

SUBJECT INDEX

A

ACP Axos Capital GMBH v. Republic of Kosovo

does Germany-Yugoslavia BIT apply to Seceding State?

ramifications of applying the Germany-Yugoslavia BIT to Kosovo, 507–508

territory matters for treaty application after secession, 506–507

is Germany-Yugoslavia BIT still in force?

changes in the political and geographical landscape and not fundamental change of circumstances, 504–505

Kosovo voluntarily assented to succeed to all Yugoslavia's obligations, 505

Amendments to the ACICA Arbitration Rules

consolidation, 729–730

joinder, 731–732

law governing the arbitration agreement, 732

Amendments to the International Arbitration Act 1974 (Cth)

2015 IAA Amendments, 725

clarifying the application of the IAA, 727–728

enforcement of non-New York Convention foreign awards, 725–726

presumption that arbitrations are confidential, 726–727

Uniform Commercial Arbitration Acts (CAAs), 724

American Arbitration Association's International Centre for Dispute Resolution (AAA-ICDR), 484–485

Anti-suit injunctions in support of London seated arbitrations post-Brexit
ability of English courts to issue anti-suit injunctions

'Lugano model,' SI-510

'Torpedo' tactics, SI-510

'Brexit vote,' SI-501

Brussels Regulation and *West Tankers* decision

insurance claim, SI-504

'Italian torpedo,' SI-506

'Leave' vote, SI-501

losing the right to anti-suit injunction

ADM Asia-Pacific Trading PTE Ltd. v.

PT Budi Semesta Satria,

SI-517

The Angelic Grace test, SI-514

Ecobank Transnational Inc. v. Tanoh, SI-516

The Magellan Spirit, SI-515

principles for granting of anti-suit injunctions, SI-517–518

Transfield Shipping Inc v. Chipping Xinfa

Huayu Alumina Co. Ltd.,

SI-515

- Recast Brussels Regulation and
 - Gazprom v. Lithuania*
 - Article 1(2)(d) of the Brussels Regulation, SI-508
 - Article 73(2) of the Recast Regulation, SI-507
- Regulation (EC) 44/2001, SI-501
- threshold requirements for obtaining
 - anti-suit injunction, SI-511–513
- West Tankers* judgment, SI-502
- Appellate Arbitral Rules, 197–207
 - 2003 JAMS Optional Arbitration Appeal Procedure ('JAMS Appeal Procedure'), 189
 - 2007 International Institute for Conflict Prevention and Resolution (CPR) Arbitration Appeal Procedure ('CPR Appeal Procedure'), 189
 - 2013 Optional Appellate Rules ('AAA Appellate Rules'), 188–189
 - 2015 European Court of Arbitration Rules ('ECA Rules'), 189
- the American Arbitral Association (AAA), 188
- appeals against arbitral awards, 186
- effects on the underlying award (enforcement and annulment actions), 199–200
- Hall Street Associates L.L.C. v. Mattel Inc.*, 187
- improvements to international arbitration, 212–214
- JAMS Appeal Procedure, 198
- Optional Appeal (opt in), 199
- practical issues arising from the appellate process
 - appeals in specific scenarios only, 207–208
 - consequences of not filing an appeal, 210–211
 - interaction with other institutional rules, 208–209
 - waiver of the annulment action, 211–212
- purpose and scope of appeals in national court litigation
 - ordinary appellate system fulfilling three basic purposes, 190
 - primary institutional purposes, 190
 - procedural rules, 191
- purpose of the rules, 198
- reasons for appeals in international
 - commercial arbitration
 - arbitrator's freedom, 196
 - litigating arbitral awards, 197
- reasons for appeals in investor-state arbitration
 - Article 52(1) of the ICSID Convention, 194
 - ICSID initiative, 191
 - investment arbitration, 192
 - risk of inconsistent decisions, 193
- selection of the appellate tribunal
 - AAA Appellate Rules, 201–202
 - advantage of international arbitration, 203
 - standard of review, 205–207
 - term to appeal and cross-appeal, 200–201
- Application of UNIDROIT principles by arbitral tribunals, 679–682
- Arbitral awards
 - arbitral awards are not manifestation of state practice
 - Article 53(1) of the ICSID Convention, 272
 - 'judicial decisions,' 270–271
 - 'lawmaking' function of arbitral tribunals, 272

