

Subject Index

A

Administrative cooperation between
 Member States and EU Law
 implementation, 597–616
argument, 598–599
difficulties, 601–602
duties to cooperate, 602–608
 Community support: sector-specific
 incentives, 607
 EU support: general incentives,
 606–607
 extent, 608
 interpretation of the European
 Court, 605
 Legislative Provisions, 603–605
 Treaty Provisions, 602–603
encouragements, 609–610
 Community support, 609–610
 Treaty Provisions, 609
example, 597–598
first illustration, 599–600
instruments, 610–615
 alert systems, 614
 Databases, 612–613
 joint administrative teams and joint
 operations, 615
 mutual administrative assistance,
 614–615
 mutual information mechanisms,
 613
 prerequisite, 611

 single transmission of information,
 611–612
 network pattern, 615–616
 second illustration, 600–601
 side effects, 616
‘Airborne warning and control system’
 (AWACS) aircraft over Turkey,
 33–36

B

Berlusconi Judgment: Italian
 Constitutional Court (Note 262/
 2009) decision, 231–238
constitutional review structure,
 preliminary remarks, 232–233
 incidenter and *principaliter* proceedings,
 232–233
 nature, 233
‘*lodo Alfano*’, 231–238
political reactions, 236–238
 Berlusconi’s harsh criticism,
 236–237
 President’s promulgation, 237
proceedings, 233–236
 continuity between *lodo Alfano* and
 lodo Schifani, 234–235
 internal coherence and incoherence,
 ‘*lodo Alfano*’, 236
 unconstitutionality of Article 1,
 233–234
 violation, Articles 3 and 138, 235

C

Courts administration in Czech Republic and Slovakia, 251–270

2008 amendments, law on court and judges, 265–267

disciplinary panels, 265–266

length of term, 266–267

‘Euro’ model: self-administration, 254–258

historical reasons, Austrian model, 255

JCSR creation, 256

judicial councils creation, European region, 255

judicial self-administration, 257–258

SJC establishment, 256

‘undue power,’ judges, 258

Judicial Council of the Slovak Republic (JCSR), 256

presidential power, judges appointment, 260–263

as administrative authority, 261–262

conditions for appointment, 260–261

inter alia, equal access right violation, 261

SAC decision, 261–263

reasoning, dismissal of

president of court, 259–260

president of supreme court, 263–265

state administration, 252–254

Austrian codification, 252–253

dual function, presidents and vice presidents of courts, 253–254

power of supervision, 253

Supreme Administrative Court (SAC), 261

Supreme Council of Judiciary (SJC), 256

Cyprus, 327–355

codification, case law, 349–352

amending law 2008, 351–352

Article 6 No. 158(1)/1999, 349–350

separation of powers, 350, 352

Cypriot legal system, 328

ECJ jurisprudence, 331–335

Article 6, European Convention, 334–335

Article 263 TFEU, 331–332

core of critique, 332

Plaumann criterion, 333

six parameters, justificatory reasoning, 333–335

English courts approach, 329

locus standi, 327

preliminary references, 330–331, 353–355

standing issue, 329–330

Supreme court approach, 336–349

actio popularis, 348–349

Article 146(2), 338

comparative methodology, 339

Cypriot system, 336

jurisprudence, administrative law, 336–337

legal position, applicant, 339–341

legitimate interest, 343–346

Pergau Dam case, 348

‘present interest’, 341–342

provision N. 158(1)/1999, 337–338

Thanos Club Hotels Ltd v. ETEK case, 346–347

tasks, 328

Czech Republic 2006–2008, 1–16

Brožová case: judicial functionaries

repeal, 13–16

Act on Court and Judges, 14

arguments, 14–15

Constitutional Court declaration, 15

judicial act regulations, 15–16

President’s authority, chairperson

appointment and removal, 13–14

Czech Constitutional Court (CCC), 1

European Arrest Warrant (EAW), 6

Langer case: judicial appointments, 10–13
 age criteria, 10, 11
 v. Presidential activity, 11–12
 process/practice, 10–11
 Supreme Administrative Court
 confirmation, 12–13
 Lisbon Treaty, 1–10
 approval procedure, 3
 Article 10a, 4, 5, 6
 Constitutional Court opinion, 4
 Czech Charter of Fundamental
 Rights and Freedoms, 5
 ‘European’ constitutional
 amendment adoption, 1–2
 ‘leniency period’, 7
 Presidential motion, 9–10
 ratification process, International
 treaties, 2–3
 sanction regime under Article 7
 TEU, 5
 Senate questions on constitutionality,
 3–4
 senators’ motions, 7–9
 ‘super-sovereignty’ concept, 6
 transfer of powers, 4–5
 Treaty on European Union (TEU), 4
 Treaty on the Functioning of the
 European Union (TFEU), 3

