

INDEX

- Arbitral awards
 - discretion to set aside under Article 34(2)
 - UNCITRAL Model Law, 10.155–8
 - excess of jurisdiction, 10.174–5
 - invalidity of arbitration, 10.159–64
 - minor or immaterial violations, 10.157
 - natural justice, 10.156, 10.164–7
 - New Zealand approach, 10.158–9
 - party unable to present case, 10.156, 10.168–73
 - serious illegality, 10.176–8
 - trends in judicial involvement, 10.178–9
 - unpleaded causes of action, 10.175–6
- Arbitration agreements
 - doctrine of separability, 10.140–1
 - validity as basis for stay of proceedings, 10.67–91
 - ‘full review’ threshold, 10.68, 10.90–1
 - policy considerations, 10.88–91
 - prima facie* threshold, 10.68, 10.69–70, 10.87–8, 10.90
 - Canada, in, 10.75
 - Hong Kong, in, 10.76–7
 - India, in, 10.77–81
 - reasons for adopting, 10.74
 - UNCITRAL Model Law, effecting, 10.69–74
 - Singapore position, 10.86–8
- Arbitrators
 - appointment under s 11 Arbitration Act (India), 10.79
 - iura novit curia* principle, 10.174
- Australia
 - BIT with Indonesia. *See* Bilateral Investment Treaty (BIT)
 - commercial arbitration–
 - arbitrability, 10.126–7, 10.129
 - confidentiality, 10.122–3, 10.124
 - enforcement, 10.131–3, 10.135–6
 - history, 10.109–12
 - internationalism, approach to, 10.117–18, 10.120
 - New York Convention tier classification, 10.106
 - stay of minority oppression claims in favour of arbitration, 10.186
- Bilateral Investment Treaty (BIT)
 - Australia-Indonesia, between–
 - standing offer to arbitrate under Article 11(4)(a), 10.195
 - ‘shall consent’ clause, 10.197–9, 10.203–4
 - UNCITRAL arbitration, whether restricted, 10.202–3
 - Netherlands-Indonesia, between–
 - termination of, 10.196, 10.199–200, 10.203
- Book reviews
 - Arbitration in China: A Legal and Cultural Analysis, 10.213–17
 - Guerrilla Tactics in International Arbitration, 10.209–11
 - WTO Litigation, Investment Arbitration and Commercial Arbitration, 10.205–7
- Canada
 - prima facie* threshold for challenges to arbitral tribunal jurisdiction, 10.75
 - stay of minority oppression claims in favour of arbitration, 10.186
- China
 - Arbitration in China: A Legal and Cultural Analysis–
 - book review, 10.213–17
 - foreign law in international commercial arbitration, ascertaining, 10.93–103
 - coercive v non-coercive procedure, 10.100–1
 - content, 10.96, 10.100
 - failure to ascertain, 10.98–9
 - method for ascertaining, 10.98
 - who bears responsibility, 10.97–8
 - international practice compared, 10.94

- style, 10.94–6, 10.99–100
 wrong application v application of
 wrong law, 10.101–2
- Convention on the Recognition and
 Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral
 Awards (New York Convention)
 signatory tiers, 10.105–6
- England
 discretion to set aside arbitral award for
 serious illegality, 10.176–8
 categories of irregularity, 10.177
 stay of proceedings–
 Arbitration Act 1996, 10.82–3, 10.85
 Civil Procedure Rule 62.8(3), 10.83–4
- Hong Kong
 commercial arbitration–
 arbitrability, 10.126, 10.128
 confidentiality, 10.121, 10.124, 10.125
 enforcement, 10.130–1, 10.135–6
 history, 10.107–9
 internationalism, approach to,
 10.116–17, 10.120
 New York Convention tier
 classification, 10.106
 court intervention in arbitration, effect of
 Article 5 UNCITRAL Model Law,
 10.150–1
prima facie threshold for challenges to
 arbitral tribunal jurisdiction, 10.76–7
- India
 appointment of arbitrators, 10.79
 commercial arbitration–
 arbitrability, 10.127–8, 10.129
 confidentiality, 10.123–4, 10.125
 enforcement, 10.133–4, 10.136
 history, 10.112–15
 internationalism, approach to,
 10.118–19, 10.120
 power to refer parties to arbitration,
 10.78–9
prima facie threshold for challenges to
 arbitral tribunal jurisdiction,
 10.77–81
- International Arbitration Act (IAA)
 stay of proceedings subject to arbitration
 agreement, s 6, 10.67, 10.183
 history of provision, 10.68–9
prima facie threshold, 10.87–8
- International Centre for Settlement of
 Investment Disputes (ICSID)
 consent to arbitrate under BIT dispute
 settlement clause, 10.195–204
- ad hoc* arbitration, 10.201–2
- Article 11 Australia-Indonesia BIT,
 10.197–9
- breach of treaty obligation to consent,
 10.200–1
- jurisdiction of ICSID, 10.200
- Planet Mining Pty Ltd v Republic of
 Indonesia*, 10.195–7, 10.199–203
- UNCITRAL arbitration, access to,
 10.202–3
- International commercial arbitration
 courts' role in, 10.88–9, 10.138–41
 inherent jurisdiction, effect of Article
 5 UNCITRAL Model Law,
 10.145–55
 modern approach, 10.142–4
 nature of relationship, 10.138–9
 setting aside arbitral awards.
See Arbitral awards
- differences in law and practice, 10.115
- arbitrability, 10.125
 Australia, 10.126–7, 10.129
 Hong Kong, 10.126, 10.128
 India, 10.127–8, 10.129
- confidentiality, 10.120–1
 Australia, 10.122–3, 10.124
 Hong Kong, 10.121, 10.124, 10.125
 India, 10.123–4, 10.125
- enforcement, 10.129–30
 Australia, 10.131–3, 10.135–6
 Hong Kong, 10.130–1, 10.135–6
 India, 10.133–4, 10.136
- internationalism, 10.115–16
 Australia, 10.117–18, 10.119
 Hong Kong, 10.116–17, 10.120
 India, 10.118–19, 10.120
- Guerrilla Tactics in International
 Arbitration–
 book review, 10.209–11
- history, 10.106
 Australia, 10.109–12
 Hong Kong, 10. 107–9
 India, 10.112–15
- iura novit curia* principle, 10.174
- law and rules, ascertaining, 10.93–4
 Chinese approach distinguished from
 international practice, 10.94
 content, 10.96–9, 10.100–2
 style, 10.94–6, 10.99–100
- New York Convention signatory tiers,
 10.105–6

