

Subject Index

A

- 'Administrative Cooperation', 127–147
 - alternatives to courts and
 - administrative cooperation, 137–140
 - PPN and ENO, 138
 - SOLVIT network, 138–139
 - two-fold reasons, 138
 - cooperation, composite procedures and effective protection, 134–137
 - Article 15 of the Regulation No. 1/2003, 137
 - Borrelli* case, 135
 - considerations, 136
 - 'mutually recognize' decisions, 137
 - Tillack* case, 135
 - traditional (national) system, 134–135
 - Van Parys* case, 135–136
- ENO, 143–146
 - Case 2769/2009/BEH, 143
 - Case 3484/2005/JMA, 144
 - EO documentation, 145
 - exchanging information, 144–145
 - SOLVIT, 146
- 'European' administrations and
 - administrative cooperation, 129–134
 - Article 10 TEC, 131
 - Article 227 TEC, 134
 - Article 197 TFEU, 131
 - cooperation obligations, 132–133
 - 'cross-border cooperation, 130

- free movement legislation, 130
- principle of mutual recognition, 131–132
- public administrations, 132
- Recital No. 105 of the 'Service Directive' (2006/123/EC), 130
- 'shared administration' scheme, 133
- PPN, 140–143
 - contact points (CPs), 140
 - cooperation guidelines, 141
 - 'ex-post award' remedies, 143
 - 1996 Green Paper on Public Procurement, 140
 - pre-contractual and out-of-court mechanism, 142
- preliminary remarks, 127–129
 - Articles 4.3 TEU and 197 TFEU, 128
 - European Ombudsman (EO), 129
 - national-based court system, 128–129
 - national public administrations, 128
 - SOLVIT network, 129
- Single Market Assistance Services Initiative, 146–147

B

- Belgian constitutional review procedure, 411–422
 - battle of courts, 413–414
 - Melki-Abdeli criteria, 417–422
 - Community law, 417
 - Conseil Constitutionnel*, 418

Court of Justice and the national courts, 418–419
 EU directive, 421–422
 EU law, 420–421
 fundamental rights guarantee, 419–420
 Special Majority Act, Art. 26(4), 414–417
 decentralized/centralized judicial review, 415–416
 EU law, 416–417
 preliminary ruling, 415
Simmenthal decision, 416
 two-tier protection, 411–413

C

Charter of Fundamental Rights (CFR) and the Dublin Convention
 comment, 660–663
MSS v. Belgium & France, 660–661
 ‘rebuttable presumption’, 661–663
 description, 655–656
 Discretion Under Article 3 (2), 657
 facts of N.S., 656
 The Judgment of The Grand Chamber of The CJEU, 656–657
 member states responsibility, 657–660
 Common European Asylum System, 658–660
 principle of mutual trust and confidence, 658
 scheme of Regulation No 343/2003, 658
N.S. v. Secretary of State for the Home Department, 655–664
 ‘OPT-OUT’ from the charter, 660
 Citizenship policies in the Lisbon Ruling, 351–371
Bundestag MPs, 357
 conception of citizenship, 368–371
 confederation (*Staatenverbund*), 353–356
 constituent power of the German

people, 352
 domaine réservé doctrine, 364–368
 freedom of action of the self-determined people, 356
 German Basic Law, 356
 German Federal Constitutional Court, 351
 nature of hybrid, 352–353
 Undemocratic Nature of the EU Parliament, 358–364
 Art. 38.1 of the Basic Law, 363
 Art. 14.2 TEU, 359
 Christoph Schönberger, 358
 Court establishment, 363–364
 democratic theory, 362
 equality of voting principle, 360
 hybrid nature of the EU, 361
 Lisbon Treaty, 358
 post-nationalism, 359
principle of equality voting, 361–362
 protective measures, Germany’s democracy, 360–361
 second chamber of federal systems, 359–360
 weighty consequences, 362–363
 ‘United States of Europe’, 356–357
 The Coalition and the Constitution, 423–436
 changes in Human Rights Act 1998, 423
 Coalition and Europe, 430–433
 Commission’s working, 431
 European Union Act 2011, 431–432
 Human Rights Act, 430–431
 ‘referendum lock’, 432–433
Thoburn v. Sunderland City Council, 432
 Coalition’s Programme for government, 424–425
 devolution, 433–436
 Calman Commission, 434
 coalition government, 435–436