D

Death Penalty in the Council of Europe:
 Article 3 ECHR, 77–103
 boundaries expansion, 79–87
 1973–1980: beginnings of change,
 80–82
 1950–1972: from uncertainty to
 restricted position, 79–80
 Member States expansion, 82–83
 observer states and application,
 86–87

Protocol No.13, success and failure,
 84–85
 ‘death penalty-free area’, 77–78
 ‘European Day against the Death
 Penalty’, 78
 judicial interpretation, 87–102
 capital charge and sentence, 91–92
 Committee for the Prevention of
 Torture (CPT), 98–100
 ‘death row phenomenon’, 101–102
 disharmony, Article 3 and Article
 2(1), 87–90
 execution methods, 100–101
 execution moratorium, 93–95
 extradition and deportation, 95–98
 statement of, 77
 Denmark, 181–192
 Danish Constitution provisions on
 International Affairs, 184–190
 Section 19, 184–185
 Section 20, 185–190
 EU Law Provisions on Treaties
 ratification, 183–184
 European Coal and Steel
 Community (ECSC) Treaty, 183
 principle, allocation of powers, 184
 European Economic Community
 (EEC) Treaty, 182
 Lisbon Treaty ratification, 190–192
 Government arguments, 190–191
 requirement for, 191–192
 relationship, EU law and national law,
 181–182
 on Community regulations, 182
 doctrines, 181
 Section 20, Danish Constitution,
 185–190
 history of, 185–186
 Max Sørensen’s proposal, 185–186
 in practice, 188–190
 wording and content, 186–188

