

Subject Index

A

Albania, Government transparency in,
109–141

achievements, 118–123

Action Plan (AP), 120–121

anti-corruption strategy, 120

Article 255 EC Treaty, 118–119

Digital Albania, 119

election of People's Advocate (PA),
122–123

e-procurement system, 120

Joint EU/CoE Programme against
corruption in Albania (PACA),
120

Judiciary, 121–122

Ministry of Economy, Trade and
Energy (METE), 119

Ministry of Interior (MoI), 119

prevention and fight against
corruption, 122

Public Access Points (PAP),
123

Stability Pact for South Eastern
Europe Anti-Corruption Initiative
(SPAI), 119

communism in EE, 111–112

corruption and organized crime,
113–114

decision-making, 110

democracies, 109–110

difficulties and failures, 123–127

application and practice of FOI
law, 124–126

Democratic Party (DP) and
Socialist Party (SP), 126

Law on the Right to Information
on Official Documents, 126

policy-making and legislative
drafting process, 123–124

Eastern Europe (EE), 110, 138
EU, 113–116, 138

Albania's economy, 114

commitment, 113

European Commission, 114

Membership Application, 115

Member States, 115

Progress Report, 115

Stabilization and Association
Agreement (SAA), 114–115

Freedom of Information (FOI),
112–113, 139

legal framework, 116–118

Aarhus Convention, 117

European Convention on Human
Rights (ECHR), 116

Freedom of information (FOI),
116

On information classified State
Secret, 117

international agreements, 117

Law On the Right to Information
on Official Documents, 116–117

- NATO, 117
 - On the Protection of Personal Data, 117
 - public administration and elected representatives, 118
 - Regulation No. 1049/2001, 118
 - policy-making process and implementation, 140
 - transparency through integration, 140–141
 - Western Balkans, 127–138
 - absence of corruption (AC), 136–137
 - Corruption Perceptions Index (CPI), 135–136
 - Effective Democracy Index (EDI), 129–130
 - open government and sub-factors, 132–134
 - open government ranking, 132–133
 - opening of negotiations for SAA to accession, 128–129
 - public support for EU membership, 137–138
 - WJP Albania's profile, 133–134
 - Association agreements (AAs) between EU and Ukraine, Moldova and Georgia, 241–253
 - implementation and application, 244–248
 - Association Councils, 247
 - European Convention of Human Rights (ECHR), 246–247
 - European Court on Human Rights (ECtHR), 247
 - European Economic Area (EEA) Agreement, 248
 - national legislation, 244–245
 - pre-signature and post-signature EU *acquis*, 245–246
 - provisions of the Constitutions, 245
 - Stabilization and Association Agreement (SAA), 247–248
 - international agreements, 242
 - objectives and specific features, 242–244
 - Article 217 TFEU (AAs) and Articles 31(1) and 37 TEU, 243
 - complexity, 243
 - comprehensive framework agreements, 243
 - conditionality approach, 243–244
 - Deep and Comprehensive Free Trade Areas (DCFTA), 243
 - integration-oriented agreements, 243
 - market access conditionality, 244
 - political and economic reforms, 252
 - potential conflicts, 248–251
 - DCFTA, 251
 - EU dynamic sectoral *acquis*, 250
 - EU-Hungary AA, 249
 - Eurasian Economic Union, 251
 - Euro-friendly interpretation, 248
 - Europe Agreement* judgment, 248–249
 - in-depth training, 250
 - Polish Constitutional Tribunal, 248
 - referring to EU legal principles, 250
 - transposition, 250
- B**
- Baka v. Hungary*, ECtHR Judgment, 445–456
 - addressing judicial independence, 451–453
 - Article 34 ECHR, 451
 - Article VI(3) of the Fundamental Law of Hungary, 453
 - Data Protection Ombudsman, 453
 - Donnelly v UK*, 451–452
 - comments, 450–456

dismissal of the President of the
Hungarian Supreme Court,
445–456

effectiveness of international remedies,
455–456

factual background, 445–446
Kúria, 445–446
National Judicial Office, 445
Venice Commission, 446