creation of legislative, 433
 Scotland Act 1998, 433–434
 Scottish National Party and
 Parliament, 434–435
 ‘West Lothian question’, 436
 elections to House of Commons,
 425–427
 English Rapport, 423–436
 General Election of 2010, 423–424
 reform of the House of Lords,
 427–430
 Joint Committee, 429
 members of, 427–428
 parties, 428–429
 Salisbury convention, 430
 UK constitution, 428
 Constitutional adjudication in the era of
 globalization: the Netherlands
 British-Scandinavian family of
 constitutional traditions, 231
 comparative perspective, 232–234
 British-Scandinavian family, 233
 countries courts, 233–234
 ‘evolutionary’ constitutions, 232
 power of the legislature, 233
 revolutionary tradition, 232–233
 single foundational constitutional
 moment, 232
 compatibility of acts of parliament
 with *Grondwet*, 240–242
 international agency/constitutional
 adjudication, 243–245
 international law’s objective, 231
 power to review acts of parliament
 against treaty provisions, 237–240
 prohibition, 235–236
 sources of constitutional law and
 review, 234–235

D

Domestic Violence and ECJ, 645–654
 analysis, 649–654
 Advocate General Kokott
 statement, 652–653

Crown Prosecution Service (CPS),
 651
 Edwards states, 651
 family members or strangers, 651
 international level, 653–654
 role of victims, 649–650
 Spanish Criminal Code, 650, 652
 decision, 648–649
 facts of the cases, 645–646
 framework decision, 646–647
*Magatte Gueye and Valentin Salmeron
 Sanchez*, 645–654
 questions posed by the referring
 court, 647–648

E

Environment and loss for procedural
 autonomy of the Member States,
 249–268
 Convention and the Directive, 256
 effective implementation of union
 environmental law *v.* procedural
 autonomy, 267–268
 environmental NGOs, 255
 German Courts, 253–255
 legal and factual background, 249–253
 Art. 9(2) of the convention on
 access to justice, 249–250
 Convention, 250
 ECJ and NGOs, 249, 252
 German administrative procedural
 law to guarantee judicial
 protection, 250–252
 The Literal Argument, 257–260
 principle of effectiveness, 262–267
 implementation of EU
 environmental law, 265–267
 standing case-law of the ECJ,
 reasons, 262–265
 purpose of the Directive and
 Convention, 260–262
 European administrative law, 285–304
 actual status, 290–292

- assessment, 292
- elements, 290–292
- age of globalization, 301
- Article 298 TFEU, 302–303
- Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union (ChFR)
 - guarantees, 298–301
 - European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR), 299–301
 - The Right of Access to Documents in Article 42 ChFR, 299
 - The Right to Good Administration in Article 41 ChFR, 298–299
- competence, 301–302
- implementation, 303–304
- main stages of development, 287–290
 - French law, 288
 - general principles of law, 288
 - national administrative law, 289–290
 - revocation of administrative acts, 287–288
 - written law, 287
- procedural law, 302–303
- proposal, 302
- stages, 286–287
- and Treaty of Lisbon, 292–297
 - administrative cooperation
 - according to Article 197 TFEU, 294
 - administrative functions, 292
 - delegated acts and implementing acts, 294–296
 - formal requirements, 297
 - general principles, 293
 - legal protection, 296–297
- European Anti-fraud Office (OLAF)
 - conduct of administration, 76–82
 - backlog of cases and its impact on internal organization, 76–78
 - human resources, 78–79
 - tackling maladministration, 80–82
 - the Tillack affair, 79–80
 - conduct of investigations, 68–76
 - guarantee of individual rights, 74–76
 - independence and accountability, 68–70
 - objectives and procedure, 70–73
 - rules of evidence, 73–74
 - cooperation, 82–92
 - the Commission, 88–89
 - European Parliament (EP), 89–90
 - Europol, 90–92
 - and national authorities, 82–88
 - description, 65
 - European Coal and Steel Community (ECSC), 66
 - investigative work, 92–93
 - Member States (MSs), cooperation, 94–95
 - and national authorities, 82–88
 - effective cooperation, 82–83
 - financial impact and recovery, 86–88
 - irregularities and fraud, 83–86
 - operates, ‘zero-tolerance policy’, 66
 - possibility of establishing European Public Prosecutor, 95–96
 - proposal for new Regulation, 97
 - task requires an examination of investigatory work, 67–68
 - and tasks, 96
- European Court of Human Rights: May 2011–April 2012, 565–597
 - abuse of the right of individual application, Article 35, 591–593
 - balancing freedom of expression, Article 10, 589–591
 - Axel Springer AG v. Germany*, 589–590
 - Von Hannover v. Germany (no2)*, 591
 - collective expulsion of aliens, Article 4 of protocol no.4, 594–597
 - ‘Arab Spring’, 597
 - Hirsi Jamaa and Others v. Italy*, 594–595

