

Subject Index

A

Accountability reassessment, EU
 decentralized agencies, 645–665
 accountability and independence,
 647–650
 accountability forum, 647
 assessment, 653–660
 fundamental sense, 650
 legal autonomy, 649
 notion of accountability, 649
 unquestionable thirst for more
 accountability at EU and national
 level, 648–650
assessment of new governance regime,
 655–660
 content of common approach,
 655–660
 Council's and EP's positions, 658
 functioning of scientific committees,
 656–657
 overall evaluations of agencies,
 657–658
 standard composition for agencies'
 Management Boards, 656
growth of EU agencies, 650–653
 constitutional legal basis, 652
 delegation to autonomous bodies,
 653
 establishment of first generation of
 agencies, 650–651

 judicial dimension: accountability of the
 EU's financial agencies, 660–665
 discretionary powers, 664
 EMEA, 664
 establishment of three ESAs, 660
 European Banking Authority, 661
 European Insurance and
 Occupational Pensions Authority,
 661
 European Securities and Markets
 Authority (ESMA), 661
 Telefonica judgment, 662
Administrative law developments in
 Post-Communist Slovenia, 25–48
 2004–2008: Consolidation of Reforms
 or Populist Retreat?, 38–39
 2008–2014: The Eurozone Crisis: Slow
 Disintegration of the System?, 39–41
administrative legal system, 25–35
 The Central Administration, 27–28
 evolution of administrative system,
 25–27
 main principles of administrative
 action, 34–35
 normative acts of the executive
 branch, 29–30
 periods of modern administrative
 law, 25
 procedural laws and codes in
 administrative area, 32–34

- relationship between the Central and
 - Local Administration, 29
 - structure of the executive, 27–29
 - system of courts dealing with
 - administrative law issues, 30–32
 - EU Accession 1998–2004, 35–38
 - main reforms, 35–48
 - 2004–2008: Consolidation of
 - Reforms or Populist Retreat?, 38–39
 - 2008–2014: The Eurozone Crisis:
 - Slow Disintegration of the System?, 39–41
 - common principles of European
 - Administrative Law or ‘Potemkin harmonization’?, 43–45
 - constitutional court case law in the
 - administrative law area, 45–48
 - EU Accession 1998–2004, 35–38
 - main reasons for reforms, 41–43
 - ‘Potemkin harmonization,’ 43–45
 - principles of administrative action, 34–35
 - principle of equality, 35
 - principle of legal certainty, 35
 - principle of legality, 34
 - principle of proportionality, 34
 - procedural laws and codes in
 - administrative area, 32–34
 - Article 2 of the Constitution, 34
 - Article 7 of GAPA, 33
 - General Administrative Procedure
 - Act (GAPA), 32
 - between West European ideals and East
 - European reality, 25–48
 - Administrative transparency in Portugal, 667–688
 - access to administrative information, 669–688
 - administrative review, 684–687
 - introductory remarks, 669–670
 - judicial review, 687–688
 - legal regime, 682–684
 - objective scope, 676–682
 - personal scope, 670–675
 - administrative review, 684–687
 - CADA, 685–687
 - ‘independent administrative body,’ 685
 - constitutional value(s) of administrative
 - transparency, 667–669
 - judicial review, 687–688
 - legal regime, 682–684
 - instrumental transparency, 682
 - non-instrumental transparency, 682
 - objective scope, 676–682
 - balancing right of access, 679
 - classification of documents, 678
 - consultation in departments, 676
 - consultation of ‘nominative
 - documents,’ 681
 - receiving written or oral
 - information, 676
 - reproduction and issuance of
 - certificate, 676
 - right to information, 677
 - right to privacy, 680
 - personal scope, 670–675
 - beneficiaries of right to
 - administrative information, 674–675
 - entities bound by duty to disclose
 - administrative information, 670–674
- B**
- Belgium’s Sixth State Reform, 411–438
 - autonomy and efficiency; federalism and
 - constitutionalism, 418–436
 - amending procedure: efficiency at
 - the cost of legitimacy?, 418–420
 - Brussels, 423–427
 - co-operative federalism, autonomy,
 - and taxation, 432–436
 - reinforcing co-operative federalism, 427–432