ineffective remedy at national level,
446–447

relevance of the EU law, 453–455
Bosphorus case, 454
EU documents, 453

ruling of the constitutional court,
446–447

violation of freedom of expression,
448–449

violation of the right to access to
court, 447–448

C

CFSP versus AFSJ, 47–59

Article 47 TEU, 52–55

Advocate General's analysis, 54–55

application of Article 352 TFEU,
53

artificial prioritization, 52

centre of gravity theory, 54

non-affection clause, 55

subordinate nature, 52

Treaty of Lisbon, 52–53

Union policies, 52

measures, 55–58

internal *versus* external dimension,
57–58

scope of AFSJ competences, 56–57

scope of CFSP competences, 56

Pirates case, 48–52

Article 37 TEU, 48–50

Article 218(6)(a)(v) TFEU, 48, 50,
51

CFSP decisions, 48–49

opt-outs, 51–52

ordinary legislative procedure, 51

special legislative procedure, 51

voting procedures, 50–51

supranational law, 47

Treaty of Lisbon, 47

Concept of Intent in Rulings, 555–570

Article 8 of the Dutch Policy Decree,
556

court's description of intent, 558–562

meaning of intention, 560–562

'the context of those articles,'
559–560

'uniform' concept, 558–559

court's rulings, 557–558

'intentional non-compliance,' 557

Regulations Nos 796/2004 and
1975/2006, 558

criteria to prove intent?, 565–566

does court accept *dolus eventualis*?,
563–565

choosing 'accepting the possibility,'
564

Van der Ham judgment, 563–564

EU common agricultural policy,
555

"intentional non-compliance,"
556–557

relationship between intent and
non-compliance, 562–563

responsibility of a beneficiary for acts
committed by a third party,
566–568

beneficiary of aid, 566–567

instructions and monitoring, 568

*Council of the European Union v. Access
Info Europe*, 61–80

Article 4(3) of the Regulation, 61
commentary, 70–80

EU transparency regulation,
77–80

legislative and administrative
distinction, 72–77

- nature and effects of Article 4(3) in relation to legislative documents, 70–72
- EU's judicial processes, 62
- facts, 63–64
- opinion of the AG, 65–68
 - failure to apply the Article 4(3), 65
 - legislative capacity, 65–66
 - ordinary internal use and internal use, 67
 - proposals for amendments or re-drafting, 66
 - sensitive nature, 67–68
 - Working Parties, 66
- principle of transparency, 61–62
- Sweden v. My Travel and Commission*, 62

D

- Danish courts, EU legal framework, 169–191
 - admissibility, 170–171
 - change of identity, 190–191
 - constitutional practice, 178–180
 - High Court decision, 171
 - legal assumption, EU-membership, 176–178
 - Lisbon-case, 180–183
 - appellant arguments, 180–182
 - Government's arguments, 182–183
 - Lisbon Treaty, 169
 - other Member States, 188–190
 - Supreme Court judgment of 20 February 2013, 184–188
 - change of the EU's administration of delegated powers, 184–185
 - direct widening of the scope of the powers, 187–188
 - European Human Rights Convention (ECHR), 187–188
 - indirect widening of the scope of the powers, 185–187
 - transfer of Danish sovereignty, 172–176
 - adoption of Section 20, 173–174
 - institutional aspects of Section 20, 174–176
 - principle of dualism, 172–173
- Data retention in Cyprus, 411–427
- Cases C-293/12 and 594/12, Digital Rights Ireland and Seitlinger and Others, 418–421
 - Advocate General (AG) opinion, 419
 - background of the cases, 418
- CJEU judgment
 - Cases C-293/12 and 594/12, Digital Rights Ireland and Seitlinger and Others, 419–421
 - EU data protection and data retention frameworks, 415–416
- Cyprus Rapport, 411–427
- 2006 Directive, 414–415
 - Article 7 EU Charter, 415
 - Article 8 EU Charter, 414–415
 - Article 6(1) TEU, 414
 - Article 114 TFEU, 414
- EU data protection and data retention frameworks, 414–418
 - C-185/09, *Commission V. Sweden* and in case 270/11, *Commission V. Sweden*, 416–418
 - CJEU judgment in *Ireland V. Council and Parliament*, 415–416
 - directive in question, 414–415
- permanently altering the content of the right to privacy, 421–426
 - Alexandrou* case, 422–423
 - Article 17.2.B Constitution, 426
 - Christos Matsia* case, 425
 - Ireland v. Council and Parliament* case, 423–424
 - Law 183(I)/2007*, 421–422
- principle of supremacy of EU law, 411–412

protection of fundamental rights,
411–412

E

ECHA Board of Appeal and EU Boards
of Appeal, 143–168
approach to decision making, 161–165
administrative issue, 164
EPZ case, 163
Honeywell case, 161–162
human and financial resources, 162
and inclination, 163
Italcementi case, 163–164
Lanxess case, 164–165
legal practitioners and legal
academics, 161
REACH registration, 162–163
UVCBs, 163–164
Article 93(3) of REACH, 147–148
Article 91 REACH, 147
and EU Courts of Law, 148–157
de novo review *versus* administrative
continuity, 153–157
judicial or semi-judicial authorities,
157
legality and lawfulness, 148–151
substitution, 152
evolution of decision-making,
158–161
Appellant, 158
broad discretion, 159
Dow Benelux B.V. v. ECHA,
159–160
DPMA, 158
legal obligation, 160
legal powers, 158
Milieu Report, 160
pre-natal developmental toxicity
(PNDT), 158
read-across data, 158–159
refuses to assess or review, 160–161
functional independence, 146–147
future role, 166–167