- WTO Litigation, Investment Arbitration and Commercial Arbitration—
book review, 10.205–7
- Minority oppression claims
relief sought, 10.182
stay in favour of arbitration, 10.181–93
arbitrability of claim, 10.187–91
two-stage approach, 10.187–9
Canada and Australia compared, 10.186
inherent jurisdiction of court, 10.191–2
multiple stay applications, 10.191
scope of arbitration clause, whether within, 10.184–7
- Natural justice
balancing arbitral process and, 10.167
rules applicable to arbitration, 10.164–5
violations not deemed as breach of, 10.165–6
- Netherlands, The
BIT with Indonesia—
termination of, 10.196, 10.199–200, 10.203
- New Zealand
court intervention in arbitration, effect of Article 5 UNCITRAL Model Law, 10.148–50
discretion in setting aside arbitral award under Article 34(2) UNCITRAL Model Law, 10.158–9
invalidity of arbitration, 10.159–64
natural justice, 10.164–7
unpleaded causes of action, 10.175–6
- Planet Mining Pty Ltd v Republic of Indonesia*
background, 10.196–7
decision, 10.197–9
impact of, 10.199–203
implications, 10.203–4
- PRC. *See* China
- Shareholders
minority oppression claims by. *See* Minority oppression claims
- Silica Investors Ltd v Tomolugen Holdings Ltd*
background, 10.181, 10.182–3
decision, 10.181, 10.182, 10.183–4
- Stay of proceedings
England, in—
Civil Procedure Rule 62.8(3), 10.83–4
s 9 Arbitration Act, 10.82–3
existence of arbitration agreement, where, 10.67–91
- UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration (UNCITRAL Model Law)
access to arbitration under—
Australia-Indonesia BIT, whether restricted by, 10.202–3
court intervention in arbitration, Article 5, 10.141–2
inherent jurisdiction of court and, 10.145–55
purpose of Article 5, 10.145
travaux préparatoires, 10.142
England, application in, 10.82
Kompetenz-Kompetenz doctrine, 10.67, 10.70, 10.73, 10.74, 10.85
setting aside arbitral awards, discretion in Article 34(2), 10.155–8
decisions on issues outside pleadings, Article 34(2)(a)(iii), 10.174–5
invalidity of arbitration, Article 34(2)(a)(i), 10.159–64
natural justice, Article 34(2)(b)(ii), 10.156, 10.164–7
party unable to present case, Article 34(2)(a)(ii), 10.156, 10.168–73
serious illegality under s 68 Arbitration Act (Eng), 10.176–8
unpleaded causes of action, Article 34(2)(a)(iii), 10.175–6
stay of proceedings, Article 8, 10.68
prima facie threshold for stay of proceedings, 10.69–70
Canada, 10.75
Hong Kong, 10.76–7
India, 10.77–81
travaux préparatoires, review of, 10.69–70
- World Trade Organization (WTO)
WTO Litigation, Investment Arbitration and Commercial Arbitration—
book review, 10.205–7