- restructured Senate: finding role for federal second chamber, 420–423
- Brussels, 423–427
 - the ‘Brussels Metropolitan Community’: real co-operation aspirations?, 426–427
 - constitutive autonomy, 424–425
 - transfer of powers: centralization tendency?, 425–426
- co-operative federalism, autonomy, and taxation, 432–436
 - financial autonomy and public revenues, 432–433
 - hierarchy of taxing powers, 433
 - Special Majority Act, 434–435
 - ‘sub-national community,’ 434
- federalism, 411
- overview, 412–418
 - Agreement’s provisions, 413
 - background, 412–414
 - brief survey of restructuring achieved, 414–418
 - ‘fiscal responsibility,’ 412
 - institutional adjustments, 416–417
 - lengthy nature of negotiations, 412
 - substantial changes, 414–416
 - ‘the Comori,’ 414
- reinforcing co-operative federalism, 427–432
 - co-operation agreements, 430
 - intergovernmental consultation, 429
 - principle of federal loyalty, 428
 - uniform statutes, 431–432
- Blasphemy, 461–471
 - Constitutional Topics in the Netherlands, 461–471
 - fundamental constitutional provision, 466–467
 - how to deal with anti-democratic parties?, 467–469
 - case of *Refah Partisi v. Turkey* (2003), 468
 - the legal system, 469
 - Idealistic Constitutional Provision and ‘Militant Democracy,’ 461–471
 - militant democracy, 469–471
 - Article 21, 2 of the Basic Law, 470
 - Loewenstein’s articles on ‘militant democracy,’ 470
 - prohibition of blasphemy, 463–465
 - Article 137c of the Penal Code, 463–464
 - General Comment No. 22, 465
 - ‘scornful’ blasphemy, 463
- Brexit, the UK Parliament and the Common Law, 131–147
- European Communities Act 1972, 133–136
 - ‘essential’ sovereignty, 134
 - parliamentary debate, 133–134
 - power of political institutions, 135–136
 - ‘ultimate’ sovereignty, 134–135
- European Union Act 2011, 136–139
 - ‘Declaration of the Ultimate Sovereignty of Parliament,’ 139
 - European Communities Bill, 138
 - Section 18 of the European Union Act 2011, 137
- judicial power and sovereignty
 - regained?, 142–147
 - common law constitutionalism, 145
 - EU membership, 142–143
 - judicial erosion of parliamentary sovereignty, 142
 - nature of parliamentary sovereignty, 143
 - parliamentary debates on section 18 of the European Union Act 2011, 146
 - supremacy of EU law, 143–144
- political opinions, 132
- political power and sovereignty
 - regained?, 139–141
 - ‘deparliamentarisation thesis,’ 140–141

- EU withdrawal, 141
- politics of sovereignty, 133–139
 - European Communities Act 1972, 133–136
 - European Union Act 2011, 136–139
- ‘post-sovereignty’ age, 132
- ‘unsettled constitution,’ 131
- Bulgarian Constitutionalism, 203–223
 - Bulgarian Socialist Party (BSP), 203
 - challenges, reform, resistance and frustration, 203–223
 - frustration with ‘the system,’ 222–223
- Movement for Rights and Freedoms (MRF), 203
- National Movement Simeon II (NMSS), 204
- political challenges, 204–209
 - King Simeon Saxe-Coburg-Gotha, 204–206
 - NMSS coalition with BSP, 206
 - political commitments, 205
 - quasi-constitutionalization phase one: currency board, 207
- quasi-constitutionalization phase two:
 - European integration, 207–209
 - Bulgarian constitutional system, 209
 - ‘compliance mode,’ 208
- reform and resistance, 209–222
 - Accession Treaty, 214–215
 - amendments, 215
 - Article 129, 211
 - Article 158, 212
 - BCC’s workload, 209
 - breaking the ground, 210–213
 - division of the SJC into two senates, 220
 - establishment of two senates, 221
 - fiscal amendments – why bother?, 217–218
 - further reform of judiciary, 214–217
 - judiciary reform back in focus, 218–222

- more amendments, 217
- Reformist Block (RB), 219
- reforms of 2006, 215–216
- reinforcing accountability within SJC, 221–222
- smooth accession, 213–214
- Supreme Court of Cassation (SCC), 211
- Union of Democratic Forces (UDF), 203

C

- CJEU Opinion 2/13’s Unclear Stance on and Dislike of Protocol 16 ECHR, 269–286
- CJEU’s view on Opinion 2/13 ECHR, 272–278
 - accession procedures, 274
 - additional provision, 277
 - Article 1(1) DAA, 272
 - Article 218(11) TFEU, 272, 273
 - Article 267 TFEU, 275
 - Community legal system, 278
 - Opinion 1/09 line of reasoning, 276
- ‘EU accession to ECHR’ debate, 269
- five arguments demonstrating why the CJEU was not right, 278–285
 - CJEU’s interpretation, 279–280
 - interpreting the rights of EU Treaties, 282–284
 - no legal effects, 278–279
 - presumed competition, 280–281
 - relationship between prior involvement mechanism established by DAA and ECtHR jurisdiction, 284–285
- note on Protocol 16 ECHR and the ECtHR’s Advisory Jurisdiction, 270–272
 - Article 1(2) Protocol 16, 271
 - Article 1(3) Protocol 16, 271
 - Article 1 Protocol 16 ECHR, 271
 - Article 5 Protocol 16 ECHR, 271