E

Environmental protection and ECHR, 571–595

environmental law and human rights, 586–590

First Environmental Action Programme, 588–589

freedom of assembly and freedom of speech, 589–590

‘free-rider’ mentality, 587–588

non-human elements, 587

Town Planning Act 1909, 588

jurisprudence, 572–581

under Article 8, 577–578

industrial pollution, 574–575

noise, 573–574

procedural environmental rights, 579–580

procedural safeguards and risk, 575–577

reasons, 581–586

role, 590–594

rule of law approach, 578–579

EU Directives invocability limits, 239–247

Arcor case, 242–243

Delena Wells case, 240–242

admissible imposition, 241–242

direct invocation, EIA Directive, 241

ECJ guidelines, 241

facts, 240–241

environmental impact assessment (EIA), 240

legal position of third party, 239–240

questioning the delineation, 243–246

Costanzo case constellation, 244

Delena Wells, Arcor or *Smith & Nephew* cases constellation, 245

Directives on labelling, 245–246

Huddleston way of reasoning, 245–246

mutual conditionality, 243

permissible and impermissible imposition, 245

public license, challenging proceeding, 243–244

European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR) judgments

gendered rights, 437–454

Article 14 and public/private law divide, 439–441

hybridity and intersectionality, 441–443

sex discrimination against women, 443–448

transgender/transsexual rights, 448–452

hate speech cases, 373–389

academic feedback, 381–382

‘*apologie du terrorisme*’ concept, 385

Articles 14 and 17 ECHR, 384–385

Balsytė-Lideikienė v. Lithuania, 378–381

comparison with ‘Turkish’ cases, 382–384

Demier Cri from Strasbourg, 373–389

Leroy v. France, 376–378

Soulas & Others v. France, 374–376

immigrants and asylum seeker’ rights, 419–435

comparative analysis, 425–429

concepts within ECHR framework, 420–422

involvement and approach, actors and institutions, 429–431

relevant provisions, 423–425

variable patterns of implementation, 431–434

individual rights protection and claims, 393–400

contributions, 399–400

JURISTRAS Project, 393–399

May 2009–April 2010, 495–522

ban on hunting: Article 8, 504–509
 Court's workload and institutional reforms, 495–496
 human trafficking: Article 4, 496–500
 positive obligations and public service broadcasting: Article 10, 512–517
 prisoner's right to marry: Article 12, 517–518
 Protocol No. 12: prohibition on discrimination, 518–522
 right to a fair trial: Article 6, 500–504
 right to conscientious objection to Military service: Article 9, 509–512
 minorities litigation from Southeast Europe, 401–418
 domestic implementation, 410–416
 minority litigation and Strasbourg court responses, 405–410
 reform, national minority rights, 416–418
 secularism, 455–471
 Austria and UK : court activism, 460–462
 France, 462–464
 Greece and Bulgaria : Persistent violators, 456–460
 headscarf : fear of Islam, 464–468
 Turkey, 468–470
 European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR): May 2009–April 2010, 495–522
 ban on hunting: Article 8, 504–509
 The Countryside Alliance and Others v. United Kingdom case, 504–506
 Gillan and Quinton v. United Kingdom judgment, 507–509
 Court's workload and institutional reforms, 495–496
 human trafficking: Article 4, 496–500

Rantsev v. Cyprus and Russia case, 496–500
 positive obligations and public service broadcasting: Article 10, 512–517
 Verein Gegen Tierfabriken Schweiz (VgT) v. Switzerland (no. 2) judgment, 512–517
 prisoner's right to marry: Article 12, 517–518
 Protocol No. 12: prohibition on discrimination, 518–522
 Sejdic and Finci v. Bosnia and Herzegovina case, 518–522
 right to a fair trial: Article 6, 500–504
 Micallef v. Malta case, 500–504
 right to conscientious objection to Military service: Article 9, 509–512
 Bayatyan v. Armenia case, 510–511
 Ulke v. Turkey case, 511–512

F

Financial Penalties under Article 228 EC (Article 260 TFEU), 547–570
 approach to imposing penalties, Commission and Court, 561
 Commission practice, as litigator, 555–560
 Article 228 Cases–Top Two Sectors (1998–2008), 557–558
 Commission penalty proposals and subject matter of infringement, 558–559
 criterion of seriousness, 560
 judgments under Article 228, 555–560
 launching of Article 228 process (1998–2008), 557
 trends in Enforcement Activities under Articles 226 and 228 (1998–2008), 558, 559
 Commission's policy, 552–555
 under Article 228, 552–553

- deterrent effect of the infringement, 554
- duration of the infringement, 554
- mathematical formula, determining the penalty payment, 555
- principle of proportionality, 554–555
- seriousness of the infringement, 553–554
- Court approaches, 560–564
 - cases decided, 560–562
 - timescales, 563–564
- establishment of enforcement norms and institutional overlap, 564–568
 - influence and control on
 - administrative phase, 564–565
 - purpose of the financial sanctions, 566–567
 - reinterpreting the Treaty, 565
 - taking control on regulatory strategy, 565–566
 - Treaty amendment, 567–568
- infringement cases, 552
- system of enforcement, 548–551
 - Article 228 EC, 549
 - Commission as ‘guardian of the treaty’, 548
 - Commission’s role, 549–550
 - declaration of non-compliance, 548–549
 - National agencies and bodies, 551
- Finland, 357–370
 - Centres for Economic Development, Transport and the Environment (CEDs), 360
 - institutions, 357
 - provinces and districts, 357–364
 - Åland Islands, 360
 - autonomous Grand Duchy, 358
 - Constitutional Committee’s comment, 361–363
 - history, 357–358
 - independent district authorities, 359
 - linguistic rights, 363
 - provincial administration under Governor, 358–359
 - reform project, regional state administration, 359–361
 - RSAA and CEDs division, 360
 - reform of regional state administration and universities, 357–370
 - Regional State Administrative Agencies (RSAA), 360
 - state universities, 364–370
 - autonomy of, 364–365
 - civil servanthship, 367
 - development, 364
 - features, reform, 366
 - governing bodies, 367, 368
 - legal position, 369–370
 - public appointment *v.* private appointment relationship, 367
 - self-governance, 368, 369
 - University Act (558/2009), 365–366
- The French *Conseil Constitutionnel* since Mid-2007, 539–543
 - membership, 543
 - norms of reference, 539–540
 - ‘priority preliminary rulings on the issue of constitutionality’, 540–543
- From ‘Government Commissioner’ to ‘Public Reporter’, 533–538
 - Articles R 711-2 and 712-1, 536
 - Articles R 732-1 and R 733-1, 534
 - character of *contradictoire*, 537–538
 - general will, 535
 - informal role, member of the *Conseil d’Etat*, 535–536
 - narrow conception of hearing, 537
 - non-voting capacity, deliberations of the judges, 533–534
 - written character of the procedure, 536–537
- Fundamental Rights and Security, 289–308