- migratory flows, 595–596
- ‘push back’ operation, 594
- travaux préparatoires*, 595–597
- confidentiality of friendly settlement negotiations, Article 39, 593–594
- conscientious objection to military service, Article 9, 585–589
 - Bayatyan v. Armenia*, 585–588
 - domestic law and Armenia’s commitments, 588–589
 - Jehovah’s Christian Witnesses, 587
 - Judge Gyulumyan, 588–589
- The Court’s workload and institutional matters, 565–567
 - agreement, 567
 - single-judge formations, 565–567
- hearsay evidence admission, Article 6, 577–582
 - Al-Khawaja and Tahery v. United Kingdom*, 577–578
 - Criminal Justice Acts 1988 and 2003, 580–582
 - justice, 579
 - Tahery’s trial, 578
 - Unterpertinger v. Austria*, 579–580
- The jurisdictional responsibilities of member states, Article 1, 568–573
 - Al-Jedda v. United Kingdom*, 571–573
 - Al-Skeini and Others v. United Kingdom*, 568–571
- private life, Article 10, 590–591
- regulation of medically assisted procreation, Article 8, 582–585
 - Austrian legislation, 583–585
 - Dickson v. UK*, 583
 - medically assisted procreation (MAP), 583
 - S.H. and others v. Austria*, 582–583
- sterilization without informed consent, Article 3, 573–577
 - Article 8, 575–577
 - Chamber characterized, 575
 - International Federation of Gynaecology and Obstetrics, 574–575
 - Roma origin, 576–577
 - Slovakian legislation, 576
 - V.C. v. Slovakia*, 573–574
- Europeanization of the Supervision of Competitive Markets, 191–221
 - ACER, 209–210
 - BEREC, 208–209
 - CEBS, CEIOPS, and CESR networks, 205–206
 - centralization *versus* decentralization, 191–194
 - categories of economic supervisors, 191–192
 - European and national decision-making and enforcement procedures, 193
 - innovative institutional tools, 193
 - national authorities role, 192
 - principle of autonomy, 193
- Commission’s right to intervene in national decision-making, 199–201
 - communications market, 199–200
 - energy market, 200–201
- CPC network, 204–205
- EBA, ESMA, and EIOPA, 210–213
- ECN, 206–208
- European regulators, 208–217
 - communications regulator, 208–209
 - energy regulator, 209–210
 - financial authorities, 210–213
 - governance structure, 213–214
 - Meroni* doctrine, 215–216
 - role of the commission, 216–217
 - tasks and powers, 214–215
- jurisdiction rules, 194–199
 - Home State Control (Financial Sector), 196–197
 - Regulation 1/2003 (European Competition Law), 198–199

- Regulation 2006/2004 (Consumer Protection), 194–196
- national regulators, 194–201
 - Commission's right to intervene in national decision-making, 199–201
 - jurisdiction rules, 194–199
- networks of national regulators, 201–208
 - CPC network, 204–205
 - ECN, 206–208
 - financial sector, 205–206
 - tasks and importance of the networks, 202–204
- overview of powers, 219–221
 - Commission vis-à-vis National Regulators, 219
 - European Regulators, 220–221
 - Networks of Regulators, 219–220
- tendency towards more centralization, 217–218
- The European Landscape Convention, 269–282
- Aarhus Convention, 277–278
 - access to environmental information*, 278
 - description, 277
 - environmental rights, 277–278
 - 'right to participate', 278
 - 'the right to access to justice', 278
- EC Environmental Law, 279–281
 - Articles 6, 8, 9 *a*, 280
 - environmental assessment, 281
 - environmental impact assessment directive, 280
 - principles, 279
- landscape and public participation, 273–277
 - Article 5 *a*, 273
 - as 'a territorial project', 274
 - communication, 276
 - Convention of Human Rights, 273–274
 - definition, 273
 - landscape quality objective, 275–276
 - principle of sustainability, 275
 - 'procedures for approving choices', 276–277
 - Rio Conference, 274
- legal recognition of landscape, 269–270
 - definition of landscape, 270
 - description, 269
 - object of legislation, 269–270
- legal structure, 271–272
 - Article 12, 271
 - implementation of the Convention, 271–272
 - landscape planning, 272
 - principle of subsidiarity, 271
- European Regulatory Scheme for the Promotion of Green Power, 665–680
- 2001 and 2009 directives, 669–674
 - administrative procedures, 672–673
 - grid system issues, 673–674
 - guarantee of origin, 671–672
 - national targets, 670
 - objectives, 669–670
 - systems to support, 670–671
- economic crisis, 675–679
 - Act 54/1997 'on the Electricity Sector', 676
 - 'An economic recovery plan', 675
 - European public policy, 679
 - harmonization of national systems, 677–678
 - holding companies, 677
 - Lisbon Treaty, 678–679
 - oligopoly of large companies dominates, 677
 - real and effective application of European legislation, 677
 - Regulation (EC) No. 663/2009, 675–676

