

SUBJECT INDEX

A

- AAA. *See* American Arbitration Association (AAA)
- Adjudication of corruption allegations
 impediments to public access, 224–228
 public interest, 223–224
- Agency theory, 752–753
- American Arbitration Association (AAA),
 291–292
 claimed amount, 724–725
 domestic arbitration awards,
 723–724
 empirical evidence, 726
 ICDR, 725–726
- American Law Institute (ALI), 482
- Annulled awards
 basic procedural fairness, 598–600
 fundamental notions of justice,
 602–603
 technicalities, local standards, 601–602
 wrongful assumption of primary
 jurisdiction, 600–601
- Annulment decisions
 consequence of parties' choice,
 595–596
 detriment to predictability, 596–597
 increased judicial review over arbitral
 awards, 597
 non-applicability of NYC to Annulled
 Awards, 591–593
 reconciling NYC, Article VII with
 Article V(1)(e), 593–595
- Arbitral decision-making
 changing decision after deliberations,
 303–305
 content of deliberations, 301–303
 decision by occupation, 299–300
 disputes, 311–312
 durability of arbitrators' views, 305–307
 forty-one-item questionnaire, 313–315
 individual participants (arbitrators) and
 arbitration proceedings, 291
 issue selection, 298–299
 recorded interviews, 310
 societal and legal contexts, 291
 University of Miami School of Law
 (UM), 289
- Arbitration of employment disputes
 advantages, 545
 arbitration agreements, 542
 “business-to-business”,
 “business-to-consumer” and
 “business-to-employee”, 542
 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule
 26, 548
 Firestone Textile Co. Div. v. Meadows, 547
 legal and practical limitations, 554–555
 Nelson Steel Corp. v. McDaniel, 546–547
 non-disclosure agreement, 546
 private, confidential arbitration, 549
 public policy concerns, 549–554
 reputational risk, 543–545
 reputational risk management tool,
 542–543

Suchodolski v. Michigan Consolidated Gas Co., 547

Arbitrator's contract

formation of

partnership doctrine, 757–758

power of attorney or agency doctrine, 755–757

nature of

agency theory, 752–753

alternative approaches to agency, 753–755

Arbitrator's obligations

arbitral adjudication services, 759–761

obligations to decide the dispute submitted by the parties

obligation to comply with the arbitration agreement, 763–765

obligation to conclude the mandate, 770

obligation to correct or interpret the award, 769

obligation to deliver the award, 768–769

obligation to issue an enforceable award, 766–768

obligation to provide a fair and impartial adjudication

obligation to act impartially and independently, 772–774

obligation to act judicially, 776–777

obligation to apply the law, 775–776

obligation to be available, 771–772

obligation to treat parties equally and give a full opportunity to present the case, 774–775

B

BCCI. *See* Bulgarian Chamber of Commerce and Industry (BCCI)

BG Group v. Argentina

D.C. Circuit's decision, 102

Solicitor General (SG), 106

Supreme Court, 108–109

UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules, 103–104

U.S. District Court, 103

Bilateral investment treaties (BITs), 47, 49, 98, 101, 102, 108, 333, 609–610

BITs. *See* Bilateral investment treaties (BITs)

Bulgarian Chamber of Commerce and Industry (BCCI), 31

C

California Desert Conservation Area (CDCA), 54

CCIOC. *See* China Chamber of International Commerce (CCIOC)

CDCA. *See* California Desert Conservation Area (CDCA)

China Chamber of International Commerce (CCIOC), 141, 160, 164

China Council for the Promotion of International Trade (CCPIT), 141

China International Economic and Trade Arbitration Commission (CIETAC)

acceptance of foreign-related cases, 179–180

Amended Rules (*see* CIETAC Rules) arbitration law, 159–160

Civil Procedure Law (CPL), 161–163

dispute resolution (*see* Dispute resolution)

foreign-related arbitration (*see* Foreign-related arbitration in China)