Harmonization in the Internal Market
(OHIM) Boards of Appeal, 144–145
independence, 165–166
legal powers, 147
quasi-judicial authorities, 144–145
quasi-judicial or semi-judicial
authority, 165–166
Estonia, local government in, 467–479
administrative division, 476–477
administrative structure, 468–469
county governors, 468
local authorities, 469
central-local government relations,
471–472
supervision over local authorities,
472
system and mechanism, 472
central-local government relations and
local government associations,
478–479
constitutional and legislative bases,
467–468
Estonian Constitution of 1992, 467
legislative texts, 468
European Charter of Local
Self-Government, 475
financial issues, 477–478
local government associations and
procedure for consultations between
central and local authorities, 473
local government finance, 473–474
organizational structure and
decision-making process of local
authorities, 471
powers and responsibilities of local
authorities, 469–471
financial autonomy, 470
Local Government Organisation
Act, 469–470
rural municipalities and cities, 470
redistribution of functions, 477
European Court of Human Rights
(ECtHR), 257–283

- constitutional reforms, 258–270
 - convention system, 259
 - Dutch peculiarity, 267–268
 - UK bill of rights to shield
 - Strasbourg's influence, 259–261
- home-grown bill of rights, 264–267
 - active coordination, 265
 - constructive dialogue, 265
 - domestic rights case law, 264
 - duplication of convention rights, 266
 - obligation, 264–265
- Human Rights Act 1998 (HRA), 258
- reforming the convention, 270–282
 - Brighton Conference, 271–272
 - Brighton Declaration (UK Draft), 272–275
 - protocol 15 ECHR, 276–282
 - margin of appreciation doctrine, 279
 - negative and positive dimension of subsidiarity, 277–279
 - potential impact, 279–282
 - principle of subsidiarity, 276–277
- Strasbourg's influence on the UK
 - Supreme Court, 261–263
- Taverne proposal, 268–270
- European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR) : May 2014–April 2015, 607–633
- Article 9 : ban on facial coverings, 615–620
- Article 6 : delayed access to legal advice during questioning by the police, 611–615
- Article 41 : just satisfaction claims in inter-state case, 620–627
- Article 4 of Protocol No. 4: inter-state judgment, 628–633
- Article 3 : torture of G8 protestor, 609–611
- ban on facial coverings, Article 9, 615–620
 - S.A.S. v. France*, 615–620
- court's workload and ministerial conference, 607–609
 - Action Plan, 609
 - Brussels Declaration, 608
 - Conference on the 'Implementation of the ECHR, our shared responsibility,' 607–608
 - pending cases, 607
- delayed access to legal advice during questioning by the police, Article 6, 611–615
 - Article 6(1) (right to a fair trial) and Article 6(3)(c) (right to legal assistance), 613
 - Ibrahim and Others v. UK*, 611–613
 - Salduz* principle, 614
- inter-state judgment, Article 4 of Protocol No. 4, 628–633
 - Georgia v. Russia(I)*, 628–633
- just satisfaction claims in inter-state case, Article 41, 620–627
 - Cyprus v. Turkey (Just Satisfaction)*, 620–622, 626
 - Ireland v. UK*, 622–627
- torture of G8 protestor, Article 3, 609–611
 - abuse of power, 610
 - Cestaro v. Italy*, 609–610
 - Giuliani and Gaggio v. Italy*, 611
 - Ewaen Fred Ogieriakhi v. Minister for Justice and Equality, Ireland*, 457–465
- AG Bot's opinion, 458
- analysis, 462–465
 - clarity, 462–463
 - no need to prove deterrence, 463–464
 - state liability, 464–465
- Article 16(2) of the Directive, 458
- Article 16 on the right of permanent residence, 458
- facts, 457–458
- judgment, 459–462

- Article 10(3) of Regulation 1612/68 EEC, 459
- Brasserie du Pecheur and Factortame*, 461
- Commission v. Germany*, 460
- Diatta and Iida* case, 459
- Francovich and Others*, 461
- Krizan and Others*, 461
- Regulation 1612/68 EEC, 460