- remuneration rate, 676
- renewable energy technologies, 678
- 'Roadmap for Energy 2050', 678
- evaluation of results, 674–675
- Lisbon Treaty of 2007, 668–669
- original treaties and evolution, 667–668
- origin and background of the renewable energy policy, 666–667
- update, 679–680
- European Research Council (ERC), 175–190
- Agency Design in the EU, 175–190
- ERC Executive Agency (ERCEA), 176–177
- evaluation by high-level review panel, 177–178
- flexible institutional and procedural structure, 178
- 'Ideas' Programme, 176
- lessons from reality of EU agencies, 189–190
- options for changing the structure, 184–189
 - ERC as reformed executive agency, 188–189
 - joint undertaking or 'other structure' under ARTICLE 187 TFEU, 186–188
 - measure as specified in ARTICLE 182, paragraph 5 TFEU, 184–186
- options for designing EU agencies, 178–183
 - academic freedom, 182
 - Article 22 of Regulation No. 58/2003, 181
 - Article 114 TFEU, 179
 - financing of agency activities, 181
 - internal organization, 180
 - limitations to delegation of powers, 182–183
 - nature and role of agencies, 179
 - structural design, 178

- submission of public reports, 183
- Scientific Council, 176
- Seventh Research Framework Programme (FP7), 175

F

- French Parliament and European Integration, 527–547
- deparliamentarization, 528–529
- EU affairs, reparliamentarization, 545–547
- EU issues, 534–542
 - EU affairs Committees, Permanent Committees and Plenary, 535
 - Ex Ante Control, 538–542
 - Ex Post Control, 542
 - information, 536–538
- Ex Ante Control, 538–542
 - Brussels, parliamentarians, 538–539
 - EU affairs Committees (EACs), 541
 - National Assembly, 538, 539
 - passage of resolutions in National Assembly, 540
 - resolutions on EU matters, National Assembly, 1997–2008, 541, 542
 - resolutions on EU matters, Senate, 1993–2009, 541
- Fifth Republic, 530
- Lisbon Treaty, 543–545
- national institutions, 527–528
- passerelle clauses, 529
- reparliamentarization EU politics and policies, 529–530
- structural weakness, 530–534

G

- The 'guiding hand' model as a technique of regulation, 99–125
 - case law of the ECJ, 125
 - case of the United Kingdom, 100–106
 - 'Big Society', 105–106
 - concept of 'government by contract', 100–103

- private finance initiative (PFI), 103–104
- quasi-market services, 104–105
- Commission Staff Working Document on state aid, 122
- competition law after the Lisbon Treaty, 106–109
 - European Commission issued new Single Market Act, 108–109
 - European Court of Justice (ECJ), 107
 - Frankfurt School, ‘social economy market’, 108
 - free market’s ‘invisible hand’ mechanism, 107
 - judge-made law, 108
 - relationships between public and private bodies., 109
 - ‘single market’, 107
 - taking account of social issues, 109
 - Treaty on the European Union (TEU), 106
 - Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU), Art. 119, 108
- competition rules and cooperative models, 123–124
- cooperative model, 122
- economic models of relationships, UK and EU, 121
- EU protects the latter as a priority, 124–125
- general objectives of the EU, 109–121
 - horizontal aids, 112–117
 - public-private partnerships (PPPs), 118–121
 - state aids, 109–112
- horizontal aids, 112–117
 - Art. 107(3)(c) TFEU, 112
 - Commission in this area is
 - Regulation 800/2008, 112–113
 - environmental protection, 117
 - European Commission, comparative assessment, 116–117
 - female entrepreneurship appear protected perse, 117
 - GBER considers, compatible, 113–114
 - market and competition, aggravate inequalities, 114–115
 - market efficiency/social purposes, state aids, 114
 - public authorities’ intervention, 115
 - respect of the antitrust assessment, 117
 - state aid on market competition, 115
 - state aid to be legitimate pursuant, GBER, 113
- outlined theories, 124
- public authorities, 121–122
- public-private cooperative systems, 99–100
- public-private partnerships (PPPs), 118–121
 - contradictory approach, 118
 - EU, 120
 - EU public procurement rules, 118–119
 - Green Paper, 118
 - principle of transparency, 121
 - private and public financial sectors, 119–120
 - and traditional public contracts, 119
- relationships between public and private bodies, 124
- state aids, 109–112
 - Art. 107 TFEU, 109–110
 - Art. 107(2) TFEU, 110
 - Art. 107(3) TFEU, 112
 - Art. 107(3)(c) TFEU, 111
 - Commission pursuant to Art. 108(2) TFEU, 110
 - discretionary exemptions, 110–111
 - ECJ and the European Commission, 111–112