New York Arbitration Convention, 180
registration fee, 181

- Shanghai and Shenzhen
 - sub-commissions, 139–140
- significance of the joint announcement
 - (see Joint announcement)
- trade and economic conflicts, 140
- Chinese arbitration and foreign arbitral
 - institutions, SPC instruction
- arbitration commission, 686–687
- Duferco*
 - arbitration clause, 687–688
 - non-domestic awards, 694
 - respondent's submission and payment, 692–693
 - scope of jurisdiction and temporary timetable, 694
- Hefei Intermediate People's Court
 - basic information of the parties, 696–697
 - facts of the case, 696–697
 - opinion, 697
 - Sales Contract, 699
 - validity of arbitration clause, 698–699
- judicial sovereignty, 686
- Longlide*
 - Anhui Court, 689, 695
 - arbitration clause, 688–689
- New York Convention, arbitral awards, 690
- Züblin*, 686–687, 691
- CIETAC. *See* China International Economic and Trade Arbitration Commission (CIETAC)
- CIETAC Rules
 - consolidation of arbitration claims, 151–152
 - interim measures, 149–151
 - language of arbitration, 155–156
 - multi-party appointment of arbitrators, 156
 - protection of confidential information, 158
 - seat of arbitration, 153–155
 - substantive law, 156–157
 - suspension of arbitration, 157
- Collective action clauses (CAC), 78–79
- Concept of analogy with judges, 744–746
- Concept of delegation of powers, 746–748
- Consequences of breach, 778–781
- Contracts (Rights of Third Parties) Act, 1999
 - arbitration agreements, 515, 518–519, 537
 - 'benefit-burden' argument, 538
 - Fortress Value Recovery Fund LLC v. Blue Skye Special Opportunities Fund LP*, 517
 - impact on arbitration, 523–528
 - and jurisprudence, 529–536
 - Law Commission, 516–517
 - Nisshin Shipping Co. Ltd. v. Cleaves & Company Ltd.*, 517
 - party autonomy, 516, 539
 - transparency and fairness, 539
- Contract theory
 - basic concept
 - arbitral adjudication services, 751
 - contractual exchange, 751
 - as conceptual platform, 738–739
 - formation of the arbitrator's contract, 755–758
 - nature of the arbitrator's contract, 752–755
- Contractual approach, 560–562
- Corporate Counsel International
 - Arbitration Group (CCIAG), 508
- Corruption allegations in international investment arbitration
 - Africa Holding Co. v. Democratic Republic of Congo*, 452

- anti-corruption legislation, 440
 - arbitral tribunals' power, 446–448
 - Azpetrol v. Republic of Azerbaijan*, 449
 - domestic criminal proceedings, 450–452
 - investment treaty arbitration, 443
 - MetalTech Ltd. v. Uzbekistan*, 442
 - Niko Resources (Bangladesh) Ltd. v. Bangladesh and others*, 441
 - timing, 443–446
 - World Duty Free*, 448
 - Cost allocation in international arbitration
 - adjudicatory proceedings, 485
 - American rule, 490–492
 - statistical analysis, 494–496
 - Cost of arbitration
 - allocation of costs among the parties, 501–502
 - case management, 500–501
 - ICC Rules of Arbitration, 499
 - legal or technical issues, 500
 - Counterclaims in investment treaty arbitration
 - ICSID Convention and Arbitration Rules, 362–363
 - International Court of Justice, 360–361
 - in international law, 359–360
 - Iran–United States Claims Tribunal, 361–362
 - parties' consent to the assertion of counterclaims
 - conceptual framework for the determination of the parties, 365–369
 - dispute resolution clause in investment treaties, 369–378
 - policy arguments, 357–358
 - primary claim and the counterclaim
 - conceptual understanding of the requirement of connectedness, 378–380
 - counterclaims based on domestic public law, 384–386
 - legal instrument as the principal claims, 383–384
 - requirement of connectedness, 381–383, 386–389
 - Saluka v. Czech Republic and Paushok v. Mongolia*, 389, 390
 - scholarship and case law, 357
 - treaties and legal instruments, 358
 - UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules, 363–365
 - Critique of the immunity theory
 - flaws of the status theory, 744–749
 - three different theories
 - contract theory, 743
 - hybrid theory, 743
 - status theory, 742
- D**
- Dispute resolution clause in investment treaties
 - counterclaims and standing to initiate proceedings
 - Energy Charter Treaty, 375
 - Goetz v. Burundi* case, 377
 - Iran–United States* case, 376
 - locus standi provisions, 376
 - treaty and the applicable arbitration rules, 377–378
 - counterclaims–friendly investment treaties, 378
 - jurisdiction *ratione materiae* of the tribunal
 - 'All Sources of the Applicable Law', 373–375
 - permissible disputes, 370–373
 - Dutch Arbitration Act
 - arbitration agreement and applicable law, 429

