

1998 INDEX

- Administrative Laws of EU Member States, 191–210
 - absence of convergence, 205
 - approximation of concepts, 203
 - codification as impediment to further development of EU, 206–209
 - contribution to consolidation of developing EU, as, 207–209
 - possible content of codification, 206–207
 - convergence, 191–210
 - convergence as opportunity to codify rules governing indirect administration of Community law, 204–209
 - flexibility of legal orders with no old tradition in administrative law, 200
 - formation of common principles of law, 195–200
 - influence of European Convention on Human Rights, 200–203
 - interim relief, 199–200
 - legal system open to change, as, 191–193
 - legitimate expectations, 196–197
 - legislative power of Community to codify, 205–206
 - obligation to give reasons, 197–198
 - proportionality, 195–196
 - state of convergence, 193–203
- Affirmative action in EU sex equality law, 507–516
 - Kalanke* case, 511–512
 - legislative change, 515–516
 - Marschall* case, 512–515
 - model reflected in EU sex equality law, 509–515
- Austria, 1–10
 - jurisdiction in public procurement matters, 6–9
 - chances of winning contract, 8–9
 - civil courts, 8
 - direct applicability of directives, 6–7
 - qualification of President of body, 7
 - public procurement remedies, 1–10
 - allocation of legislative competence, 2–3
 - federal level, 3–5
 - state level, 5–6
 - public procurement remedies directives, 2
- Constitutionality of transfers of sovereignty, 517–532
 - encroachment on essential conditions for exercise of national sovereignty, 525–526
 - essential conditions for exercise of national sovereignty, 526
 - French approach, 517–532
 - implications of approach of Constitutional Council, 529–532
 - modalities of exercise of transferred powers, 527–529
 - principle of national sovereignty, 519–522
 - sovereign nature of transferred powers, 526–527
 - transfers of powers, 523–524
- Denmark
 - Ombudsman, 285–291
 - actions, 290
 - changes in Act of 1996, 288–289
 - control of administrative discretion, 289–290
 - control of legal issues, 289–290
 - legal framework, 285–286
 - scope of powers, 286–288
 - status, 290–291
- Devolution, 323–333
 - comparative perspective, 323–333
 - constitutional status and powers, 324–325
 - dispute resolution, 327–328
 - financing, 326–327
 - intergovernmental relations, 328–329
 - representation at Westminster, 331–332
 - Scotland and Europe, 330–331

- EU citizenship, 533–559
 - first steps in ECJ, 533–559
 - Martinez Sala* case
 - citizenship implications, 556–559
 - discrimination and Article 6 after, 554–556
 - facts, 538–540
 - free movement implications, 545–549
 - immigration implications, 545–549
 - residence implications, 545–549
 - ruling of ECJ, 540–544
 - welfare implications, 550–554
- European Court of Human Rights, 143–159
 - court material: fairness of proceedings, 149
 - criminal law: vagueness and unpredictability, 150
 - criminal procedure: anonymous police witnesses, 146–147
 - criminal procedure: right not to incriminate oneself, 148
 - deportation: risk of torture or inhuman treatment, 144–146
 - discrimination in social security benefits, 158
 - freedom of expression: blasphemy, 155–156
 - freedom of expression: criticism of judges, 156–158
 - freedom of religion, 154–155
 - privacy: consent to criminal acts, 152–153
 - respect for correspondence, 151–152
 - respect for the home: treatment of gypsies, 153–154
 - right to effective remedy, 158–159
- European defence procurement, 111–133
 - application of Articles 85 and 86, 118–119
 - application of legitimate interests exception, 120
 - application of Merger Regulation, 119
 - competition law, and, 117
 - comprehensive approach, 111–133
 - exports, 126–128
 - future prospects, 115–116
 - 'hard' defence material, 113–115
 - WEAG, and, 114–115
 - merger control, 119
 - balance system, 122–123
 - defence industrial base, and, 121
 - exclusion, 121–122
 - exemptions similar to Article 85(3), 123–126
 - rule of reason, 126
 - 'soft' defence material, 113–115
 - subsidies, 128–131
- European regulation of asylum, 561–592
 - allocation of responsibility, 580–581
 - challenge of regulating forced migration, 571–572
 - constructing model of regional solidarity, 561–592
 - culture of suspicion, 581–585
 - detering arrival, 579–580
 - human rights dimension, 575–577
 - internal flight option, 585–587
 - law's image of refugee, 573–575
 - locating forced migration, 564–568
 - mapping boundaries of regional response, 577–591
 - new protection paradigm, 587–591
 - prevention of forced migration, 577–579
 - privatization, 579–580
 - regional response, 568–571
 - responsibility-sharing, 587–591
 - root causes of forced migration, 577–579
 - safe countries, 585–587
 - social construction of protection, 581–585
 - temporary protection, 587–591
- European Union
 - openness and transparency, 613–622
- Finland, 11–20
 - new forms of political accountability in
 - local government, 11–20
 - amendments to Act of 1976, 13–14
 - discharge of Director of the Municipality, 15–16
 - discharge of representatives during term of office, 16–19
 - new Act on Municipalities, 14–19
- Germany
 - Administrative Courts Act, 31–44
 - changes to norm control procedure, 40–41
 - curing defects in administrative acts, 33–36
 - restriction of appeal to higher courts, 37–38

