*continued*)
 Arbitration Law (2003) (*continued*)
 ‘public order’ exceptions, 1.133
 revisions, points of, 2.85–2.87
 scope of, 1.129
 shortcoming, 2.88
 UNCITRAL Model Law, and, 2.77–2.78
 UNCITRAL Model Law, departure
 from, 1.128–138

KLRCA
 Arbitration Act 1952
 independence of KLRCA under, 2.99
 Arbitration Act 2005
 appointment of arbitral tribunal,
 2.101–2.102
 independence of KLRCA under, 2.101
 legislative impact on, 2.97–2.112
 model arbitration clause, 2.105–2.106
 recognition and enforcement of awards,
 2.103

KLRCA Arbitration Rules
 evidence, compulsion of, 2.38

Korea
 Arbitration Act (Law No 6083)
 features of, 2.61–2.64
 UNCITRAL Model Law, and, 2.61–2.64
 arbitration landscape, 2.61
 convention status, 2.61
 court system (civil), 2.64
 discovery
 guide in investment arbitration,
 4.137–4.142
 enforcement of arbitral awards, 2.60–2.75
 challenging enforcement, grounds for,
 2.66–2.75
 court, jurisdiction of 2.64–2.65
 domestic and foreign awards,
 distinctive treatment of 2.65–2.66
 enforcement orders, application for
 2.65
 foreign and domestic awards,
 distinctive treatment of 2.65–2.66
 procedure for 2.64–2.66
 public policy defences: fraud, and,
 6.70–6.72; manifest disregard of the
 law, and, 6.68–6.69; standards for,
 6.64–6.73
 review of merits of award, 6.67–6.68
 investment arbitration
 discovery, 4.137–4.142
 legislative regime 2.61–2.64

Kuala Lumpur Regional Centre for Arbitration. *See* KLRCA

Legislation

comparative analysis

China's Arbitration Law, art 19 and UNCITRAL Model Law, Art 16, 3.166–3.168

Croatia Arbitration Act and UNCITRAL Model Law, Art 16(3), 3.138–3.139

German Arbitration Law 1998, s 1040 and UNCITRAL Model Law, Art 16(3), 3.137–3.138

Singapore International Arbitration Act and UNCITRAL Model Law 2006, 7.26–7.27

Singapore International Arbitration Act and UNCITRAL Model Law, Art 16(3), 3.140–3.141

Singapore International Arbitration Act

case study (developmental life cycle), 7.1–7.28

conception of, 7.4–7.11

UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules 2010

background, 7.77–7.78

changes: analysis of, 7.76–7.96; categories of, 7.78; summary of, 7.76–7.77

UNCITRAL Model Law, Art 16

evolution of, 3.128–3.131

LCIA Arbitration Rules

evidence, compulsion of, 2.37–2.38

London Court of International Arbitration. *See* LCIA

Malaysia

Arbitration Act 1952

court intervention, 2.99

impact of, 2.97–2.98

interim relief, and, 2.99

KLRCA, and, 2.98–2.99

legislative background, 2.98–2.99

Arbitration Act 2005

Arbitration Act 1996 (NZ), 2.99

arbitration proceedings,

commencement of, 2.100

costs and expenses, 2.103

electronic communications, recognition of, 2.100

impact of, 2.99–2.112

Malaysia (*continued*)

Arbitration Act 2005 (*continued*)

legislative background, 2.99–2.100

New York Convention 1958, and, 2.103–2.112

recognition and enforcement of awards, 2.103–2.111

shortcomings, 2.109

stay of court proceedings, 2.100

UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules, and, 2.102

UNCITRAL Model Law, adoption of, 2.99, 2.109

evidence from third parties, compulsion of, 2.56–2.58

legislative regime, 2.56–2.58

Mandatory rules of law

application of, 5.13–5.25, 5.95–5.108

debate, position of, 5.9–5.13

methodologies (key) used: Legitimate Expectations Method, 5.37–5.38; Special Connection Method, 5.29–5.34; Transnational Public Policy Method, 5.34–5.37