- Article 10 Protocol 16 ECHR, 271
 Article 267 TFEU, 271
- D**
- Data Retention Saga, 475–488
 background, 477–479
 Constitutional Tribunal Act, 479
 Data Retention Directive, 477–478
 Poland's mandatory data retention law, 478
 comment, 482–488
 epilogue, 487–488
 final remarks, 486–487
 how to supervise the surveillance activities?, 485
 openness and confidentiality, 484–485
 relationship between case and transposition of data retention directive, 482–484
 should the national provisions which are contrary to the charter remain in force?, 486
 Constitutional Tribunal's judgment, 476
 Decision of the Polish Constitutional Tribunal of 30 July 2014 in Case K 23/ 11, 475–488
 Digital Rights Ireland (DRI) case, 475
 judgment of the constitutional tribunal, 479–482
 post-factum control, 482
 Tribunal's minimum requirements, 480–481
 unspecified 'technical measures,' 480
- Deepening Supranational Integration, 289–304
 concept of solidarity in the EU treaties, 291–294
 Article 80 TFEU, 292–293
 Articles 67(2) and 80 TFEU, 291
 Articles 77–79 TFEU, 293
 free movement, fairness and solidarity, 292
- The Dublin System, 294–297
 Article 13(1) Regulation 604/ 2013, 295
 Article 80 TFEU, 297
 Articles 7–15 Regulation 604/ 2013, 295
 'Greek crisis,' 296
 Regulation No. 604/2013 (the Dublin III Regulation), 294
 European Principle of solidarity, 302–304
 interstate solidarity in the EU, 289–291
 Articles 67–80 TFEU, 291
 concept of solidarity, 289, 290
 migration law, 290
 system of asylum jurisdiction based on solidarity, 297–302
 Asylum, Migration and Integration Fund (AMIF), 298
 'burden sharing,' 297
 Dublin III Regulation, 300
 European Refugee Fund (2008–2013), 298
 international protection, 301
 quota system, 300
 'sharing money,' 298
 'sharing norms,' 298
 'sharing people' or 'physical burden-sharing,' 299
 'uniform status of asylum,' 301
- Defining disability in EU non-discrimination legislation, 541–567
 2014 General Block Exemption Regulation (GBER), 543
 development of EU 'social model oriented' disability policy, 549–553
 current EU disability law and policy, 552–553
 early EU disability law and policy, 549–551
 'Employment Equality Directive,' 544
 European Disability Strategy 2010–2020, 543
 is it time to take stock?, 566–567

is there room for legislative definition in proposal for a non-discrimination directive?, 564–565

judicial activism and legislative restraints, 541–567

search for definition of disability as ground of discrimination: the role of the CJEU, 553–563

... and after ratification of the UNCRPD, 556–562

case law before the ratification of the UNCRPD ..., 553–556

GLAZEL and definition of disability outside the Employment Equality Directive, 562–563

‘social model of disability,’ 542

translating the social model into

international law, 545–549

1993 UN Standard Rules, 547

Article 1 UNCRPD, 548–549

Employment Equality Directive, 548

International Classification of

Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF), 546

International Classification of Impairments, Disabilities and Handicaps (ICIDH), 546

E

EFTA and EEA as UK’s future in Europe, 69–96

‘Brexit’ scenario, 70–71

Common Foreign and Security Policy (CFSP), 85

Economic and Monetary Union (EMU), 85

effect of EEA law in the UK, 79–81

conform interpretation, 79–80

legal doctrines, 79

Protocol 35, 80–81

European and International legal aspects, 77–81

EEA agreement, 77–78

effect of EEA law in the UK, 79–81

EFTA, 77

UK as EFTA/EEA state, 78–79

financial implications of EFTA/EEA membership, 91–92

Joint Committee Decision (JCD), 83

‘one-size-fits-all’ model, 87

Protocol 35, 80–81

state liability, 81–95

comparative assessment of the Swiss free trade model, 92–95

enforcement of EEA law, 89–90

financial implications of EFTA/EEA membership, 91–92

how the UK as EFTA state could

contribute to decision-shaping, culminating in new EEA law?, 82–85

substantive provisions of EEA law, 85–89

why the EEA rather than bilateral agreement is optimal for the UK in the event of Brexit?, 92–95