- restriction of suspensory effect of
 - administrative remedy and suit, 39–40
- restriction on internal administrative review, 36–37
- Greece, 292–298
 - review of constitutionality of laws, 292–298
 - background arguments, 296–298
 - characteristics of system, 294–295
 - justification, 293–294
 - procedural approaches, 295–296
 - significance, 293–294
- Human rights in the European Union, 335–343
 - judges, 337–339
 - election, 337–338
 - independence, 338–339
 - re-election, 338–339
 - participating states, 339–340
 - attitude of states, 339–340
 - increased participation, 339
 - Protocol II, 336–339
 - drawbacks, 336–337
 - improvements, 337
 - judges, 337–339
 - reforms, 335–343
 - special judicial training, 342–343
 - Treaty of Amsterdam, 340–341
 - further provisions on human rights, 341
 - text, 340–341
 - UK law, 341–342
- Hungary, 21–30
 - access to open government, 21–30
 - Data Protection Act, 21–30
 - compensation, 27–28
 - constitutional background, 21–23
 - cross-border data flow, 27
 - Data Protection Ombudsman, 29–30
 - Data Protection Register, 30
 - freedom of information, 28
 - historical background, 21–23
 - judicial remedies, 27, 28
 - rights of data subject, 27
 - restitution of former Jewish-owned property, 211–231
 - Compensation Law II, 219–224
 - First Compensation Bill, 214–217
 - heirless property, 224–228
 - reference to Constitutional Court, 217–219
 - unclaimed property, 224–228
 - right to privacy, 21–30
- Ireland,
 - Cabinet confidentiality referendum, 160–165
- Israel
 - Attorney-General, 441–450
 - appointment, 441–450
 - development of Bar-On affair, 445–449
 - institution, 441–443
 - judicial epilogue, 449–450
 - origin of Bar-On affair, 443–445
- Language protection and free trade, 379–402
 - balancing free trade and language diversity, 398–400
 - impact of TEU on linguistic diversity, 384–388
 - potentially negative, 386–388
 - potentially positive, 385–386
 - language issues, 381–384
 - language requirements as barriers to trade, 389–398
 - balancing language protection with other interests, 394–396
 - ECJ in post-Maastricht era, 397–398
 - ECJ in pre-Maastricht era, 390–393
 - Loi Toubon*, 380–381
 - new approach, 400–402
 - relevance of economic efficiency, 398–400
 - respect for national linguistic policies
- economic considerations, and, 388–389
- Mutual recognition of medical devices, 233–251
 - classification of devices, 241
 - clinical investigation, 242–243
 - effect on health-care establishments, 246–247
 - European system, 237–247
 - future developments, 250–251
 - introduction of European regulation, 234–237
 - notified bodies, 240–241
 - post-marketing surveillance, 244–246