policy considerations, 5.13–5.21

request by party, necessity for, 5.21–5.22

arbitral tribunal's jurisdiction, and, 5.7–5.9

factors for consideration, 5.43–5.45

arbitration, and, 5.1–5.45, 5.95–5.108

categorisation of, 5.25–5.27

identification of, 5.23–5.25

importance of, 5.7

nature of, 5.5–5.6

role of (in arbitration), critical analysis, 5.1–5.45

Middle East

arbitration in UAE

DIFC Court, extension of jurisdiction and its impact on arbitration, 8.161–8.177

DIFC Court judgment: enforcement of, 8.171–8.176

forum shopping, 8.170–8.171

litigation, and, 8.176–8.177

organisations commonly used for resolution of commercial disputes, 8.163–8.166

enforcement of arbitral awards

challenges of, in UAE, 8.166–8.168

- Model arbitration clauses. *See under specific arbitral institutions*
- Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration. *See* UNCITRAL Model Law
- New York Convention, application of
Art V, grounds to resist enforcement proceedings under, 1.69–82
mandatory or discretionary, 1.76–82
Art VI, suspension of enforcement proceedings under, 1.69–82
- New York Convention, implementation of
China, in, 4.123–4.136
applicable law, determination of, 4.133–4.134
arbitration agreement, validity of, 4.126–4.127
due process, observance of, 4.128
foreign law, application of, 4.134
jurisdiction, excess of, 4.128–4.129
limitation period, 4.130–4.131
procedural considerations, 4.123–4.124, 4.135–4.136
public policy, 4.129–4.130
terms of application, satisfaction of, 4.131–4.132
- New Zealand
Arbitration Act 1996
2007 Amendments, 4.7
arbitration: confidentiality of, 4.54–4.58; extension of time for commencement of, 4.10
arbitration agreement (consumer), 4.8–4.10
arbitrators: appointment of, 4.17–4.20; challenge to, 4.20–4.21; jurisdiction, determination of, 4.21–4.22
awards: appeal on question of law, 4.42–4.47; recognition and enforcement, 4.47–4.50; setting aside, 4.22–4.42
background, 4.1–4.4
costs, 4.50–4.53
court intervention, 4.10–4.11
features, 4.5–4.6
interim measures of protection, power of court to grant, 4.15–4.17
interim measures regime (new), 4.59–4.63
- New Zealand (*continued*)
Arbitration Act 1996 (*continued*)
judicial decisions on: arbitrators, appointment of, 4.17–4.20; arbitrators, challenge to, 4.20–4.21; arbitrators' jurisdiction, determination of, 4.21–4.22; awards, appeal on question of law, 4.42–4.47; awards, recognition and enforcement, 4.47–4.50; awards, setting aside, 4.22–4.42; confidentiality, 4.54–4.58; consumer arbitration agreements, 4.8–4.10; costs, 4.50–4.53; court intervention, 4.10–4.11; extension of time for commencing arbitral proceedings, 4.10; interim measures of protection, power of court to grant, 4.15–4.17; stay of court proceedings, 4.11–4.15
stay of court proceedings, 4.11–4.15
structure, 4.4
experience of the UNCITRAL Model Law, 4.1–4.63
legislative regime, 4.1–4.7, 4.59–4.63
background, 4.1–4.4
features, 4.5–4.6
interim measures regime (new), 4.59–4.63
- Petroleum contracts, third party adaptation of
amiable compositeur, role of, 2.158–2.159
arbitration procedure, and, 2.157
civil law system, 2.156, 2.158, 2.159
common law system, 2.155–2.156
gap filling, 2.151–2.162
justification, 2.152–2.155
national laws, and, 2.158, 2.159
practice, 2.159–2.161
role of arbitration, 2.151–2.162
scope, 2.152–2.155
- Philippines
enforcement of foreign arbitral awards
appeal, right of, 6.119–6.122
challenges: pre-Special ADR Rules 2009, 6.107–6.114; post-Special ADR Rules 2009, 6.123–6.132
filing fees, 6.111–6.112, 6.123
grounds for refusal, 6.108–6.111, 6.116–6.119

Philippines (*continued*)

- enforcement of foreign arbitral awards
 - appeal, right of (*continued*)
 - legislative regime, 6.102–6.107
 - process, 6.113–6.114, 6.115–6.116
 - refusal of: violation of public policy, 4.175–4.194
 - Special ADR Rules 2009, and, 6.114–6.123

Place of arbitration. *See* Seat of arbitration

Place of performance

- seat of arbitration, and, in conflicts of laws analysis, 8.46–8.60

Public policy defences

- classes of, 4.156–4.160
 - domestic, 4.158–4.160
 - international, 4.157–4.158
- examples of, 4.150–4.156
- harmonisation, desirability of, 4.160–4.163
- ‘international public policy’, and, 6.69–6.70
- principles applicable, analysis of, 4.146–4.168
- review of, 4.143–4.174
- sovereignty, exercise of state’s, 4.149–4.150, 4.160, 4.169–4.171
- standards in Korea, 6.64–6.73
- uniformity in, principles for consideration, 4.163–4.174

Rulings (preliminary) of tribunals
jurisdiction, on

- affirmative rulings, effect of, 3.125–3.141
- awards, and, 3.125–3.141
- enforceability of, 3.125–3.141
- nature of, 3.125–3.141
- negative rulings, effect of, 3.136–3.141
- procedural orders and directions
- awards, and, 3.125–3.141