substantive provisions of EEA law, 85–89

agriculture, 88–89

fisheries, 87–88

UK domestic law, 71–77

after referendum, 75–77

effects of UK exit, 73–75

before referendum, 71–73

Enforceability of subsidiarity in EU and ethos of Cooperative Federalism, 305–331

alternative routes for enforcement of subsidiarity, 322–330

Article 72(2) GG, 323

judicial enforcement of subsidiarity through proportionality, 322–325

subsidiarity and political cooperation, 329–330

- subsidarity and procedural arrangements, 325–328
 - Working Time Directive, 322
- Article 5(3) TEU, 306
- judicial enforcement of subsidiarity in Germany, 308–314
 - Article 9 of the Weimar Constitution, 310
 - Article 72(2) of the Grundgesetz, 309, 312
 - important lessons learnt, 313–314
 - Ruling of 24 October 2002 on the Geriatric Nursing Act, 311
- judicial enforcement of subsidiarity in Italy, 314–319
 - Ruling No. 6 of 13 January 2004, 316
 - Ruling No. 121 of 26 March 2010, 317
 - Ruling No. 303 of 1 October 2003, 314–315
 - the Unified Conference, 317
- justiciability and sustainability of the justiciability of subsidiarity, 308–321
 - judicial enforcement of subsidiarity in Germany, 308–314
 - judicial enforcement of subsidiarity in Italy, 314–319
- justiciability of subsidiarity before the Court of Justice of the EU, 319–321
 - Estonia v. European Parliament and Council*, 320
 - Netherlands v. European Parliament and Council*, 319–320
 - ‘pro-Union’ conclusions, 321
- subsidarity and procedural arrangements, 325–328
 - The Amsterdam Subsidiarity Protocol of 1997, 325
 - Committee of the Regions (CoR), 328
 - ‘early warning system,’ 327
 - procedural requirements, 326
 - subsidarity in the EU between sustainable justiciability and multi-level cooperation, 330–331
 - ‘threat to integration,’ 306
- English Votes for English Laws (EVEL), 597–612
 - alternatives, 601–603
 - amendments from the House of Lords, 608–609
 - Barnett formula, 597
 - certification issues, 609–611
 - context of constitutional reform, 611–612
 - financial procedures, 607–608
 - institutional arrangements, 598
 - rules, 605–607
 - absence of consent, 607
 - certification procedure, 606
 - changes to standing orders, 605–606
 - reading debate, 606
 - secondary legislation, 609
 - varieties, 603–605
 - less radical alternatives, 603–604
 - McKay Commission, 605
 - proposal implementation, 604–605
 - ‘West Lothian question,’ 599–601
 - the McKay Commission, 599–600
 - Scottish independence referendum of 2014, 600
- Europe 2020 strategy*, 377–394
 - Article 18(1) of Directive 2014/24 and its interpretation, 380–384
 - positive and negative dimension, 383
 - principle of competition, 382
 - pro-competitive approach, 380
 - Sintesi* case, 381
 - competition-based approach, 379
 - principle of competition in moderating horizontal policies?, 380–384
 - strict proportionality test derived from the principle of competition, 385–394

- criteria for balancing of conflicting policy goals and effects, 387–391
- impact of strict proportionality test on pursuit of horizontal procurement policies, 391–394
- European Court of Human Rights: May 2015 to April 2016, 613–642
- Article 2: procedural duties to investigate mistaken killing by police officers of innocent person, 621–630
 - Armani Da Silva v. The United Kingdom* case, 621–626
 - McCann and Others v. UK* case, 626–630
- Article 2: withdrawal of artificial nutrition and hydration, 615–621
 - Glass v. UK* case, 620–621
 - Lambert and Others v. France* case, 615–620
- Article 3: face slapping of suspects by police constitutes degrading treatment, 630–633
 - Bouyid v. Belgium* case, 630–633
- Article 8: interception of mobile phone communications in Russia, 633–638
 - Kennedy v. UK* case, 634–636
 - Roman Zakharov v. Russia* case, 633–634
- Article 10: responsibilities of an internet news portal for users' comments, 638–642
 - Delfi AS v. Estonia* case, 638–642
- court's workload and changes to applications process, 613–615
- Filtering Section of the Court's Registry, 614
- pending applications, 613–614
- face slapping of suspects by police constitutes degrading treatment, 630–633
- interception of mobile phone communications in Russia, 633–638
- procedural duties to investigate mistaken killing by police officers of innocent person, 621–630
- responsibilities of an internet news portal for users' comments, 638–642
- withdrawal of artificial nutrition and hydration, 615–621
- European Union bailouts and credibility, 507–540
 - the constitutional dimension, 507–540
 - the ESM Treaty, 528–534
 - origins, 528–530
 - structure, 530–534
- EU bailout regime after the crisis, 526–538
 - Article 136.3° FTEU in the context of the No-Bailout Rule, 527–528
 - Article 136 or Article 122?, 526–527
 - the ESM Treaty, 528–534
 - the ex post EU bailout system and credibility, 534–538
 - Greece risk premium 2015, 536
 - interpreting the ex post EU bailout regime, 526–534
- EU bailout regime prior to the crisis, 513–522
- a clear prohibition: Article 125 FTEU, 513–515
 - the exceptions: Articles 122 and 143 FTEU, 520–522
 - interpreting the ex ante EU bailout regime, 513–522
- Supplement of Article 125 Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union: Article 123 FTEU, 515–520
- why system was not equilibrium from the perspective of the idea of law as credibility, 522–525
- EU bailouts, in billion euros, 508
- law as credibility, 509–513