arbitrators, 429–430
 award and remedies, 432–434
 confidentiality, 435
 consumers, 428
 decision-making process, 426, 428
 Draft Act, 427
 e-arbitration, 434–435
 evidence and procedural issues,
 431–432
 formal legislative process, 426
 institutional rules, 435
 interim measures, 430–431
 monistic system, 428
 national requirements and international
 arbitrations, 427
 provisions of civil court procedure, 436
 setting aside, 436
 UNCITRAL Model Law, 427

E

Ecuador and ICSID decisions
 annulment of awards
 Repsol v. Ecuador, 352
 jurisdictional issues
 Duke v. Ecuador, 340
 prerequisites to international
 arbitration, 341–343
 Ratione Personae, 343–344
 liability
 commercial return, 347–349
 ‘Principle of Proportionality’,
 345–347
 Noble v. Ecuador, 330
 procedural life of an ICSID arbitration,
 331
 provisional measures (interim or
 preliminary)
 arbitral tribunal, 334–335
 arbitration rules, 335–336
 Burlington Resources v. Ecuador, 336

 concession agreement for natural
 resources, 338–339
 Oxy v. Ecuador, 337
 Repsol v. Ecuador, 337
 remedies, 349–351
 tribunal constitution, 333–334
 Emergency arbitrator (EA) procedure under
 2012 ICC Rules
 application for emergency relief, 3
 arguments
 Congo case, 16
 inclusion in the ICC arbitration rules,
 16
 judicial decision, 15
 place of arbitration, 16
 enforcement, 17
 interim or conservatory measures, 2–3
 popularity, 2
 Energy Charter Treaty, 375
 Enforcement of annulled arbitral awards
 Baker Marine (Nig.) Ltd. v. Chevron (Nig.)
 Ltd., 585–587
 Norsolor S.A. v. Pabalk Ticaret Sirketi S.A.,
 577–579
 Putrabali Adyamulia v. Société Rena Holding,
 583–585
 Société Hilmarton Ltd. v. Société OTV,
 579–583
 Termorio S.A. E.S.P. v. Electranta S.P.,
 587–589
 Enforcement of foreign awards in Mainland
 China
 Alstom v. Insigma, 658–661
 FIC v. Mawei Shipbuilding, 665–666
 Hanjin Shipping v. Fuhong Oil, 666–668
 International Court of Arbitration (ICC),
 658, 659
 the New York Convention, 652–653
 non-enforcement from 2000 to 2013,
 663–665