Seat of arbitration

- applicable law governing intermediary agreement, and, 8.37–8.61
- concept of, 4.197–4.201
- curial law, and, 4.216
- physical place of hearing, *cf*, 4.196
- place of performance, and, in conflicts of laws analysis, 8.46–8.60
- selection, factors for consideration, 4.195–4.220
- attitude of state and courts towards arbitration, 4.201–4.205

Seat of arbitration (*continued*)selection, factors for consideration
(*continued*)

- ease of enforcement of awards, 4.205–4.207
- importance of making clear selection, 4.214–4.219
- knowledge of procedural law of seat, 4.212–4.214
- neutrality of seat, 4.207–4.210
- practical conveniences, 4.210–4.211
- time taken to appoint tribunal, 4.211–4.212
- systems of law, and, 4.197

Security for costs

- arbitral tribunals, approach of, 7.63–7.75
- award
 - court decisions, relevance of, 7.67–7.68
 - review of (Singapore), 7.54–7.75
 - legislative background, 7.55–7.58
- Singapore courts
 - approach of, 7.61–7.63
 - scope for intervention, 7.59–7.60

Set-offs

- counterclaim, distinguished, 1.145–146
- defence
 - civil law, in, 1.145
 - common law, in, 1.144
- doctrine of, 1.143
- institutional rules, analysis of, 1.147–153
 - reference to set-off defences - none, 1.151–152
 - reference to set-off defences - specific, 1.152–153
- UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules, based on, 1.147–151
- institutional rules, list of, 1.157–160
- international arbitrations, in, 1.141–160
 - debate surrounding, 1.141–143, 1.154–157

SIAC arbitration

- ICC arbitration, and, 5.212–5.213
- structure of, 5.212–5.213

SIAC Rules

- applicable law, and, 8.199
- arbitrator, duties of
 - arbitration agreement, context of, 8.197–8.198
 - applicable law, and, 8.199
 - breaches of, consequences from, 8.212–8.214

SIAC Rules (*continued*)

- arbitrator, duties of (*continued*)
 - express duties (general), 8.202–8.205
 - express duties (specific): appointment of arbitrator and disclosure, 8.206–8.207; award, 8.210; communication with parties, 8.208; conduct of arbitration, 8.208–8.209; confidentiality, 8.207;
 - implied duties and exercise of discretion, 8.210–8.212
 - Code of Ethics, and, 8.201–8.202
 - emergency arbitrator,
 - tribunal, and, 8.215–8.216
 - emergency arbitrator, duties of, 8.215–8.220
 - express duties: appointment of arbitrator and disclosure, 8.217; apportion costs, 8.218; Code of Ethics, 8.218; give reasoned decision, 8.217–8.218; establish schedule for proceedings, 8.217
 - implied duties: apply SIAC Rules, 8.219; choose form of decision, 8.219; support the tribunal, 8.220
 - evidence, compulsion of, 2.38
- Singapore
- anti-suit injunctions. *See also* methods to secure arbitration *below*
 - court's power to grant, 2.128–2.130
 - steps to obtain, 2.130–2.136.
 - court intervention
 - philosophy, 2.113–2.118
 - UNCITRAL Model Law, and, 2.113–2.118
 - court's jurisdiction to grant injunctions in aid of foreign arbitration
 - case review, 3.147–3.161
 - procedural considerations, 3.155–3.159
 - sources (statutory) of power, 3.145–3.147
 - developments in arbitration, 5.210–5.222
 - disclosure of documents in international arbitrations, 1.49–68
 - evidence from third parties, compulsion of, 2.53–2.56
 - foreign arbitration
 - court's power to grant orders in aid of, 2.134–2.136
 - hybrid arbitration agreement, validity of, 5.217–5.221

Singapore (*continued*)

- International Arbitration Act
 - case study, 7.1–7.28
 - legislative responses to judicial developments, 7.11–7.25
- inviolability of awards, 5.213–5.221
- judicial policy in international commercial arbitration, development of, 7.113–7.116
- legislative regime, 2.53–2.56
 - evolution of international commercial arbitration legislation, 7.1–7.28
- methods to secure arbitration, 2.118–2.136
 - anti-suit injunctions, 2.127–2.136
 - appointment of arbitrators, 2.118–2.119
 - stay of proceedings, 2.119–2.127
- security for costs, 7.54–7.75
 - access to justice, and, 7.70
 - arbitral tribunals, approach of, 7.63–7.75
 - arbitral tribunals, discretion to order, 7.63–7.64, 7.70–7.75
 - content of, 7.75
 - court decisions, relevance of, 7.67–7.68
 - enforceability of awards, and, 7.70
 - form of, 7.75
 - international vs domestic arbitration, 7.64–7.67
 - nature of arbitration, effect of, 7.68–7.69
 - review of, 7.54–7.75
- stay of court proceedings
 - conditions, imposition of, 2.126–2.127
 - 'null and void, inoperative or incapable of being performed', meaning of, 2.125–2.126
 - prima facie* test, and, 2.121–2.125
- Singapore International Arbitration Centre.
 - See* SIAC
- stakeholder engagement
 - alternative dispute resolution, and, 3.200–3.209
 - case study, 3.209–3.212
 - in practice, 3.206–3.208
 - promise of, 3.201–3.202
 - challenges facing, 3.202–3.206
 - failure to utilise (as part of dispute resolution process), consequence of, 3.210–3.212
 - premise of, 3.187–3.188
 - theory, prominence of, 3.200–3.201