H

- Human rights and acts by troops abroad, 473–492
 - facts and UK proceedings, 473–475
 - general principle, ‘exceptional circumstances’, 489–492
 - internment and attribution in *Al-jedda*, 481–484
 - investigative duty in *Al-skeini*, 484–485
 - jurisdiction and deaths, *Al-skeini*, 477–480
 - jurisdiction convention duties, 475–477
- Hungary Fundamental Law, 437–453
 - cardinal laws, 438–439, 441–442
 - constitution, 437
 - constitution-making, 438
 - fiscal constitution, 446–452
 - ‘Budget Council’, 448
 - Constitutional Court, 451
 - Council Directive 2011/85/EU, 449–450
 - debt ceiling set, 447
 - debt reduction, 450–451
 - democratic legitimacy and accountability, 448–449
 - governments, 449
 - individuals/social groups, 452
 - limitation on fiscal policy, 446–447
 - Parliament, 448
 - state of emergency, 447
 - TFEU (Article 126), 449
- ‘Foundations’, 440
- ‘Freedoms and Responsibilities’, 440
- The Fundamental Law and European Constitutionalism, 442–453
 - constitutional review, 452–453
 - data protection, 444–445
 - Europe-Clause, 442–443
 - fiscal constitution, 446–452
 - non-discrimination, 443–444
 - ombudsmen, 445–446

right to life and human dignity, 444

- Kafkaris v. Cyprus*, 441
- ‘National Avowal’, 440
- post-communist country, 439–440
- problem of semantic ambiguity, 441
- revolutionary change, Hungarian constitutionalism, 440–441
- ‘State’, 440
- twenty-first century Europe, 437

K

Katzav Affair. *See* Sexual crimes

L

- Liberalization in the European Union, 701–714
 - electricity and gas flows, countries, 702–703
 - energy market liberalization in EU, 710–712
- EU States the protectionist trends, France and Poland, 713
- legislation, 701–702
- security of energy supplies, 713
- shortcomings of energy market reform, 703–709
 - Agency for the Cooperation of Energy Regulators (ACER), 707
 - commentators, 707
 - countries regulatory duties, 706
 - domestic regulatory authorities, 708
 - EU level, 707
 - foster competition, 703–704
 - functional and legal unbundling of network operators, 704
 - Germany’s chancellor, 708
 - investment and competition, 709
 - monitor and intervene, matters pertaining, 706–707
 - national regulatory authorities, 708
 - prevent distortion of investment incentives, 704–705

Public-private partnerships (PPPs),
709
role of national regulators, 705–706
Scottish model of ownership
unbundling, 705
third energy legislative package,
708–709
Treaty of Lisbon, 713
UK and the Netherlands, internal
energy market, 712–713
Liberty before Security
Al-Jedda v. United Kingdom,
464–465
Al Rawi and Tariq cases, 466
Art. 307 EC, 465
Art. 103 of the UN Charter, 464
concurrent treatment of UNSC
Resolutions, 469–470
EU judiciary's position on liberty,
466
exception, 465
facts and procedure, 459–461
Grand Chamber, 457–458
Hani El Sayed Sabaei Youssef (HAY),
467–468
Home Secretary v. AF (No.3),
466–467, 469
important decision, reasons, 458
*Mohammed Jabar Ahmed v. HM
Treasury (No.1)*, 466
Regulation 881/2002, 459
standard of review, 461–464
terrorist asset-freezing regulations,
Community Law, 458–459
United Nations Security Council
(UNSC), 457
UNSC Resolution 1267 regime,
465–467
A v. United Kingdom, 459,
468–469
*Yassin Abdullah Kadi v. Commission (No.
2)*, Case T-85/09, 457–470