- asset-freezing sanctions, 301–307
 - human rights infringements, 307
 - judicial review availability, 304–307
 - legal basis, 301–304
- Data Protection Directive, 290–291
- Data Retention Directive, 297–301
 - adoption of, 297
 - breadth of, 298–300
 - human rights infringements, 300–301
 - legal basis, 297–298
- EU-US PNR Agreements, 289–296
 - breadth of, 292–295
 - human rights infringements, 295–296
 - legal basis, 290–292
- Passenger Name Record (PNR) Agreements, 289–296

G

- Gendered rights, 437–454
 - Article 14 and public/private law divide, 439–441
 - avoidance of supranational interference, 440–441
 - complex debate, 439–440
 - intersectionality, 441–443
 - definition, 441–442
 - as feminist movement, 442
 - women's rights, 442–443
- JURISTRAS Project, 438
- sex discrimination against women, 443–448
 - Article 2 Right to Life, 443
 - Buckley* case, 444
 - Chapman* case, 444–445
 - Karaduman v. Turkey* case, 445–446
 - MC v. Bulgaria* case, 447–448
 - R v. Governors of Denbigh High School* case, 446–447
 - woman's right to family life, 445
- transgender/transsexual rights, 448–452

- 'family life' *v.* private life, 451
- Gender Recognition Act 2004, 451–452
 - gender recognition on birth certificates, 448–450
 - Goodwin* judgment, 450
 - van Kück v. Germany* case, 452
- German Constitutional Court's
 - friendliness towards European Law, 53–73
- Article 48 EU treaty, 53–54
- BVerfG's* judgment 30 June 2009, 60–64
 - admissibility of applications, 60–61
 - compatibility, Lisbon Treaty, 61
 - democratic deficit, 61
 - emergency brake system, 63
 - 'eternity clause', 60
 - Länder* law, 64
 - partial unconstitutionality, 62
- continuity and supplements, 66–70
 - BVerfG's* reasoning, 68–69
 - 'Christophersen clause,' 68
 - 'judicial dialogue', 69
 - 'message' addressed to, 69–70
 - Solange I* and *II* doctrine, 66–67
- democratic legitimization, 64–66
 - flexibility clause, 65–66
 - reasoning, *BVerfG*, 65
- ECJ and Constitutional Courts
 - relationship, 70–73
 - compatible with Treaties, 72–73
 - contradiction, 70–71
 - Fotofrost* and *Simmenthal* jurisprudence, 71–72
 - preliminary reference, 71
 - supervisory role, *BVerfG*, 71
- Mode of Ratification, 54–55
- readings, judgment 2 BvE 2/08, 56–60
 - applicants and admissibility of petitions, 57–58

Bundestag and *Bundesrat* participation, 56, 58
 context of, 59
 ‘*Leitsätze*’, 58–59
 practice and structure, 57
 ‘Stop the ECJ!’ article, 59
 translation risk, 56–57

German Constitutional Law cases
 2007–2009, 17–44
 Armed Forces use, abroad, 30–36
 AWACS aircraft over Turkey, 33–36
 facts, 33–34
 FCC’s decision, 34–35
 reactions, 35–36
 Federal Constitutional Court (FCC), 18
 freedom of arts, 24–27
 facts, 24
 reactions, 26–27
 with right to personality, 25–26
 ISAF mission in Afghanistan, 30–33
 facts, 30–31
 FCC’s decision, 31–32
 reactions, 33
 Lisbon Treaty, 36–44
 Article 38.1, fundamental role, 39
 decision outcome, 38–39
 EP’s limited role, 41
 European integration, 40
 facts and context, 37–38
 openness principle and limits, 40–41
 reactions, 42–44
 statehood protection, 42
 North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO), 30–33
 ‘online searches’/IT systems,
 infiltration, 17–21
 confidentiality and integrity, 18–19
 legal issues, 17–18
 reactions, 20–21
 subsequent application, 19–20
 ‘Operation Display Deterrence’, 33, 35