- Crawford's *Brownlie's Principles of Public International Law*, 270
- importance of awards for development and evolution of customary rules
- role in revealing the existence of customary rules, 274–275
- role in the development of customary rules, 275–278
- practice of investment tribunals
- but have failed in actually revealing the existence of customary rules, 281–285
- Cargill* ruling, 279
- factual inquiry, 282
- Glamis* tribunal, 279, 282, 283
- Merrill & Ring* tribunal, 283, 284
- minimum standard of treatment (MST), 282
- NAFTA tribunals, 282
- Railroad* case, 279–280
- tribunals have correctly identified their role in the formation and identification of customary rules, 279–281
- WTO Ministerial Declaration of 14 November 2001 (the Doha Declaration), 285
- Arbitration
- agreements to arbitrate, 88
- arbitrability of competition law disputes under English law, SI-533–534
- arbitrator's *ex officio* duty to raise competition law issues, SI-535–536
- confidentiality, 448–449
- DIFC approach, 92–93
- enforcement
- of foreign judgments, 451
- Hague Choice of Court Convention, 452
- Memoranda of Guidance, 453
- enforcement of arbitral awards
- blurred lines: enforcement of foreign arbitral awards, 95–96
- domestic arbitral awards: application of the CPL, 94–95
- enforcement through the DIFC?, 96–97
- finality
- multi-level appeal, 450
- right to appeal, 451
- non-arbitrable disputes
- commercial agency agreements, 91
- criminal matters, 90–91
- employment, 89
- public policy/public order, 89–90
- party autonomy
- characteristic of international arbitration, 447
- mandatory procedural rules, 447
- parties' freedom, 446
- review of competition law-related awards by the English courts, SI-537–539
- tribunal formation and conduct of arbitration, 93–94
- void arbitration agreements, 91–92
- Article 29 VCLT and the territorial nexus
- confirmation of the approach suggested as matter of principle and on policy level, 262–264
- customary international law on the matter and its assessment by scholars, 258–260
- The General Rule
- moving treaty frontiers rule, 257
- Sanum v. Laos*, 257
- state's territory *stricto sensu*, 258
- particularities of investment treaties, 260–262

B**Bills of Lading**

- arbitration agreements, 151
- challenges, 151
- is the arbitration clause in the bill of lading binding upon the holder?, 168
- is the arbitration clause in the bill of lading valid?
 - The Neramo*, 163–164
- validity of arbitration clauses under Rotterdam Rules, 166–167
- validity of arbitration clauses under the conventions governing carriage of goods by sea, 164–166
- is there arbitration clause in the bill of lading?
 - The Channel Ranger*, 161–162
 - conclusions on *can be drawn*, 162
 - conclusions on *should not be drawn*, 162–163
- rules of incorporation, 152
- should anti-suit award rendered by arbitral tribunal be enforced by the courts pursuant to the New York Convention 1958?
 - concept of ‘public policy,’ 159
 - Dallah Real Estate and Tourism Holding Co. v. Ministry of Religious Affairs, Government of Pakistan*, 160–161
 - Gazprom* case, 159
- value in enforcement of arbitration clauses, 152
- who decides whether the bill of lading contains valid and binding arbitration clause?
 - The Atlantic Emperor*, 155
 - The Front Comor*, 156–157
- Kompetenz-kompetenz principle, 153

risk of parallel proceedings, 154

Van Uden Maritime B. v.