- recategorization, 243–244
- relationship of EC Regulations with other jurisdictions, 247–249
- responsibilities of competent authorities, 237–240
- Northern Ireland
 - peace process, 451–464
 - agreement, 460–463
 - background to agreement, 451–458
 - talks, 458–460
- Openness and transparency in the EU, 613–622
- Planning, 45–53
 - Community environmental law, and, 50–51
 - Community law, impact of, 49–52
 - European Convention on Human Rights, and, 46–49
 - influence of European law, 45–53
- Poland
 - new constitution, 166–173
 - civil service, 172–173
 - historical background, 166–167
 - law making by executive, 170–171
 - overview, 167–169
 - public administration, 172–173
 - sources of law, 169–170
 - subsidiary, 171
 - territorial divisions, 171–172
- Portugal, 465–478
 - constitution, 465–478
 - constitution as grounds for state's acts, 468
 - definition as sovereign republic, 468
 - effect of participation in building EU on Government system laid down in constitution, 470–474
 - exercise of sovereign powers in common with other states within EU, 468–470
 - participation in European Union, 465–478
 - relationship between EU law, Community law and Portuguese legal system, 474–477
 - sovereignty and integration, 468–470
- Prisons Ombudsman, 345–378
 - access, 357–359
 - appointment, 345–351
 - background, 345–351
 - basic functions, 363–365
 - Canadian Correctional Investigator, 369–372
 - comparative perspectives, 365–376
 - jurisdiction, 355–357
 - key features of Scheme, 354–365
 - legal basis, 355–357
 - Minnesota Ombudsman for Corrections, 372–376
 - operating standards, 359–360
 - procedure, 357–359
 - remedial powers, 361–363
 - Scheme, 351–365
 - Scottish Prisons Complaints Commissioner, 366–369
 - statistics, 362–363
- Recovery of charges levied in breach of Community law, 313–321
 - Comateb* decision, 313–321
 - decision of ECJ, 316–317
 - facts, 313–314
 - opinion of Advocate-General Tesaro, 314–315
 - protection of individual rights, and, 318–320
 - state liability, and, 320–321
- Right of access to Community-held documentation, 403–432
 - Amsterdam Treaty, 430–431
 - Article 10, ECHR, 419–425
 - background, 407–410
 - basis of, 410–412
 - Carvel* case, 412–415
 - fundamental right, as, 418
 - future developments, 430–431
 - general right, 418–430
 - German constitutional tradition, whether, 425–428
 - importance of transparency in democratic society, 404–405
 - legislation, 409–410
 - need for, 405–407
 - Netherlands v. Council*, 410–412
 - objectives of Treaty, and, 428–430

- pre-TEU, 407–408
- TEU initiatives, 408–409
- WWF UK* case, 415–417
- Russia
 - freedom of conscience, 174–183
 - backlash, 177–180
 - federal constitutional law, 180–183
 - perestroika, and, 176–177
 - Soviet regime, 175–176
 - Law on Plenipotentiary for Human Rights 1997, 188–190
 - Ombudsman, 184–190
 - Parliamentary Plenipotentiary for Human Rights, 185–187
- Scotland, 479–485
 - challenge after royal assent, 481–483
 - challenge of Bills of Scottish Parliament, 480–481
 - challenges of decisions of executive, 481–483
 - devolution of administrative law, 479–485
- Sex discrimination and homosexuality, 487–506
 - Grant* case, 487–506
 - anti-discrimination legislation, 489–490
 - claim, 488–489
 - judgment of ECJ, 494
 - opinion of Advocate-General, 490–494
 - political events following judgment, 503–506
 - referral, 490
 - sex discrimination, whether, 494–495
 - sexual orientation, discrimination based on, 500–502
 - status of stable homosexual relationships, 495–500
- State liability for breach of EC law, 69–109
 - Brasserie du Pecheur*, 72–81
 - conditions for, 74–79
 - continuing problem of national treatment, 94–98
 - Dillenkofer v. FDR*, 88–90
 - extent of period for which compensation required to be paid, 80–81
 - Factortame*, 72–81
 - issues clarified, 90–94
 - limits, 69–109
 - nature and extent of reparation, 79–80
 - nature of breach, 74
 - overview, 90–107
 - R. v. HM Treasury ex parte BT plc*, 81–83
 - R. v. Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food, ex parte Hedley Lomas*, 83–88
 - ‘sufficiently serious’ breach, 98–107
- Subsidiary and public purchasing, 55–68
 - adequate deterrence, 58–60
 - amount of loss, 62–63
 - causation, 62–63
 - consortia, 63–64
 - desirable improvements in law, 65–68
 - urgency, 67–68
 - national or Community enforcement, 60–62
 - prompt investigation, 57
 - prompt recovery of compensation if payable, 58
 - speedy restriction of legal issues, 57–58
 - standards, 64–65
 - voluntary compliance, 60
- United Kingdom
 - Human Rights Bill, 299–311, 593–611
 - clause 6, 597–601
 - derogations, 309–310
 - distinguishing between public and private in other contexts, 603–605
 - EC experience, 605–607
 - identifying public authorities and functions, 601–602
 - legislative process, 301–302
 - litigation: judge as watchdog, 302–303
 - partial incorporation, 308–309
 - political web of supremacy, and, 301–305
 - post-litigation fast-track remedial orders, 304–305
 - potential litigants, 305–306
 - previous proposals, 595–597
 - public authority, concept of, 306–308
 - reservations, 309–310
 - responsibility under European Convention, 607–611
 - victim, concept of, 305–306

- weaknesses, 308–310
- incorporation of European Convention on Human Rights, 299–311
- UK administrative law, 253–276
 - 'spill-over' effect, 253–276
 - defining, 255–257
 - delimiting, 273–276
 - EC law remedies, 257–260
 - injunctive relief, 261–263
 - proportionality, 267–273
 - remedies, 257–267
 - state liability, 264–267