‘Operation Enduring Freedom’ (OEF), 30–33
 sexual relations between siblings, 21–24
 facts, 21
 prohibition, 21–22
 reactions, 22–24
 voting computers use, 27–30
 facts, 27–28
 FCC’s decision, 28–29
 reactions, 29–30

H

Hate speech cases, ‘Strasbourg reasoning’, 373–389
 academic feedback, 381–382
 ‘*apologie du terrorisme*’ concept, 385
 Articles 14 and 17 ECHR, 384–385
 Balsytė-Lideikienė v. Lithuania, 378–381
 Article 6 and 10 ECHR, 381
 ethnic problems, Lithuania, 379
 experts’ opinion, 380
 historical background, 378–379
 Lithuanian calendar (*‘Lietuvio kalendorius’*) issue, 379–380
 Recommendation No. R (97) 20, 380–381
 comparison with ‘Turkish’ cases, 382–384
 A dernier cri from Strasbourg, 373–389
 Durban Conference on Racism, 387
 Féret v. Belgium case, 388
 Geert Wilders case in the Netherlands, 386
 (anti-)immigration debate, Europe, 374
 Leroy v. France, 376–378
 Article 10 ECHR, 378
 ‘*Ekaitza*’s caricature, 376
 factors, French court decision, 377–378
 ‘unequivocally laudatory’ and ‘act of incitement of terrorism’, 376–377
 Soulas & Others v. France, 374–376

comparative narratives, 375–376
 proportionality test, ECtHR, 375
'The Colonization of Europe. The Truth about Immigration and Islam', 374
 speech code for social-networking, 387

I

Immigrants and asylum seeker' rights, 419–435
 comparative analysis, 425–429
 Articles 3 and 4 of Protocol No. 4, 428–429
 non-refoulement, 428
 right to private life, 427–428
 state benefits systems, 425–426
 treatment, 426–427
 concepts within ECHR framework, 420–422
 Article 1 ECHR, 420–421
 Common European Asylum System, 422
 'Hague Programme', 422
 International customary law, 421
 territorial expansion, EU, 421–422
 ECtHR case law and relevant provisions, 423–425
 Article 3, 423–424
 Article 5, 425
 Article 8, 424–425
 asylum applications, 423
 specific formula, maintaining immigration controls, 424
 emigration sources, Greece and Italy, 419–420
 involvement and approach, actors and institutions, 429–431
 'Austria first' referendum, 430–431
 Kalatari v. Germany case, 431
 mass expulsions issue, Italy, 429
 public interest litigation, Greece, 429–430

variable patterns of implementation, 431–434
 Austrian Aliens' Act of 1997, 433
 providing refugee status, 432–433
 religious members expulsion, Bulgaria, 432
 social benefits systems, 434
 Individual rights protection and claims, 393–400
 contributions, 399–400
 Convention norms, 394
 domestic legal and political systems, 398
 ECtHR role on ECHR provisions, 393–394
 implementation, ECtHR case law, 396–397
 JURISTRAS Project, 393–399
 aim, 394–395
 history, 394
 'marginalized individuals and minorities' rights, 395–396
 International Security Assistance Force (ISAF) mission in Afghanistan, 30–33
 Italy, 193–200
 Antitrust Authority power: Decree No. 223/2006, 196
 class action, Law no. 99/2009, 196–197
 Cultural Heritage and Landscape Code : Legislative Decree No. 42/2004, 199–200
 Environmental Impact Assessment (VIA), 194
 'Environment Code' : Legislative Decree No. 152/2006, 193–195
 divisions, 193–194
 Law no. 308/2004 execution, 193
 Part III and IV, 195
 VAS and VIA procedures, 193–195
 waste management, 194
 water services operations, 194
 new legal measures, 193–200

‘Public Procurement Code’: Legislative Decree No. 163, 197–198
 Strategic Environmental Assessment (VAS), 194