- pro-arbitration attitude and general
 - judicial reluctance, 655
 - SPC judges, statistics, 654–655
 - Voest-Alpine Trade v. Jiangsu Foreign Trade*, 661–662
 - Enforcing arbitrator's obligations
 - arbitral adjudication services, 759–761
 - basic ideas as grounds for methodology, 739–741
 - consequences of breach, 778–781
 - contract theory
 - basic concept, 750–752
 - as conceptual platform, 738–739
 - formation of the arbitrator's contract, 755–758
 - nature of the arbitrator's contract, 752–755
 - critique of the immunity theory
 - flaws of the status theory, 744–749
 - three different theories, 742–744
 - introductory remarks and
 - methodological approach, 735–738
 - methodology, 741
 - non-contractual obligations, 777–778
 - obligations to decide the dispute
 - submitted by the parties, 761–770
 - obligation to provide a fair and impartial adjudication, 771–777
 - Evidentiary obstacles to the adjudication of
 - corruption allegations
 - avoidance of bribery allegations by tribunals and parties
 - extra-judicial settlement, 192–193
 - jurisdictional manoeuvres, 187–189
 - withdrawal of corruption allegations, 190–192
 - drawing adverse inferences, 214
 - drawing on factual findings in domestic proceedings, 220–222
 - greater reliance on circumstantial evidence, 214–216
 - inadequate evidentiary support
 - arbitral tribunals, 195–197
 - EDF v. Romania*, 197–200
 - UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules, 194–195
 - insinuations of corruption as litigation tactic, 205–207
 - lowering the standard of proof, 216–217
 - questionable legal reasoning by arbitral tribunals, 207–213
 - shifting the burden of proof, 217–219
 - World Duty Free v. Kenya*, 200–204
- F**
- Fair and equitable treatment (FET)
 - and BITs, 47
 - international law, 49–50
 - investment treaties, 49
 - legitimate expectations, 48–49
 - minimum standard of treatment, 50
 - and NAFTA, 48
 - Federal Land Policy and Management Act (FLMPA), 54
 - FET. *See* Fair and equitable treatment (FET)
 - FLMPA. *See* Federal Land Policy and Management Act (FLMPA)
 - Foreign-related arbitration in China
 - arbitral commission, 172–175
 - Chinese Supreme People's Court, 172
 - Court's analysis, 171
 - docket cases, 175
 - enforcement of awards, 172
 - financial consequences, 172–173
 - former sub-commission award, 171
 - PRC arbitral commissions, 168
 - Shenzhen Court, 169

- Suzhou Intermediate People's Court,
170
- Formation of the arbitrator's contract
partnership doctrine, 757–758
power of attorney or agency doctrine,
755–757
- G**
- Good faith approach, 563–564
- H**
- Hungarian arbitration law
Act of 2012, 323
arbitration clauses, 320–322
domestic and international arbitration,
318, 320
Hungarian private international law, 322
institutional arbitration, 321–322
international commitments, 323–328
national assets, 318–319
'private law' agreements, 320
state/semi-state entities, 319–320
subjective and objective arbitrability,
320
UNCITRAL Model Law, 318
Hungarian Fundamental Law, 323
- I**
- ICC. *See* International Court of Arbitration
(ICC)
- ICC arbitral tribunals
advance on costs, 559–560, 566–567
contractual approach, 560–562
good faith approach, 563–564
joint and several liability of defaulting
parties, 569
jurisdictional objections, 568–569
and practices, 558–559
procedural approach, 562–563
procedural orders, 564–566
provisions of, 557–558
- ICC hybrid arbitrations
administrative infrastructure and costs
schedule, 401
advantages and disadvantages, 409
capacity of alternative institutions,
406–408
enforceability of arbitral awards, 410–411
*HKL Group Co. Ltd V. Rizq International
Holdings Pte. Ltd.*, 398–400
*Insigma Technology Co. Ltd V. Alstom
Technology Ltd*, 394–396
party autonomy
*Bovis Lend Lease Pte. Ltd v. Jay-Tech
Marine & Projects Pte. Ltd*, 403
enforceability of the award, 405
international commercial arbitration,
402
mandatory provisions, 404
SIAC, 402
requirement in certain jurisdictions,
408–409
SIAC-administered arbitration, 410
UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules, 397
- ICDR. *See* International Center for
Dispute Resolution (ICDR)
- ICSID Cases against Ecuador
Ecuador ICSID decisions (*see* Ecuador
and ICSID decisions)
international investment law, 329, 354
Occidental v. Ecuador, 354
- ICSID jurisdiction
and *abacat*, 84–86
Bahrain-US BIT, 82
and CACs, 83
compensation, 89
drafting process, 81–82
expropriation, 88–89
fair and equitable treatment standard, 88
Fedax N.V. v. Republic of Venezuela, 80
MFN and NT, 87–88