P

Possible developments of Article 298
TFEU, 373–397
description, 373
EU administrative procedure
regulation, 374–378
codification, 377–378
definition, 376–377
European Court of Justice (ECJ),
375
general principles, 374
'maladministration', 375–376
fundamental rights, 393–397
Case C-139/07 P, *Commission v.
Technische Glaswerke Ilmenau
GmbH*, 396–397
Case T-194/04, *Bavarian Lager v.
Commission*, 394–395
Joined Cases C-92/09 and
C-93/09, *Völker und Markus
Schecke GbR and Hartmut Eifert v.
Land Hessen*, 395–396
limits, 393–394
Lisbon Treaty contribution, EU
Administration, 378–382
Article 308 EC Treaty, 378–379
Constitutional Treaty Article
III-398, 379
content, 381–382
legal form, 380
regulation scope, 380
role, 373–374
right of access to documents,
385–387
Article 255 EC Treaty, 386–387
vs. good administration, 387
Hautala case, 386
transparency, openness and
democracy, 385–386
right to good administration,
382–385
Article 51(1) and 41 of the
Charter, 383

ECJ definition, 383–384
 fundamental rights, 384–385
 positive delimitation, 382
 right to protection of personal data,
 387–393
 Article 39, 390
 Customs Information System
 (CIS), 392–393
 Directive 95/46/EC, 387–388
 ECJ decisions, 388
 Eurodac Regulation, 393
 Europol and Eurojust, 390–391
 features, 387
 innovations, 389–390
 Lisbon Treaty approval, 389
 normative instruments, 388–389
 Schengen Information System
 (SIS), 391–392
 Preliminary ruling procedure in the
 Jipa case, 623–641
 CASE C-33/07 JIPA, 625–627
 direct effect, 626
 ‘illegal residence’ in Belgium, 625
 public policy or public security,
 626–627
 consistent interpretation, 636–638
 description, 623
 direct effect, 638–639
 further directions, case law of the
 Romanian courts, 627–629
 Article 39(1) of Law No.
 248/2005, 627–628
 divergent approaches, 629
 explicitly and implicitly, 628
 The issue of primacy of EU law,
 634–635
 judicial review of the principle of
 proportionality, 639–641
 legislative framework, 624–625
 restriction on the free movement of
 persons, 623–641
 statements of principles, 629–634
 Article 10 [EC], 631

Article 27(1) of Directive
 2004/38/EC, 633
 Article 27(2) of Directive
 2004/38/EC, 633
 conflicting national law, 629–630
 inconsistent national legislation, 631
 internal rule, 632
 Member State, 632–633
 national legislation and [EU]
 legislation relationship, 632
 public policy and security, 633
 ‘repatriation for illegal residence’,
 634
 Romanian and EU citizens, 632
 State of origin and host State, 633
 two opposing trends, 635–636

R

Recent Developments in Luxembourg,
 599–622
 asylum and immigration matters,
 610–617
 citizenship, 603–609
 AG Sharpston, 604–605
 Dereci and Others, C-256/11,
 606–608
 Lesoochránárske zoskupenie, 604
 national immigration law, 605
 Ruiz Zambrano, 604
 Runevic-Vardyn and Wardyn,
 608–609
 Sayn-Wittgenstein, 609
 Treaty on the Functioning of the
 European Union (TFEU), 604
Commission v. Kronoply and Kronotex,
 622
 constitutional and institutional issues,
 601–603
 Commission v. Italian Republic, 601
 Commission v. Kronoply and
 Kronotex, 601–602
 Lesoochránárske zoskupenie,
 602–603