- wrapping up the credibility problems of
 - current EU bailout structure, 539–540
 - EU withdrawal, 115–129
 - external dimension of EU exit, 126–127
 - farewell* EU Law?, 121–126
 - Article 4(3) TEU, 124
 - Article 288 TFEU, 122
 - Bilateral I and Bilateral II packages, 125
 - EU Founding Treaties, 121
 - UK legal orders, 123
 - legal modalities, 116–120
 - EEA-EFTA Member State, 119
 - ‘post-divorce’ relations, 120
 - ‘taking account of future relations,’ 119
 - Treaty-based exit, 118
 - Treaty of Lisbon Article 50 TEU, 116
 - unilateral exit, 117
 - trade agreements, 116
 - Exploring Member State and EU
 - constitutional identity, 227–241
 - Article 4(2) TEU, 228
 - constitutional identity in context, 241
 - enter constitutional identity, 227–228
 - EU constitutional identity and Member State constitutional identity, 234–241
 - Article 1 and 3 TEU, 235
 - Article 2 TEU, 235
 - Article 4(2) TEU, 239, 240
 - Article 4(3) TEU, 240
 - Article 6(3) TEU, 240
 - Article 7 TEU, 236
 - Article 19(1) TEU, 236
 - Article 50(1) TEU, 237
 - common constitutional pact, 238
 - Europe clauses, 239
 - Member State constitutional identity in the EU, 228–234
 - Article 4(2) TEU, 230–231, 232
 - Article 79(3) of the German Constitution, 229, 234
 - ‘controlimiti’ jurisprudence, 228
 - Lisbon* judgment, 233
 - ‘national identity,’ 232
 - Pham v. Secretary of State for the Home Department* case, 229–230
 - protection of Member State identity, 230
 - Runevic -Vardyn and Wardyn* case, 233
 - Sayn-Wittgenstein* case, 231
 - OMT judgment, 228
- F**
- Formation of Constitutional Tradition in Continental Europe since World War II, 257–268
 - The 1948 Declaration, 260–261
 - The 1950 European Convention of Human Rights, 257
 - constituent assemblies in Europe, 258–260
 - Schmitt’s emphasis on ‘a turn towards the concrete,’ 260
 - Spinoza’s statement, 259
 - system of terroristic police control, 259
 - ‘totalitarian’ system, 258
 - constitutional interpretation, 264–266
 - Alexander Bickel’s ‘counter-majoritarian difficulty,’ 265
 - fundamental rights, 265
 - ‘Constitutional traditions common to the Member States,’ 257
 - different approaches, 261–262
 - the 1789 Declaration, 262
 - the 1793 Constitution, 261
 - post-World War II Assemblies, 263–264
 - Article 2 of the Italian Constitution, 264
 - post-totalitarian perspective, 263

G

German Constitutional Law Cases

2012–2015, 439–460

Muslim head scarves case,

448–455

facts of the case, 448–449

the FCC's decision, 449–453

reactions, 453–455

parliamentary rights regarding the
deployment of the German armed
forces, 455–460

the FCC's decision, 456–459

operation pegasus, 455–456

reactions, 459–460

technical features of outright monetary
transactions, 439–448

the FCC's decision, 439–445

the OMT-decision, 439

reactions, 445–448

I

Independent Administrative Review

within structure of remedies under
the treaties, 569–581actions for annulment: the role of the
general court, 579–581administrative review procedures,
569

ECHA Board of Appeal, 570

European Chemicals Agency (ECHA),
570Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation
and Restriction of Chemicals
(REACH), 570review of the exercise of administrative
discretion, 575–579Community Plant Varieties Office
(CPVO), 576