- negotiated debt restructuring, 82–83
- Immunity from execution
 - difference between recognition and, 616–617
 - nature of, 621–625
- Immunity theory, critique of
 - flaws of the status theory, 744–749
 - three different theories
 - brief observation, 743–744
 - contract theory, 743
 - hybrid theory, 743
 - status theory, 742
- 'In accordance with domestic law' clauses
 - broad agreement, 287
 - burden of proof issues, 281–282
 - consequences of illegalities, 266–267
 - decisions by domestic bodies, 283–284
 - definition of investment, 267–268
 - Fraport v. Philippines*, 269
 - good faith and errors of law, 286–287
 - Inceysa v. El Salvador*, 274–275
 - international investment arbitration, 265
 - jurisdictional objections, 288
 - legality requirement
 - causation, 280
 - substantial scope, 276–278
 - temporal scope, 278–280
 - Plama v. Bulgaria*, 272–274
 - questions of estoppel, 274–276
 - Teinver v. Argentina*, 266
 - tribunals' approaches, 269–271
- International Center for Dispute Resolution (ICDR), 725–726, 731
- International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes (ICSID)
 - tribunal, 439
- International Court of Arbitration (ICC), 658
- International regulatory framework
 - appointment of arbitrators in
 - international arbitration, 512
 - arbitral institutions based on 'real factors', 510–511
 - incentives to speed up proceedings, 511
 - performance evaluation, 513
 - 'Piercing the Arbitral Veil', 509–510
- J**
 - JAC. *See* Jerusalem Arbitration Centre (JAC)
 - JAC Cooperation Agreement, 125
 - Jerusalem Arbitration Centre (JAC)
 - commercial disputes, 122
 - constitutional documents
 - Governing Board, 126
 - ICC Israel and ICC Palestine, 123–124
 - JAC Cooperation Agreement, 125
 - JAC Court, 126–127
 - Joint Venture Agreement, 124
 - Secretariat of the Court, 128
 - ICC Rules, 133–134
 - Israeli-Palestinian trade, 121
 - jurisdiction, 128–131
 - neutrality and fairness, 123
 - political conflict, 121
 - tailor-made provisions to ensure
 - neutrality and effectiveness
 - constitution of the arbitral tribunal, 135–136
 - hearings and arbitration costs, 136–138
 - 'virtual seat' of arbitration, 131–133
- Joint announcement
 - Arbitration Law, 163, 165
 - CCIOC, 164
 - China Law and Practice interview, 164–165

- Foreign arbitration commissions, 167
- foreign-related and local arbitral
 - commissions, 164
- judicial registration, 166
- LACs or foreign-related arbitral
 - commissions, 167
- legality of SHIAC and SCIA, 165
- municipality, 165–166
- organizations, 163–164
- People's Daily Newspaper*, 167–168
- Shanghai Municipal Government, 163
- Shenzhen Municipal Intermediate People's Court, 163
- Jurisdictional matters in international arbitration
 - admissibility of challenges against
 - negative rulings, 470–471
 - arbitral proceedings, 463
 - negative jurisdictional rulings, 464–470
 - negative effects of the arbitration
 - agreement, 457–462
 - tribunal's decision on jurisdiction, 464
- L**
- Limitation of the parties' costs
 - English Arbitration Act 1996, 503
 - recoverable costs, 502
 - The Secretariat's Guide to ICC Arbitration, 504
- London Court of International Arbitration (LCIA) 2014 Rules
 - critique of convergence
 - homogenization and inflexibility, 709
 - IBA guidelines, 710–711
 - laboratories of arbitration procedure, 712
 - objectives of guidelines, 710
 - emergency arbitrators, 703–705
 - features, 703
- IBA Rules on Document Production, 716, 717
- international arbitration institutions, 701–702
- regulating efficiency
 - arbitration procedures, 706–707
 - conduct of legal representatives, 708–709
 - declaration of availability, 707
 - time and costs, 706, 707
- requirement prioritization and user preferences
 - conduct of counsel, 714–715
- emergency arbitrators, 713–714
- empirical data, 712
- procedural flexibility, 712–713
- third parties, 715–716
- treatment of third parties, 705–706
- M**
- MFN. *See* Most favored nation treatment (MFN)
- Minister of Security and Justice, 435
- Most favored nation treatment (MFN), 82–83, 87–88
- N**
- NAFTA. *See* North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA)
- National treatment (NT), 82–83, 87–88
- Nature of the arbitrator's contract
 - agency theory, 752–753
 - alternative approaches to agency, 753–755
- North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA)
 - domestic legal systems, 63
 - foreign investors, 65