- F**
- Federal Constitutional Court (FCC) and EU integration, 83–107
- constitutional identity, 90–94
 - avoiding conflicts, 93–94
 - eternity clause of Article 79 (3) GG, 90–92
 - other Member States, 92–93
- democratic principle, 94–98
 - concretization, GG, 95–97
 - national parliament and individual right, 97–98
- EURO crisis jurisprudence, 98–105
 - Aids for Greece and EFSF, 98–99
 - ECB-case, 105
 - European Stability Mechanism (ESM), 99–102
 - Information Rights of the Bundestag in European Affairs* Case, 103–105
 - Special Committee* case, 102–103
 - ultra vires-control, 105
- European Financial Stability Facility (EFSF) (2011), 86
- Europeanization of the national legal order, 85–86
- European Stability Mechanism (ESM) and the Treaty on the Fiscal Compact (2012), 86
- German political order, 83–85
- national legislation, 86–87
- Outright Monetary Transactions (OMT) programme of the European Central Bank (2014), 86
- principle of conferral and poor performance, 106
- principle of conferral and ultra vires-problem, 88–90
 - democratic legitimation by conferral, 88
 - loyal cooperation, 89–90
- protection of the constitutional identity of the Member States, 107
- Field of external representation, 679–689
 - agency as quasi-constitutional principle, 686–688
 - Article 4(3) TEU, 687
 - CJEU's pre-emptive approach, 688
 - Commission v. Greece*, 686–687
 - Commission v. Sweden*, 687
 - Article 47 TEU, 679
- factual and legal background, 680–682
 - 'acts having legal effects,' 681
 - Article 3(2) TFEU, 682
 - Article 218(9) TFEU, 681
 - EU-OIV accession, 680
- implications of the OIV judgment, 684–685
 - Article 3(2) TFEU, 685
 - Article 218(11) TFEU, 685
- judgment of the court, 683–684
 - Article 218(9) TFEU, 683
 - OIV's oenological recommendations, 683–684
- opinion of the Advocate General, 682–683
- Organisation of Vine and Wine (OIV), 679–680
- relationship between the European Union and the Member States, 679–689
- French Supreme Courts, EU Law in the Jurisprudence of, 765–780
 - application of EU law, 773–778
 - Arcelor* case, 777
 - Article 88-1 of the French Constitution, 775

- concept of 'constitutional identity,' 774
- Nicolo* case, 776
- Solange* doctrine, 773–774
- EU law issues, 766–769
 - administrative disputes, 767
 - scope of adjudication, 768
- Europe–Friendliness with a French touch, 765–780
- framework of the 'priority question of constitutionality, 778–780
 - Article 267 TFEU, 779
 - Council Framework Decision 2002/584/JHA, 780
 - Melki and Abdeli* case, 778–779
 - Simmenthal* jurisprudence, 79
- French jurisprudence on treaty revision, 769–773
 - Article 54, 769–770
 - 'exercise of sovereignty,' 772
 - observations, 770–771
- judge as a pointsman, 769–773
- Fundamental rights, EU, 285–308
 - Article 51(1) CFR, 292–299
 - Belgian legislation, 297
 - doctrinal perspective, 299
 - financial interests, 294
 - free movement of services, 298
 - German Constitutional Court, 294–295
 - implementation, 292, 293
 - interpretation, 292
 - national legislation, 293
 - normative justification, 298
 - pre-Charter case law, 297
 - principle, 293–294
 - protection of the landscape, 296
 - Swedish tax rules, 293
- Charter of Fundamental Rights (CFR), 287
 - functional internal market power, 286
 - incorporation doctrine, 286
 - jurisdictional divide rational, 299–306

- application, 299
- Community law, 301
- democratic legitimacy, 305
- free movement law, 306
- national constitutional courts, 300
- non-economic values, 305
- political process, 300
- public policy, 303
- Rule of Law Framework, 306
- Union law, 303
- violations, 303
- normative justification, 307
- Text of the Charter, 290–292
 - Article 52(7) CFR, 291
 - Article 6(1) TEU, 291
 - purpose, 290
 - scope, 291
- Time before the Charter, 287–290
 - free movement rules, 288, 289
 - implementation, 287
 - Italian law, 288
 - justification, 289
 - Member States, 288

G

- Google Spain and Google, case C-131/12, 691–702
 - court's reasoning, 693–697
 - Article 11 of the Charter, 694
 - Article 10 of the ECHR, 694
 - Article 17 of the EU Proposed Regulation, 696
 - rights to privacy and data protection, 693
 - 'right to be forgotten' under Directive, 695
 - facts, 691–692
 - González's property issue, 691–692
 - Spanish Data Protection Agency (SDPA), 692
 - implications to practice and policy, 700–701
 - freedom of expression, 701

- right to be forgotten *vs.* right to know, 700
- issues, 692–693
 - Article 4(1)(a) of Directive, 692
 - Data Protection Directive, 692
 - ‘right to be forgotten’ under Directive, 693
- themes and assumptions, 697–700
 - disclosure of personal information, 699–700
 - information in society, 697–699
 - value of privacy, 699