ECHA Board of Appeal, 576

functional continuity, 576–577

in-depth review of exercise of
discretion: errors of assessment,
578–579in-depth review of exercise of
discretion: proportionality,
577–578role of independent administrative
review, 570–575administrative nature of boards of
appeal, 571–573

Article 263(5) TFEU, 570

independence and impartiality,
573–575Institutional arguments in favour of EU
Senate, 489–504the Council and its shortcomings in EU
Legislation, 492–498Economic and Financial Affairs
(ECOFIN) Council, 493

EPSCO preparatory committees, 494

EU legislative process, 497

Open Method of Coordination
(OMC), 492proposal of a Legislative Affairs
Council, 496

Rules of Procedure, 494

strengthen the role of General Affairs
Council (GAC), 495

weakness of social policy, 493–494

the European Council and its potentials
in EU legislation, 498–503

Article 15(1) TEU, 498

Article 51 of the German Basic Law,
500

criticisms, 501–502

future treaty reform, 499

explicit recognition, 489–490

relation between the European Council
and the Council, 489–504

Inter-parliamentary cooperation, 749–772

blossoming of forums as positive step
towards more democracy in EU,
763–768Articles 48–6 TEU and 81–3 TFEU,
764

CFSP-Conference, 766

- clusters of interest, 766–767
 - informal meetings, 767
 - conferences as forums for
 - interparliamentary cooperation, 753–755
 - CFSP Conference, 753–754
 - COSAC, 755
 - informal inter-parliamentary meetings, 757–763
 - inter-parliamentary cooperation with other purposes incidentally dealing with EU affairs, 762–763
 - multiplication of inter-parliamentary forums oriented towards COSAC and EUSC Meetings, 758–761
 - thematic inter-parliamentary meetings and clusters of interest, 761–762
 - recent multiplication of existing forums, 752–763
 - conferences as forums for interparliamentary cooperation, 753–755
 - COSAC meetings, 756
 - EP as (co-) convener, 755–757
 - informal inter-parliamentary meetings, 757–763
 - interparliamentary committee meetings (ICMs), 755
 - on the rise since Lisbon, 749–752
 - Article 12 TEU, 750–751
 - COSAC, 751
 - why this development may not be so positive after all?, 768–770
 - Italian perspectives on dialogue between ECJ and Italian Constitutional Court (ICC), 689–715
 - contradictory signals in ECJ case law regarding ‘dual preliminary’ references, 708–712
 - Arcelor/Melloni* scenario, 711
 - Melki*, 709
 - Simmenthal* scheme, 708
 - ‘conversational attitude,’ 690
 - ICC doctrine regarding primacy and direct effect of EU law, 694–696
 - Granital* doctrine, 694–696
 - Mascolo-Napolitano* case, 702–704
 - meaning and limits, reference to dialogue between courts, 691–694
 - ‘political’ and ‘legal’ strategies, 690
 - preliminary ruling involving sensitive constitutional issues as means of heterarchical model, 712–715
 - rationale behind the use by ICC of preliminary ruling, 696–701
 - Articles 11 and 117(1) of the Constitution, 700
 - direct effect doctrine, 697
 - ‘exclusionary effect,’ 698
 - Granital* doctrine, 699
 - Napolitano* case, 701
 - ‘substitution effect,’ 698
 - rationale to justify the ICC involvement in EU law matters, 704–708
- J**
- Judicial independence and separation of powers, 333–353
 - ‘appearance of independence’ requirement, 342
 - Article 117.1 of the Constitution, 340
 - The ‘Bangalore Principles of Judicial Conduct,’ 336
 - basic principle, 335
 - ‘Basic Principles on the Independence of the Judiciary’ in 1985, 336
 - ‘Beijing Statement,’ 336
 - case study in Modern Court Management, 333–353
 - constitutional doctrine, 335
 - court management from judicial independence and separation of powers perspective, 348–351