Glamis v. United States

California's State Mining and Geology
Board, 54

Claimant's investment, 57

federal government, 55

Imperial Project, 54

investor's expectations, 54

matter of principle, 56

M-Opinion, 55

quasi-contractual relationship, 56

State of California and US federal
government, 55, 57

transparent and predictable framework,
56

Grand River tribunal, 64

international law, 63–64

investor's expectations, 69–72

legitimate expectations

Claimant's "reasonable and justifiable
expectations", 59

dominant element, 60–61

Duke tribunal, 66

evolution of custom, 58–59

Glamis award, 66–67

host state's existing domestic
legislation, 67–69

Mobil tribunal, 65–66

objective expectations, 66

specific assurances or commitments,
66

stand-alone element, 60

Thunderbird tribunal, 65

Waste Management tribunal, 65

under municipal law, 63

Thunderbird v. Mexico, 51–54

NT. *See* National treatment (NT)

O

Outcome based cost allocation

vs. American rule, 490–492

costs, event, 492–493

proportional allocation, 493

P

Party impecuniosity

Article 36(6) of the 2012 ICC Rules of
Arbitration, 818

competence-competence principle, 817
and France

access to justice, 811–813

Article 1448 in the French Code of
Civil Procedure (CPC), 807–808

giving effect to arbitration agreements,
809–811

settlement of disputes, 808

third-party funding, 813–815

and Germany

Article 1032 of Zivilprozessordnung
(ZPO), 815–816

principle of *pacta sunt servanda*, 816

People's Republic of China (PRC)

arbitral authority, 140

arbitration law, 159

CIETAC Rules, 150

foreign-related arbitration commission,
141

New York Arbitration Convention,
180–181

Permanent Court of Arbitration (PCA),
333

PRC. *See* People's Republic of China
(PRC)

R

Role of international arbitration in fight
against corruption

adjudication of corruption allegations,
222–228

allegations of corruption, 228–229

arbitral awards, 183, 230–264

- arbitral awards concerning corruption, 230–264
 - corruption and international arbitration, 228
 - domestic legal systems, 183
 - evidentiary obstacles to the adjudication of corruption allegations, 186–222
 - natural resources, 185
 - public awareness/scrutiny, 185–186
 - transparency, 186, 229
- S**
- SCIA. *See* Shenzhen Court of International Arbitration (SCIA)
 - SDR. *See* Sovereign debt restructuring (SDR)
 - Selection of arbitrators
 - advantages and disadvantages, 796–797
 - availability, 798
 - chairman, 798–799
 - independence and impartiality, 799
 - language, 797–798
 - practical steps, 802
 - pre-appointment interview, 803–805
 - religion, 800
 - sex, 800
 - standing and influence, 799–800
 - technical expert, 798
 - Shanghai International Arbitration Centre (SHIAC)
 - arbitral commission, 172
 - domestic and foreign-related arbitrations, 179
 - international commercial arbitration system, 147
 - Legislative Affairs Commission, 147
 - legitimacy, 148–149
 - municipalities, 165
 - PRC arbitration law, 160
 - Shenzhen Court of International Arbitration (SCIA)
 - contractual and property disputes, 146
 - foreign-related arbitral commissions, 167
 - New York Arbitration Convention, 146–147
 - SHIAC. *See* Shanghai International Arbitration Centre (SHIAC)
 - SIAC. *See* Singapore International Arbitration Centre (SIAC)
 - Singapore International Arbitration Centre (SIAC), 394
 - Sovereign debt restructuring (SDR)
 - Abacat* case, 79
 - CMS, Enron and Semptra* tribunals, 92–93
 - collective action clauses (CAC), 78–79
 - Continental* tribunal, 94–95
 - debt repayment schedule, 76
 - evaluation, 95–96
 - global financial crisis, 75
 - holdout litigation, 77–78
 - ICSID jurisdiction, 80–86
 - investor-state dispute (ISD), 76
 - LG&E* tribunal, 93–94
 - New York courts, 77
 - state of necessity defence, 89–91
 - “Splitting the baby” myth
 - AAA, 723–726
 - arbitration players’ perceptions
 - ICSID tribunals, 722–723
 - Rand Institute for Civil Justice, 721
 - survey, 722
 - complexities in the determination of quantum issues, 732–733
 - lack of or insufficient reasoning of arbitral awards, 730–732
 - unilateral appointments system in decision-making process
 - bargaining process, 728
 - deliberations, 728