I

Institutional balance, 371–391

- actionable principle in the EU legal order, 381–383
- function of a principle, 384–387
 - adopt legislation, 387
 - Audiolux*, AG Trstenjak expressed a (modern) function, 384
 - Community’s functions, 384
 - contested power would be sanctioned or rebuked, 386
 - Court’s jurisprudence, 384, 385
 - France v. Commission*, 387
 - fundamental elements, 384
 - gap-filling principle, 385
 - inter-institutional consensus, 386
 - Treaty provisions, 386
- legal doctrine, 390
- notion, 375–380
 - Article 13 TEU, 375
 - inter-institutional relations, 376
 - intrinsic value of the right, 375
 - observance of procedural rules, 376
 - political principle, 377
 - rules, 377
 - strings of accountability’ (*Verantwortungsstränge*), 376
 - terminology of the court, 377
 - vertical dimension, 378–380
- prospects for the principle, 388–390

- separation of powers, 372–375
 - EU legal system, 373
 - functional tripartite, 374
 - liberal constitutions, 373
 - national polities, 372
 - Pescatore’s conclusion, 374
 - political functioning, 375
 - principle, 372
 - public authority, 374
- International agreements, EU, 343–370
 - consistent interpretation, 366–367
 - direct effect of EU law *versus* direct effect of EU International Agreements, 351–353
 - association agreements, 353
 - bilateral trade agreements, 353
 - concept of direct effect, 351
 - direct applicability of EU law, 351–352
 - international origin, 352
 - multilateral agreements, 353
 - separation of powers, 351
 - two-tier direct effect test, 352
 - direct judicial review, 353–358
 - Article 263 TFEU, 353–354
 - Article 265 TFEU, 354
 - General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT), 356–357
 - Germany v. Council*, 356
 - non-privileged applicants, 354, 358
 - Opel Austria v. Council*, 354
 - Parliament v. Council*, 356
 - Portugal v. Council*, 356
 - privileged applicants, 354
 - semi-privileged applicants, 354
 - World Trade Organization (WTO) Anti-Dumping Code, 355–358
- EU and Member State liability, 367–369
 - Ankara Agreement, 369
 - federal and sub-federal level governments, 367
 - FIAMM* and *Fedon*, 368

Fediol and *Nakajima* exceptions, 360–361
heterarchical model, 344
indirect review through Article 267 TFEU, 359–360
 AirTransport Association of America, 360
 EU-US Open Skies Agreement, 360
 NGOs act, 359
international and domestic legal orders, 344
and Member State law, 362–366
 infringement proceedings, 362–364
 International Dairy Agreement (IDA), 363
 primacy and direct effect, 362
 proceedings brought by private parties, 364–366
primacy and direct effect, 345–347
 EU norm's exclusionary and substitutionary effect, 346
 EU rights on private parties, 346
 French perception, 346
 substitution and exclusion, 346–347
 trigger model, 345, 347
primacy of EU law *versus* primacy of International Agreements, 348–350
 Article 216(2) TFEU, 349
 Article 351 TFEU agreements, 350
 constitutional limitations, 350
 European Economic Community (EEC) Treaty, 348
Italian High Courts, EU Law in the Jurisprudence of, 747–763
 Article 3 of constitutional law 2001/3, 748
counter-limits after the Treaty of Lisbon, 760–763
 Article 53 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union, 760
 Melloni, 761

 ‘*Verfassungsverbund*’, 763
counter-limits doctrine, 750–753, 755–757
 Federfarma judgment, 755–756
 Fragd, 751–753
 Granital, 750–751
direct applicability of community law, 748
direct dialogue with court of justice, 753–754
 Ruling No. 103/2008, 754
 Sardinian law, 753
latest development in the counter-limits saga, 757–760
 Article 94 of the UN Charter, 760
 Germany v. Italy, 757
 Law 5/2013, 758
origin of the jurisprudence, 749–750
 Frontini case, 750
 fundamental principles of the Italian Constitution, 749
 paragraph 1 of Article 97 of the Italian Constitution, 749

J

Joined Cases 58 and 59/13, C-58/13 and C-59/13 *Angelo Alberto Torresi and Pierfrancesco Torresi v. Consiglio dell'Ordine degli Avvocati di Macerata*, 481–505
AG Wahl's opinion, 491–495
 Article 3 of Directive 98/5/EC, 493
 Article 9 of the Directive, 492
 Article 33 of the Italian Constitution, 494
 Article 267 TFEU, 492
 Article 4(2) TUE, 495
 Torresi case, 491
comment, 497–504
 abusive nature of the Spanish solution, 500–502