- court management boards and
 - Council, 350
 - operational duties, 349
 - separation between the Minister and the Council, 351
 - The Dutch Constitution, 339
 - incompatibility of the judicial function, 338
 - international initiatives, 336
 - judges' performance, 334
 - judges' protest of 2012 and 2013, 334
 - judiciary's modern management
 - organization, 344–348
 - hierarchical relationship, 347
 - judicial appointments, 346
 - 'operations,' 345
 - organizational structure, 344
 - period of appointment, 337
 - principle of separation of powers, 342
 - safeguards concerning the legal position, 341
 - separation of powers principle, 343
 - Venice Commission's report, 336
 - Judiciary's self-determination, the
 - common law and constitutional change, 149–168
 - aim : from judicial self-determination to constitutional change, 159
 - beyond the metaphor: a process of constitutional change?, 161–168
 - extra-judicial speeches and
 - constitutional change: grand design and judicial self-determination, 167–168
 - supreme court decisions and extra-judicial speeches: dialogues and outcomes, 162–166
 - certain vision of Europe, 158–159
 - European messages of some senior judges, 152–154
 - Lord Justice Laws: The Common Law and Europe, 153
 - Lord Mance: Destruction or Metamorphosis of the Legal Order?, 153–154
 - Lord Neuberger: The British and Europe, 154
 - Lord Reed: the Common Law and the ECHR, 152
 - Lord Sumption: the limits of Law, 152–153
 - exercise in comparative re-positioning, 154–158
 - comparative methodology: some issues, 155–156
 - the divergence discourse, 156–158
 - need for self-determination, 159–161
 - supreme court decisions and extra-judicial speeches: dialogues and outcomes, 162–166
 - engaging in multiple dialogues, 162–164
 - finding constitutional solutions, 164–166
 - the UK and Europe: a new judicial vision?, 151–152
- L**
- Leaving the EU?, 57–67
 - differences between 1975 and 2017, 66–67
 - history, 57–63
 - Common Agricultural Policy (CAP), 61
 - EEC membership, 58
 - European Community project, 61
 - European Free Trade Association (EFTA), 58
 - founder member of the United Nations and of NATO, 57
 - North Atlantic Free Trade Area (NAFTA), 58
 - Thatcher's attitude towards European Community, 62–63
 - Treaty of Rome, 59
 - wartime alliance with US, 57

- ‘who governs Britain’ election in
 - 1974, 60
- reasons for staying in, 65–66
- state of the union, 64–65
 - common policies in foreign affairs, 65
 - referendum on Britain’s membership of the European Union, 64
- Legacy of *Polydor*, 97–114
 - defining ‘European individuals,’ 99–100
- EEA Agreement, 107–110
 - Andersson* case, 108
 - Article 6 EEA Agreement, 109
 - Opel Austria* case, 107–108
- EEC-Turkey Agreement, 98, 104–105
 - Demirkan* case, 104–105
 - ‘law of association,’ 104
- from EU citizens to third country nationals, 97–114
- EU-Switzerland Bilateral Agreements, 105–107
 - Ettwein* case, 106–107
 - Grimme* case, 106
 - packages ‘Bilateral I,’ 105
- exporting internal market *acquis*: ‘so close, yet so far away’, 100–103
 - application of the *Polydor* Doctrine, 102–103
 - Polydor* Doctrine, 101–102
- exporting internal market *acquis*: ‘so close, yet so far away’, 100–103
- Turkish, Swiss and EEA Nationals:
 - ‘European Individuals’?, 103–112
 - EEA Agreement, 107–110
 - EEC-Turkey Agreement, 104–105
 - EU-Switzerland Bilateral Agreements, 105–107
 - three rounds of UK *versus* Council:
 - the EEA, Switzerland and Turkey Agreements compared, 110–112
- Legal impact of ‘Brexit’ on the UK, 51–56
- 2017 ‘In or Out’ referendum, 51
- ‘a search for judicial self-determination,’ 54
- European Communities Bill in 1971–1972, 53
- European Economic Area (EEA), 53
- European Free Trade Area (EFTA), 53
- European Union Bill in 2011, 53
- Institute of European Law (IEL)
 - workshop, 51–52
- ‘joined custody’ of the Internal Market, 56
- ‘Scotland issue,’ 56
- ‘special status for Britain,’ 55
- Life as Human Rights Court, 3–23
 - Area of Freedom, Security and Justice (‘AFSJ’), 3
 - challenges and developments in the EU courts activity in 2014, 3–23
 - child’s habitual residence, *M v C* case, 13–15
 - custodial sentence and imposed fine, *Spasic* case, 17–19
 - detention for not possessing valid identity document, *Mahdi* case, 15–17
 - family rights, 19–23
 - O & B* case, 20–21
 - S & G* case, 21–23
 - Opinion 2/ 13, 4–8
 - Draft Agreement, 4–7
 - principle of Mutual Trust, 7
 - seven arguments, 4–6
 - scope of application of the Charter, 8–12
 - Article 3(1) of Directive 2002/ 14, 11
 - Article 21 (1) and 27 of the Charter, 12
 - Article 51 (1) of the Charter, 9
 - Article 51 (2) of the Charter, 8
 - elements, 10
 - urgent cases before the court, 13–19
 - Mahdi* case, 15–17