- “horse trading”, 727
- market forces, 729
- Status theory, flaws of
 - concept of analogy with judges, 744–746
 - concept of delegation of powers, 746–748
 - policy arguments, 748–749
- T**
- Third-party funding, 813–815
- U**
- UNCITRAL Model Law
 - award *infra petita*, 415–416, 420
 - BLB and another v. BLC and others (BLB)*, 414
 - Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards, 417
 - English Arbitration Act 1996, 421
 - International Arbitration Act (IAA), 414
 - interpretation, 416
 - judicial intervention, 420
 - jurisdiction, 417
 - Netherlands Arbitration Act, 420–421
 - New York Convention, 417–418
 - public policy exception, 418, 419
 - sanction, 422
 - Singapore Court of Appeal, 417
 - TMM Division Maritima S.A. de C.V. v. Pacific Richfield Marine Pte. Ltd.*, 414
 - travaux préparatoires*, 422
- Unilateral jurisdiction clauses
 - assets of debtors, 21
 - balance of parties, 32–34
 - Brussels I Regulation, 37–39
 - consequences from the invalidity, 41
 - consumer perspective, 39–40
 - continental jurisdictions
 - BCCI, 31
 - Brussels I Regulation, 28–29
 - Cour de Cassation, 29–30
 - German law, 27–28
 - Italian case law, 28
 - Madrid Court of Appeal, 28
 - Russian Telephone Company and Sony Ericsson, 30–31
 - Supreme Court of Poland, 32
 - cross-border litigation, 19
 - distribution of rights and duties, 21
 - features, 20
 - inequality of parties, 34–35
 - interpretation
 - common law jurisdictions, 26–27
 - continental jurisdictions, 27–32
 - forum nationality, 25
 - jurisdictional agreements, 44
 - lack of mutuality, 40–41
 - mechanism, 22–25
 - multi-tiered dispute resolution clauses, 19–20
 - potestativité, 35–37
 - priori deficiency and risk, 45
 - rectification, 42–43
 - standard arbitration clauses, 21–22
- U.S. discovery in aid of international arbitration, section, 1782
- discretionary factors, 119–120
- Intel Corp. v. Advanced Micro Devices, Inc.*, 112, 114
- legislative history, 112–113
- private arbitrations
 - Court of Appeals, 115–116
 - European Commission, 115
 - foreign or international tribunal, 115
 - functional analysis, 115, 117
 - ICC panel’s authority, 117
 - instance decision-maker, 115
 - In re Arbitration in London, England*, 116

state-sponsored arbitral bodies,
117–118
production of discovery, 111
quasi-judicial agencies, 114

V

VIAC. *See* Vietnam International
Arbitration Centre (VIAC)

Vietnam, arbitration in
governing legislation, 679–681
interests and investments, 675
the VIAC, 2013 statistical report,
676–679

Vietnam International Arbitration Centre
(VIAC)
arbitration cases 1993–2013, 676, 677
growth of, 678–679
parties to, 677
places and language of, 677
types of dispute, 678

W

‘Waiver of sovereign immunity’
arbitral tribunals, 610
Argentine Sovereign Debt Crisis, 610
commercial entities, 610

complexities

capacity to make waiver, 636–639
execution process, 627
express waiver, 640–641
form of waiver, 639–640
implied waiver, 641–644
international or municipal law,
628–636

sovereignty concept, 627

international arbitration

immunity from execution,
616–621

immunity from jurisdiction, 612–616

need for waiver, 621

parties inequality, 611–612

proceedings, 612

international or municipal law

authority making, 628

international responsibility, 629

justifications for primacy of,
630–635

not totally irrelevant, 635–636

substantive provisions, 628–629

nature of, 625–626

private contracts, 611

‘Pyrrhic victory’, 610