- Consiglio Nazionale forense* a court within the meaning of Article 267 TFEU?, 497–500
- regulatory competence and market integration, 502–504
- Directive 98/5/EC, 481
- factual background, 482–483
- judgment of court of justice, 495–497
 - Article 3 of Directive 98/5/EC, 497
 - Article 6 of Legislative Decree 96/2001, 495
 - Luxembourg v. Parliament and Council*, 496
- National Bar Council, 481
- ‘Spanish solution’ for Italian lawyers, 483–491
 - exploiting more favourable spanish rules on access to the legal profession under Directive 98/5/EC, 486–488
 - legal vicissitudes of the Italian *abogados* and position of the National Bar Council, 488–491
 - rules on the establishment of lawyers qualifying abroad, 483–486
- Judicial Academy as *conditio sine qua non* of the Serbian judiciary, 509–525
- Chapter 23 on ‘Judiciary and Fundamental Rights,’ 511
- composition of the judicial academy, 516–518
 - Director of the Judicial Academy, 518
 - legislative solutions, 517
 - Programme Council, 517
- democratic consolidation, 510
- effectiveness and efficiency, 511–514
 - basic administrative issues, 513
 - ‘benchmarks,’ 511
 - lack of technical resources, 513
 - professional competence, 512
- Enlargement Strategy, 510
- judicial academy, 514–523
 - budget, 522–523
 - composition of the judicial academy, 516–518
 - educational role, 516
 - Judicial Centre for Training and Professional Development (the Centre), establishment of, 514
 - Law on the Judicial Academy, 515
 - training of judges and prosecutors, 518–522
- training of judges and prosecutors, 518–522
 - continuous education program, 520–521
 - election of magistrates, 518
 - initial training programme, 519
 - participants rate, 520
 - training of judicial administration, 521
- Judicial and Political Power, 705–721
 - ambiguities of the new international courts, 717–719
 - dimension of judicialization, 713–714
 - doctrine of feeble judicial branch, 719
 - judges’ competence, 720
 - judicial empowerment, 708–710, 720
 - creative role in developing constitutional principles and general principles of community law, 709
 - reasons, 708–709
 - judicialization in international law, 715–717
 - ECHR accession under Article 6 TEU, 717
 - judicial system of WTO, 716
 - judicialization in the EU, 714–715
 - Article 19, paragraph 1, Treaty on EU (TEU), 714
 - ‘exceptionalism,’ 715
 - Kadi* case (T-315/01), 714

legalism and critics, 710–711
 judicialization, 710
 ‘legalists,’ 711
 legal nature of international courts, 721
 multilevel and multilayered
 judicialization, 712–717
 judicialization in international law, 715–717
 judicialization in the EU, 714–715
 new dimension of judicialization, 713–714
 myth and reality, 707–708
 ‘Hamilton doctrine,’ 707
 judicial empowerment, 708
 praise for judicialization and for
 judicial restraint, 705–721
 ‘the least dangerous branch’, 705–707
 Marbury v. Madison, 706
 ‘occasional legislators,’ 707

L

Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Trans and Intersex people (LGBTI) minorities and Asylum Law, 571–590
 definition of ‘act of persecution,’ 578–581
 Article 2(c) of the Directive, 579
 Article 9(1) of the 2004/83 Directive, 578
 Article 10(1)(B) of the Qualification Directive, 578
 judgment *E.G. v. Sweden*, 579
 Y and Z v. Bundesrepublik Deutschland, 580
 difficulties in assessing persecution and the recent contribution by European Courts, 587–588
 persecution of LGBTI communities, 581–587
 consensual sexual acts, 581

human rights on persecution against LGBTI asylum seekers, 585–587
 LGBTI persons and the right to asylum, 583–584
 recognition of LGBTI people, 582
 persecution of religious minorities, 573–581
 assessing the situation in country of origin, 576–577
 definition of ‘act of persecution,’ 578–581
 deportation and religious fundamentalists, 577–578
 religious persecution and the right to asylum in recent decisions, 574–576
 1951 Refugee Convention, 572
 and religious freedom, 573
 rise of religious fundamentalism, 571

M

Meroni doctrine, European Aviation Safety Agency (EASA), 309–342
 accountability, 312–313, 334–338
 constitutional status of EU agencies, 334
 Court of Auditors, 337
 delegation process, 336
 Judicial protection against agencies’ acts, 335
 national authorities, 337
 principle of transparency, 337–338
 private stakeholders, 337
 TFEU, 334–335
 administrative development, 311–312
 EU Agencies, 313–318
 administrative powers, 314
 Coal and Steel Community, 315
 decentralization of supranational approach, 317
 delegating authority, 314–316

delegation of discretionary powers,
 316
 ECSC Treaty, 314
 EEC Treaty, 315
 EU internal market, 314
 European Securities and Market
 Authority (ESMA), 317
 principle of institutional balance,
 316
 public administration, 313
 institutional balance of powers,
 326–334
 Administrative Procedure Act
 (1946), 333
 administrative tasks, 329–330
 Article 114 TFEU, 331, 332
 Article 352 TFEU, 330–331
 constitutional principle, 328
 delegating authority, 329
 ECSC Treaty, 327
 intelligible principle, 329
 logic of delegation, 333
 quasi-legislative power, 328
 non-delegation doctrine, 310, 311
 powers of EASA, 318–322
 acceptable means of compliance
 (AMC), 320
 airspaces and air navigation services
 (ANS), 319
 air traffic management (ATM),
 318–319
 certification specifications (CS),
 320
 EU Commission, 320, 322
 guidance materials (GM), 320
 Single European Sky (SES), 318,
 319
 soft law regulatory power, 320
 regulatory powers, 310–311
 rule-making powers, 312
 rule-making procedure, 322–325
 administrative procedure law,
 322–323