M v C case, 13–15
 ‘Return Directive,’ 13
 Schengen Implementation
 Convention, 13
Spasic case, 17–19

N

National Courts of Last Instance failing
 to make a preliminary reference,
 243–256
 damages for failure to refer, 249–251
 Article 267(3) TFEU, 249
 Köbler ruling, 250
Dhahbi v. Italy case, 243–244
 failure to refer and Article 6 of the
 European Convention of Human
 Rights, 244–249
 Article 267(3) of the Treaty on the
 Functioning of the European
 Union (TFEU), 247
 Canela Santiago v. Spain case, 245
 Coëme case, 244
 Dhahbi case, 246–247, 248
 failure to refer, validity of national
 judgments, obligation to reopen the
 case file, and obligation to re-refer,
 252–255
 Article 267(3) TFEU, 253–254
 Bundesverfassungsgericht, 253
 general obligation for administrative
 body, 254–255
 Grundgesetz (the Basic Law), 252
 principle of *res judicata*, 254
 infringement proceedings for failure to
 refer, 251–252
 tying the knots together, 255–256

P

Place for Prisoners in European Union
 Law?, 717–747
 interactions between EU criminal law
 and EU citizenship, 725–735
 actual interactions, 729–733

Article 66 of the 1990 Convention,
 727
 EAW FD Proposal, 727–728
 non-extradition of nationals, 726
 potential interactions, 733–735
 intermediate conclusions, 735–746
 Article 4(6) EAW FD, 735
 interactions between EU citizenship
 and national criminal law,
 738–741
 Onuekwere and *M. G.* case,
 743–745
 P. I. case, 742–743
 Tsakouridis case, 741–742
 Wolzenburg, 736–737
 power to detain at EU level, 719–722
 Article 83(1) TFEU, 722
 features, 719–720
 ‘rule of reason,’ 721
 research question, 717–719
 residence, integration and reintegration,
 722–725
 the definition of and the right to
 residence, 723
 the meaning of and the right to
 residence, 722
 Recital 23, 724
 two scenarios, 725–746
 interactions between EU criminal
 law and EU citizenship, 725–735
 intermediate conclusions, 735–746

S

Scotland’s constitutional future, 187–202
 additional functional competence,
 198–200
 The Calman Commission, 198, 200
 proposals for devolution of welfare,
 199–200
 The Scottish Office, 198
 The Smith Commission, 199
 analysis of the vote, 189–191
 local authority areas, 190

party affiliation, 190
 'Calman Commission,' 187
 Conservative Liberal Democrat
 coalition, 187
 constitutional status, 195–198
 Clause 1 of the Scotland Bill, 196
 Clause 2 of the Bill, 197
 Paragraph 21 of The Smith
 Commission Report, 195
 Edinburgh Agreement of 15 October
 2012, 188
 proposals for further devolution: the
 process, 191–193
 additional powers, 192
 The Smith Commission, 191
 proposals for further devolution: the
 substance, 193–194
 additional functions, 194
 constitutional structure, 193
 financing devolved government, 194
 Scotland Bill 2015–2016, 195–201
 additional functional competence,
 198–200
 constitutional status, 195–198
 financing devolved government, 201
 Scottish National Party (SNP), 187
 SNP's election manifesto, 188
 Sixth State Reform. *See* Belgium's Sixth
 State Reform

T

Turkey-EU relations, 355–376
 economic integration perspective,
 363–366
 EU-Turkish customs union, 364
 Intergovernmental Agreement, 366
 Projects of Energy Community
 interest (PECI)s, 365

Trans-Adriatic Pipeline project
 (TAP), 366
 Trans-Anatolian Pipeline Project
 (TANAP), 366
 EU approach with regard to relations
 with Turkey, 363–375
 economic integration perspective,
 363–366
 human rights perspective,
 366–375
 The European Union's Method in the
 Quest of Fundamental Rights,
 355–376
 human rights perspective,
 366–375
Ahmet Yildirim v. Turkey (Application
no. 3111/10) of 18 December
2012, 370
 Article 6.2 of the TEU, Lisbon
 version of 2009, 367
 characteristics of Turkey's
 governmental system, 373
Demir and Baykara v. Turkey
 of 12 November 2008,
 370–371
 EU Progress Reports, 366
 margin of appreciation between the
 respect for constitutional identity
 and comparison of differences,
 368–375
Refah Partisi (The Welfare Party) And
Others v. Turkey of 13 February
2003, 374
Şükran Aydın And Others v.
Turkey, 369
 literature review, 356–360
 state of the relationship between Turkey
 and the EU, 360–362