Stay of enforcement proceedings *See*

Enforcement of foreign arbitral
awards: suspension of proceedings

Thailand

Arbitration Act BE 2545 (2002), 5.52–5.54
arbitration institutions, 5.55–5.57
arbitration practice, 5.57–5.69
commercial arbitration, review of, 5.46–
5.69
arbitration law, 5.52–5.55
arbitration institutions, 5.55–5.57
arbitration procedures, 5.57–5.58
arbitrators, 5.58–5.60
historical and social context, 5.47–5.51
judicial support, 5.61–5.63
public sector dealings, 5.63–5.67
enforcement of arbitral awards
public sector, and, 5.63–5.67

Tribunal. *See also* Arbitral tribunal
ruling on arbitral jurisdiction
award, and, 3.125–3.141

UNCITRAL, role of, 2.24

UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules

ACICA, and, 2.89
evidence, compulsion of, 2.37

UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules 2010

background, 7.77–7.78
changes
analysis of, 7.78–7.96
categories of, 7.78
summary of, 7.76–7.77

UNCITRAL Model Law

application of Art 8(1) (in HKSAR)
construction of first limb, 1.95–101
legal principles, application of, 1.98–
101
legal principles, restatement of,
1.98–100
arbitral jurisdiction, determination of
negative preliminary ruling, effect of,
3.128–3.141
arbitration agreement, form of, 5.148–5.150
Australian International Arbitration Act
1974, and, 2.42–2.46
Chinese arbitration law and 2006 Model
Law, comparative study of, 5.142–
5.166
harmonisation, desirability of, 2.3, 2.5, 2.22
interim measures of protection, 5.153–
5.154

UNCITRAL Model Law (*continued*)

interim measures of protection (*continued*)
enforceability of, background on, 2.2
essence of, attempt to capture, 2.6
form of, 2.7
impact (potential) of proposed reforms,
2.23–2.30
reformation of provisions on, 2.1–2.31
revisions (proposed), formulation of,
2.3–2.4, 2.6, 2.7, 2.12–2.13, 2.16,
2.18–2.19, 2.22
revisions (proposed), key
considerations for, 2.7
revisions (proposed), key features of,
2.5–2.10, 2.13–2.14, 2.18–2.20
shortcomings of original provisions,
2.2–2.3, 2.5

Japanese Arbitration Law (2003), 2.75–2.88

Korean Arbitration Act, and, 2.61–2.64

New Zealand's experience of, 4.1–4.63

reformation of provisions

harmonisation, process of, 2.24

United Nations Commission on International
Trade Law. *See* UNCITRAL

Vietnam

enforcement of arbitral awards, 2.137–
2.150

Code of Civil Procedure 2005, 2.149–
2.150

Ordinance on Commercial Arbitration
2003, 2.147–2.150

pre-legislative reforms, 2.138–2.147:

domestic awards, 2.138–2.139;

foreign awards, 2.139–2.147

post-legislative reforms, 2.147–2.150:

domestic awards, 2.147–2.148;

foreign awards, 2.149–2.150

Ordinance on Commercial Arbitration
2003

analysis of, 3.80–3.98

appointing authority, restriction on
selection of, 3.91–3.44

arbitrators: choice of, 3.87–3.92;

nationality of, 3.87–3.89;

professional experience of, 3.89;

restrictions on appointment of,
3.87–3.90

choice of law, restrictions on, 3.93–3.95

party autonomy, restrictions on,
3.87–3.92

pleadings, amendment of, 3.97

Vietnam (*continued*)

Ordinance on Commercial Arbitration

2003 (*continued*)

reforms, proposed, 3.84–3.98

scope of application, 3.84–3.87

Vietnam (*continued*)

Ordinance on Commercial Arbitration

2003 (*continued*)

tribunal, powers of: determine

jurisdiction, 3.92–3.93; grant interim

relief, 3.95–3.96; award costs,

3.96–3.97