Comment Response Document
 (CRD), 324
 development phase, 323
 national contexts, 322
 Notice of Proposed Amendment
 (NPA), 324
 preliminary regulatory impact
 assessment (pre-RIA), 324
 programming phase, 323
 regulatory impact assessment
 (RIA), 324
 sector-specific administrative
 procedures, 323
 Single European Sky (SES), 312
 soft regulatory agency, 325–326
 specialization and technical expertise,
 310
 TFEU's provisions, 312
 Treaty on the functioning of the
 European Union (TFEU), 311

N

National Criminal Law, EU's
 harmonization of, 527–553
 definition of offences and increase in
 formal criminalization, 529–538
 Article 29 and Article 31(1)(e)
 TEU, 529
 Article 2 of the Framework
 Decision, 532
 Article 4 of the Framework
 Decision, 533
 combating fraud and
 counterfeiting, 532
 'cybercrime,' 531
 Danish criminal code, 531
 Framework Decision on trafficking,
 530
 genocide, 534
 hacking, 531
 Portuguese Penal Code, 531
 racism and xenophobia, 534
 terrorism, 535–536

expanding criminalization beyond definitions|, 536–538
 Lisbon framework and ‘post-Lisbon’ developments, 538–543
 Article 83 TFEU, 539
 concept of ‘aggravating circumstances,’ 540
 counterfeiting, 542
 criminalized behaviours, 539–540
 ‘emergency brake’ mechanism, 538–539
 information systems, 541
 market abuse and insider dealing, 541
 ‘PIF’ Convention, 542
 placing EU’s criminalization in context, 543–551
 EU focus on contemporary crime and the augmentation of national criminal law, 543–545
 from increase in formal criminalization to increase in punishment?, 545–548
 moderating the repressive tone of harmonization, 548–551
 Treaty of Amsterdam in 1999, 543
 Treaty of Lisbon, 527–528

P

Polish Constitutional Court, 33–46
 application for constitutional review, 36–37
 automatic ESM accession of Eurozone Members, 42
 influence of Luxembourg and German Courts, 44–46
 judgment, 37–41
 Article 136(3) TFEU and ESM Treaty, 37–39
 conferral of competence to ESM, 40–41
 deconstruction of Article 90, 39–40
 pending decision on TSCG, 42–44

Ratification Act, 33–35
 amendment of Article 136(3) TFEU in Poland, 35
 International Treaties in Polish law, 34–35
 Treaty on Stability, Coordination and Governance in Economic and Monetary Union (TSCG), 41
 Privatization and remunicipalization, The City of Potsdam, 723–746
 assessment of empirical analysis, 725–733
 European dimensions, 731–732
 global developments, 732
 Potsdam’s experiences, 725–727
 remunicipalization case studies, 727–730
 things into perspective, 732–733
 challenges to administrative law and broadening the perspective, 739–745
 courses of action and organizational forms, 740–743
 European Citizens’ Initiative ‘right2water,’ 739
 multi-level broadening of perspective, 743–745
 European Citizens’ Initiative ‘right2water,’ 739
 forms of remunicipalization, 738–739
 general aspects, 735–739
 causes and motives, 735–737
 forms of remunicipalization, 738–739
 legal analysis, 733–739
 Potsdam’s experiences, 725–727, 733–735
 causes and motives, 733–734
 organizational analysis, 734–735
 publicization of the community, 745–746
 from public to private and return?, 724–725
 Product quality schemes, 215–227

- characteristics, 216
- development, 217–219
- geographical products, 216–217
- internal market, 215
- Irish Food Board, 224–225
- principle of mutual recognition, 216
- protected status, 221–222
- protection, 220–221
- registration, 216–217, 223–224
- role of public bodies, 222–223, 227

R

- Regulatory authorities (RAs) in
 - Portugal, 3–29
 - accountability, 26–29
 - before the courts, 28–29
 - before the Parliament and the public, 26–28
 - act of Parliament, 15
 - characteristics, 4
 - communications and energy directives, 9
 - communications sector, 10
 - democratic deficit of independent administrative agencies, 10–11
 - ERC, 14
 - EU market liberalization legislation, 8–9
 - European Commission, 5
 - financial assistance program, 4
 - framework law, 12–13
 - independence, 3, 16–26
 - appointments, 20–23
 - competences, 24–26
 - financial and administrative autonomy, 23–24
 - formal independence from the Government, 16–20
 - powers, 24–26
 - resources, 23–24
 - tenure, 20–23
 - independent administrative authorities, 14