- The Court of Justice in 2011, 599–600
- employment and social policies, 617–619
- Küçükdeveci*, 618–619
- Mangold*, 618
- Prigge and Others*, 617–618
- EU Court Activities in 2011, 599–622
- The General Court in 2011, 619
- human rights, 609–617
- Achughbabian*, 611–612
- Advice on Individual Rights in Europe (AIRE) Centre, 615–616
- El Dridi*, 610–611
- French Republic v. People's Mojahedin Organization of Iran*, 616–617
- N.S. and M.E.*, 614–615
- PMOI, 617
- Samba Diouf*, 612–614
- Test-Achats and Others*, 609–610
- private national law, 622
- proceedings concerning the legality of measures, 620–621
- Article 263(4) TFEU, 620
- Inuit Tapiriit Kanatami and Others*, 620–621
- Microban*, 621
- Redefining the outer boundaries of EU law, 493–526
- inter-State movement, 525
- issues, 494
- Judgments of the Court, 502–506
- Dereci*, 505–506
- McCarthy*, 503–504
- Zambrano*, 502–503
- opinion of Advocate General, 495–502
- Kokott in *McCarthy*, 499–500
- Mengozzi in *Dereci*, 500–502
- Sharpston in *Zambrano*, 495–498
- Outer Boundaries, 506–518
- Article 20 TFEU, 518
- Court in *Garcia Avello*, discrepancy, 517–518
- cross-border elements, 508–513
- McCarthy* cases, 516
- prohibits restrictions on movement, 507–508
- purely internal rule, 506–507
- Rottmann* case, 513
- Zambrano* cases, 515–516
- ‘Zambrano principle’, 513–515
- ‘Reverse Discrimination Pandora’s Box’, 518–525
- Court’s solution, 524–525
- Court’s traditional position, 520
- emergence of, 522
- EU free movement law, 519
- free movement provisions, 519–520, 521
- Jia and Metock* cases, 523
- Konstantinidis* cases, 523–524
- market freedoms, 519
- Member State immigration, 524
- Morson and Jhanjan* cases, 523
- problem of, 521–522
- purely internal to Member State, 518
- Referendums and European Integration, 683–700
- challenge for democracy, 688–698
- democratic issues, 689
- ‘elites’, 689
- EU influence on national referendums, 694–696
- exposing dual constitutionalization, 696–698
- globalizing constitutional space, 689–690
- nineteenth century, 688–689
- people rebel, 690–694
- constitutional decision-making, 684
- democracy in Europe, 683–684
- direct democracy, 684–688
- ‘elite control’, 699
- external pressure on domestic, 700
- signs of increasing Euroscepticism, 699

- Regions and subsidiarity in the
 - European Union, 305–321
 - decision-making process, 305–308
 - integration, 305–307
 - specifics of legislative regions, 307–308
 - the principle of subsidiarity, 308–312
 - description, 308–309
 - early warning mechanism and national parliaments, 311–312
 - origin, 308
 - provisions, 320–321
 - Treaty of Maastricht, 309
 - Treaty of Nice, 309–310
 - warning mechanism, 310
 - regional parliaments, 312–315
 - Austria, 313–314
 - and decision-making, 312
 - Finland, 315
 - Germany, 313
 - Italy, 314
 - Portugal, 314
 - Spanish *Comunidades Autónomas*, 315–320
 - acts, 317–319
 - governments and early warning mechanism, 319–320
 - state normative framework, 315–317
 - Right to be heard in the Charter
 - ‘adverse effect’ condition, 173
 - application of the fundamental principle, third parties, 172
 - Art. 41(2)(a), 149–150
 - Belgium judgments, application of the right, 171
 - case law of the EU courts
 - The *Belgium* judgments formula, 157–159
 - The *Hoffmann La Roche* formula, 156–157
 - The *Transocean* formula, 156
 - competition cases (Articles 101–108 TFEU)
 - antitrust and merger cases, 162–163
 - interim conclusions, 163–164
 - state aid cases, 160–161
 - state monopoly cases (Article 106 TFEU), 162
 - description, Art. 41(2)(a), 150
 - fields of EU law
 - anti-dumping cases, 164–165
 - competition cases (Articles 101–108 TFEU), 160–164
 - custom duties, 168–170
 - EU Funds, 166–167
 - legal fields, 159
 - trademark cases, 170
 - literature, 153–155
 - problem
 - administrative proceedings, conditions, 151
 - application of the right, 150–151
 - French version of the *Lisrestal* judgment, 152
 - Lisrestal* judgment, 151
 - official languages, 152–153
 - split between Art. 41(2)(a) and Art. 41(2)(b), 173
 - ‘subject of the procedure’ intuition applies, 171–172
 - wider context of the case law, 150
- S**
- Sanctions for Infringement of EU Law
 - after Lisbon Treaty, 33–64
 - Article 228, 36
 - Article 169, EEC Treaty, 34
 - Article 171, EEC Treaty, 34
 - Article 260 TFEU, 45–51
 - Member States liability, 45–49
 - and State liability, 49–51
 - 2010 Communication, 37–39
 - Article 260, 2010, 37–38