L

Lisbon Treaty’s ‘Provisions on Democratic Principles’, 123–138
 citizens’ initiative: political participation, 136–138
 Article 24 TFEU, 137
 legislative agenda, 136
 signature campaign, 137–138
 civil dialogue and consultation procedure, 132–136
 Article 11.2 TUE, 132–133
 European Economic and Social Committee’s suggestion, 133
 open and focused consultation, 134
 participatory governance, 135–136
 Rules of Procedures, 132
 democratization strategy, 123–124
 EU institutional reform, 125–126
 Laeken Declaration, 126
 legal framework for participatory democracy, 130–132
 citizens’ participation, 130–131
 ‘European Transparency Initiative’ strategy, 131
 political equality, 131–132
 representative democracy in Union of Citizens and Member States, 127–130
 Closa’s argument, 127–128
 Eder and Trenz’s statement, 128
 European Citizens’ rights, 128–129
 National Parliaments’ role, 129
 political parties’ role, 130
 supranational democracy theory, 124
 Treaty establishing a Constitution for Europe (TECE) draft, 127
 ‘White Paper’ recognition, 125

M

Minorities litigation from Southeast Europe, 401–418
 abuses by security forces, 403
 Article 11 ECHR, 406
 Committee of Ministers (CoM) supervision, 402
 democratization and European integration, Turkey, 403
 domestic implementation, ECtHR judgments, 410–416
 Article 5, 415
 Article 11 ECHR, 412
 execution, 411
 feature, 410–411
 in Romania, 414–415
 in Turkey, 413–414
 ECHR Convention, 401–402
 human rights litigation and restrictive state implementation, 401–418
 interwar minority treaty, 406
 Kurdish rights, 407–409
 legal mobilization, 404
 minority-related petitions, 405
 racist violence against Romania, 409–410
 reform, national minority rights, 416–418
 Strasbourg court responses, 405–410
 Turkish Muslims complaints, 407

N

‘New Administrative Law Science’ in Germany, 105–121
 classification, 113–117
 clearer formulation and stronger accentuation, 114
 fundamental changes, 116–117
 legal standards, 115
 ‘widened perspective’ concept, 113
 core contents, 110–113

Hoffmann-Riem's summary,
 112–113
 'rightfulness', 112
 as steering approach, 111
 former reform debate, 106
 governance approach, 117–119
 drawback, 118
 risk, 118–119
 Schuppert's suggestion, 117
 juristic method, 107–110, 119–120
 Federal Administrative Procedure
 Act, 109
 generalization strategy, 108–109
 'good legislation' culture, 109–110
 Mayer's administrative action,
 107–108
 Schmidt-Aßmann's approach, 108
 supplementation process, 120
 symposium reports, 105–106
 Norwegian legal debate on Human
 Rights, 45–50
 Article 6(2) ECHR, 49–50
 Convention on the Elimination of All
 Forms of Discrimination against
 Women (CEDAW), 46
 ECHR status, 45–47
 Human Rights Act 1999, 46–47
 impact, before Norwegian courts, 47
 Section 110(c), 45–46
 UN Covenants, 46
 impact of incorporation
 Böhler case, 48–49
 'enforcement approach', 49
 International Covenant on Civil and
 Political Rights (ICCPR), 46
 International Covenant on Economical,
 Social and Cultural Rights
 (ICESCR), 46
In re P (Adoption: Unmarried Couple)
 case, 50
 sitting in plenary session, Supreme
 Court, 49

United Nations Convention on the
 Rights of the Child (UNCRC), 46

P

Polish Senate's EU Affairs Committee role
 in European integration, 223–230
 decision-making procedure, 226–227
 Article 6, 226
 Article 8, 226–227
 Article 9, 227
 EU documents, 225
 history of, 224–225
 inter-parliamentary cooperation,
 228–229
 Joint Committee Meetings, 229
 Joint Parliamentary Meetings, 229
 members as observers in COSAC, 228
 legal background, 223–224
 MEPs role in Senate, 227
 procedural issues, 225
 proposals selection, 226
 standing committees involvement, 226
 subsidiarity, 228
 Portugal, 201–220
 European law, 'in-house' doctrine,
 202–211
 concessionaire activities, 210–211
 history of, 204–205
 public authority control, separate
 entity, 206–210
 remarks, public contracts and
 concessions, 202–204
 PEAASAR II implementation,
 215–219
 Decree-Law No. 194/2009,
 216–218
 management models, municipalities,
 216
 Portuguese water and waste sectors,
 'in-house' doctrine, 211–219
 PEAASAR II implementation,
 215–219

phase between 2003 and PEAASAR II,
213–215
phase prior to 2003, 212–213
remarks, 211
public contracts and concessions,
remarks, 202–204
EU public procurement directives,
202–203
TFEU provisions, 204
public-private partnerships (PPPs), 201
recognition, ‘in-house’ relationship,
205–211