- independent administrative entities or authorities, 3
- liberalized and privatised sectors, 6
- Member States, 9
- national competition authorities, 10
- public administration, 15
- public authorities, 6
- public law bodies, 13–14
- regulatory powers, 7–8
- sectoral regulatory entities, 13
- supervision and oversight, 11
- Russia, developments in, 229–238
 - mixed system of State *Duma* deputy elections, 235–236
 - Office of the President and State *Duma*, 229–231
 - Article 81(1), 229
 - Article 96, 229
 - castling arrangement, 231
 - party of power, 230
 - Unified Russia, 230
 - Russian Federation (RF), 233–237
 - Arbitrazh* (Commercial) Court, 234–235
 - Federal City of Sevastopol, 236, 237
 - ITAR-TASS* news agency, 233
 - RIA Novosti report, 235
- turf war, 231–233
 - Constitutional Court, 233
 - European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR), 232
 - Federal Constitutional Law, 232

T

- Turkey, from democratization to authoritarianism, 635–656
 - 2010 constitutional amendments, 637
 - Constitutional Court and the High Council of Judges and Public Prosecutors (HCJP), 636
 - 17/25 December corruption operations, 644–647

- 'democratization packages,' 646
- Law No. 6524, 644–646
- drifts from the rule of law and
 - European norms, 642–655
 - 17/25 December corruption
 - operations, 644–647
 - election of the President of the Republic, 647–655
 - Gezi Park events, 642–644
- election platforms of political parties, 638–640
- establishment and powers of the
 - constitutional reconciliation
 - committee, 640–642
- 2011 general elections and work for a new constitution, 638
- Gezi Park events, 642–644
 - protest against Halil Pasa Artillery Barracks reconstruction, 642–644
- Inter-party Constitutional Reconciliation Committee, 637
- National Security Council, 635–636
- negotiation process, 636
- President of the Republic, election, 647–655
 - Article 101, 647
 - Article 102, 648
 - 1982 Constitution, 647
 - Law No. 6271, 648
 - violations of the principle of the rule of law following the
 - presidential election, 652–655
 - violations of the rule of law during the election process, 649–652
- Venice Commission, 636

W

- Wales, continuing development of
 - devolution, 429–441
- constitutional matters, 439–440
 - Richard Commission, 439
 - Smith Commission
 - recommendations, 439

- court system in Wales, 440–441
 - Assembly's Constitutional and Legislative Affairs Committee, 440
 - Crown Prosecution Service, 441
- Government of Wales Act (GWA), 429
- intergovernmental relations, 438
- Legislating under Part 4 of the GWA 2006, 430–431
 - conferred powers model, 430
 - Part 2 of Schedule 7, 430
- model for devolving powers, 437–438
 - Powers for a Purpose*, 437
 - Welsh settlement, 437
- phase of devolution, 429
- Powers for a Purpose*, 437, 441
- recommendations on new powers, 438–439
- referring Bills to the Supreme Court, 431–435
 - Agricultural Sector Bill* case, 434
 - Article 1 of Protocol No 1 to the ECHR, 435
 - Convention rights, 433
 - Local Government Byelaws (Wales) Bill 2012, 431
 - Part 3 of Schedule 7, 431–432
 - section 108(4) and (7), 433
 - Section 6 of the Bill, 431
- Silk Commission, establishment of, 435–436
- SILK II and the UK Government's Response, 436

Z

- Zambrano and Kadi*, 659–678
 - idea of militant democracy, 659–663
 - emotionalism, 661
 - limits of Article 7 TEU, 662
 - political association *versus* democracy, 660

- Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms (ECHR) system, 661
- ‘reverse Solange’ idea, 660
- untouchable core against forces, 659
- is the European Union a Militant Democracy?, 659–678
- Kadi* saga, 672–676
 - Article 307 TEC, 674–675
 - EU law autonomy and fundamental rights protection, 672–673
- untouchable core of EU (Constitutional) Law, 676–678
- Zambrano* as a reverse *solange*, 666–672
 - Article 51 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights, 667
 - Article 2 TEU, 666
 - Article 7 TEU, 669
 - Article 20 TEU, 672
 - Article 4.2 TUE, 671
 - concept of ‘serious and persistent breach,’ 668
 - fundamental rights and citizenship, 666
 - notion of ‘systemic violation,’ 668
 - Rottmann* and *Runevic*, 670
- Zambrano* decision, 663–666
 - Article 17 TEC, 663
 - Article 20 TFEU, 665
 - Article 267 TFEU, 664
 - EU citizenship, 663–664