- Articles 258–260 TFEU, 37
- ‘reasoned opinion’ stage, 37
- transitional rule, 39
- compliance impact, EU law, 57–59
- Court of Justice impact, 59–63
 - Article 228(2) EC and Article 260(3) TFEU, 61
 - infringement proceedings, 62
 - judicial system, EU, 59–60
 - non-transposition judgments, 61
- liability pursuant, Article 260, 45–51
- material scope, Article 260, 39–42
- material *vs.* temporal scope, 44
- Member States liability, 45–49
 - Commission, 47–48
 - 2010 Communication, Commission, 48
 - Court of Justice, 46–47
 - intrinsic difference, Articles 260(2) and (3), 45
 - ‘minimum lump sum’, 48–49
 - penalty payments, 46
- new rules, Commission, 33
- penalty and warning, 38
- penalty payment, Member states, 38
- sanctions judgments, 51–57
 - Article 260 judgment, 51–52
 - Articles 258 and 260, 56
 - Commission and Member State, 54
 - Commission’s annual reports, 52
 - Court of Justice, 54
 - defence, Member State, 55
 - EC Treaty, 53
 - EU budget, 54
 - General Court’s ruling, 55–56
 - withhold payments, 57
- State liability
 - Article 169 EC, 50
 - Article 258 procedures, 49
 - breach, EU law, 50–51
 - infringement proceeding, 51
- supplementary rules, TEU/ Maastricht Treaty, 33
- temporal scope, Article 260, 42–44
- ten judgements, 36–37
- Sexual crimes, 1–15
 - arrangement set forth in Basic Law, 7–8
 - David Ben-Gurion’s proposal, President of State, 4
 - ethical code, 14–15
 - examination of the qualifying conditions, 3–4
 - force of Basic Law, 5
 - ‘head of the State’, 4–5
 - immunity, 9
 - impeachment proceedings, 1–2
 - Katzav’s trial, 2–3
 - ‘plea bargain’, 2
 - practice in presidential regimes, 3
 - President of the State is elected by the Knesset, 8
 - Section 11(b) of Basic Law, 5–6
 - State Attorney’s Office, 2
 - status of the President of the State, 6–7
 - ‘temporary incapacity’, 12–14
 - ‘unbecoming conduct’, 10–12
- State decentralization, Poland, 17–30
 - Article 6(2), 21
 - Article 17, 23–24
 - Article 30, 21–22
 - Article 6 and Article 7(1) and (2), 19–20
 - central government and local government, 18
 - competence act, 19
 - difficult continuation, decentralization process, 18
 - Education System Act, 23
 - and government administration, 19
 - local representatives, central government, 18
 - notion bill, extraordinary conditions, 20–21
 - public administration, 24–30

2009 Act, 25
 audit procedure, 26
 central and general government
 administration, 25
 comprehensive control, 25–26
 Congress of Local and Regional
 Authorities, 28
 description, 24
 dualism, public administration
 system, 26
 duties and powers, local
 government, 27
 European Charter, Regional
 Self-Government, 28–29
 Government Administration,
 24–25
 issue-related control, 26
 Lisbon Treaty, EU, 27–28
 local self-government issues, 29–30
 praxeological issues, 25
 territorial and administrative
 structure, 29
 state's administrative policy, 17
 structural changes, local government,
 22–23
Voivodes, 17–30

T

The 1921 Constitution of the
 Democratic Republic of Georgia,
 323–349
 analysis and evaluation, 323–324
 constitutionalism, 332–334
 amendments, 332–333
 declaration, 333
 description, 332
 draft Constitution, 333–334
 system, 323
 constitutional review, 347–348
 elaboration and adoption, 326–330
 Constituent Assembly, 328
 Constitutions, 330
 election, 329–330

 exemplary democratic state,
 328–329
 Federative Republic of
 Trans-Caucasus, 326–327
 National Council, 327–328
 national-emancipatory movement,
 326
 provisional bourgeois government,
 326
 executive, 341–344
 amendments, 342–343
 ‘American Model’, 342
 Art. 75, chairman/members of
 government, 344
 Art. 70, chairman rights, 343
 Art. 73, ministers responsibility,
 343–344
 structure, 341–342
 supreme executive government,
 342
 financial control, 344
 governance system, 339
 human rights, 336–338
 judiciary, 346–347
 legal works, 325
 legislature, 339–341
 local self-governance, 345–346
 national minorities, 346
 occupation and annexation, 331–332
 agreement, 331
 international recognition, 331–332
 and suspension, 332
 parliamentary governance system,
 324–325
 structure and legal nature, 334–336
 ‘The Act of Georgian
 Independence’, 335
 description, 334
 mechanisms of constitutional
 protection, 335
 ‘rigid’ constitution, 334–335
 social-democrats, 335–336
 territorial arrangement, 345