R

Recent developments in Luxembourg,
523–532
citizenship, 530
Convention implementing the
Schengen Agreement (CISA),
530–531
European Court activities in 2009,
523–532
institutional proceedings and general
principles, 526–528
Case C-115/08, 528
Case C-345/06, 528
Case C-89/08 P, 527
Case C-141/08 P, 527–528
Cases C-445/07 P and C-455/07
P, 526–527
legal basis, 524–526
Case C-166/07, 524
Case C-301/06, 524–525
Case C-411/06, 525
Opinion 1/08, 525–526
Lisbon negotiations, 523
national remedies, 528–529
C-445/06, 529
Case C-2/08, 528–529
police and judicial cooperation in
criminal matters, 531
visas, asylum and immigration, 530–531

S

Secularism and ECtHR, 455–471
Austria and UK : court activism,
460–462
Otto-Preminger-Institut v. Austria,
460–461
Wingrove v. the United Kingdom,
461–462
France, 462–464
freedom of religion, 464
‘ostentatious religious symbols’ ban,
463
Greece and Bulgaria : Persistent
violators, 456–460
Hasan and Chaush v. Bulgaria
case, 458
interpretation, 459–460
Kokkinakis v. Greece case, 456–457
Leyla Sahin v. Turkey, 459
violation, Article 9, 457–458
headscarf : fear of Islam, 464–468
ban on, 464
Dahlab v. Switzerland, 465
Leyla Sahin v. Turkey, 466–467
‘proselytising effect,’ 467
religious feelings, 467–468
religious freedom, 455–456
Turkey, 468–470
Article 2 of Protocol 1 ECHR, 469
‘*catholaïcité*’, 470
Services of general economic interest
(SGEI), 271–288
Altmark judgment, 276–277
applicability, more refined economic
approach, 272–275
Article 107(3) TFEU, 273–275
under Article 106(2) TFEU,
279–280
EU state aid policy reform, 272
subsidiarity principle, 279
Article 106(2) TFEU, 275–276
balancing test, 281–287

beneficiary behaviour, 284
 Commission authorization, 281
 positive *v.* negative effects,
 287–288
 proportionality of aid, 284–287
 state aid as policy instruments,
 282–283
 steps, 273
 ‘double standard’ of assessment,
 277–279
 ex-ante and *ex-post* compensation,
 278–279
 public tender procedure and
 efficient-costs criterion, 277–278
 State Aid Action Plan (SAAP), 272
 Treaty on the Functioning of the
 European Union (TFEU), 271

T

Turkey’s new choice of Presidential
 Elections, 139–160
 challenges, Turkish political system,
 159–160
 2007 Constitutional Amendments,
 153–158
 Article 101: candidate qualification,
 153
 Article 104: executive branch power,
 154–155
 decrees appointment power, 155–156
 election procedure, 153–154
 political party structure, 158
 politico-cultural context types,
 157–158

 relationship with parliamentary
 majority, 156–157
 dual democratic legitimacy, 139–140
 origin of, 139
 Presidents’ power, 145–153
 agenda setting, 150
 assessment results, 151–153
 cabinet ministers dismissal, 151
 Council of Ministers appointment,
 150
 decree power, 149–150
 early elections call, 151
 interference with cabinet list,
 150–151
 Methcalf’s list, legislative and
 non-legislative powers, 145, 147
 partial referral, 148
 Shugart’s table of questions,
 145–146
 special veto power, 147–148
 semi-presidentialism, 140–145
 additional variables, 141–142
 dual authority structure, 142
 Duverger’s definition, 140–141
 evaluation methods, lists of powers,
 143–144
 feature, 143
 legislative and non-legislative
 powers, 144
 v. parliamentary system, 144
 ‘quite considerable powers’, 143
 Shugart and Carey test, 144–145
 subjective list of Presidential powers,
 142–143