Kommanditgesellschaft in Firma Deco-Lin, 155

The Wadi Sudr, 155–156

West Tankers Inc. v. Allianz Spa and Another, 156

who can effectively decide the question of validity under the recast regulation?, 157–158

Brexit. *See also* Unamicable Separation

advantages as a litigation venue

availability of funding and fee arrangements, SI-526

collective proceedings, SI-526

disclosure, SI-525–526

limitation period, SI-526

loser-pays costs rule, SI-525

procedural advantages, SI-527

anti-suit injunctions, SI-561–562

arbitration

arbitrability of competition law disputes under English law, SI-533–534

arbitrator’s *ex officio* duty to raise competition law issues, SI-535–536

review of competition law-related awards by the English courts, SI-537–539

Article 50 of the TEU and decision to leave the EU under constitutional law

extending prerogative powers, SI-437–438

granting rights, SI-440–441

international obligation, SI-442

legal status of the referendum, SI-433–435

power of government to decide on Brexit without parliamentary assent, SI-435–442

- 'royal prerogative,' SI-433
- R. v. Secretary of State for the Home Department, ex parte Fire Brigades Union and others*, SI-439
- Section 2(2) of the European Communities Act 1972, SI-435–436
- sovereignty of parliament, SI-432–433
- withdrawal agreement, SI-439–440
- withdrawing from the union: need for domestic decision, SI-430–432
- Article 50 of the TEU, divorce negotiations and future trade deals
- conduct of the negotiations, SI-445–446
- content of the negotiations, SI-444–445
- legal regime during the negotiations
 - or: can UK negotiate trade deals?, SI-448–449
- length of the negotiations and consequences if no deal is reached, SI-447–448
- start of the negotiations, SI-443–444
- Competition Appeal Tribunal (CAT), SI-521
- competition law in the UK, SI-522–523
- on competition litigation
 - BA v. Emerald Supplies*, SI-531
 - England and Wales as a venue for follow-on damages actions, SI-531–532
 - EU infringement decisions, SI-529
 - Iiyama* case, SI-530
- competition litigation in the UK
 - 'duty of sincere cooperation,' SI-523
 - follow-on damages actions, SI-523
 - types, SI-524–525
- current framework covering international litigation and arbitration
 - governing law, SI-553–554
 - international arbitration, SI-554
 - jurisdiction and enforcement, SI-552–553
 - and devolution, SI-443
 - Directive 2014/104/EU, SI-521
 - enforcement of IP rights, SI-549–550
 - European Union (EU) Referendum, SI-463
 - exhaustion, SI-550
 - impact on arbitration, SI-562–564
 - London as a seat of arbitration post-Brexit, SI-473–475
 - public policy defence in proceedings, SI-475–480
 - impact on asymmetric or unilateral jurisdiction clauses, SI-559–561
 - impact on choice of law
 - contractual claims, SI-465–470
 - tortious claims, SI-470–472
 - impact on governing law, SI-556–557
 - impact on jurisdiction, governing law, and dispute resolution clauses in agreements on IP rights, SI-557–559
 - impact on licensed IP rights, SI-550–551
 - jurisdiction of courts and enforcement of judgments, SI-555–556
 - possible ramifications
 - on cases brought under the UK's intra-EU BITs, SI-569–570
 - number of BITs, SI-565
 - on possible claims against the UK as a result of the Referendum, SI-574–575
 - on treaties containing investment protection provisions that EU has been negotiating, SI-571–573

- on treaties containing investment protection provisions that UK may negotiate, SI-573–574
 - on UK's extra-EU BITs, SI-570–571
 - whether the UK's intra-EU BITs remain applicable, SI-567–568
 - Brexit for the Brussels Regime
 - current regime, SI-484
 - legal framework for withdrawal
 - solution 1: continued application of the recast regulation by agreement, SI-485–488
 - solution 2: Lugano II Convention, SI-488–492
 - solution 3: Brussels Convention, SI-492–494
 - solution 4: another treaty?, SI-495–496
 - solution 5: foreign judgments (Reciprocal Enforcement) Act 1933, SI-496–498
 - solution 6: common law, SI-498
 - Brexit impact on arbitration
 - London as a seat of arbitration post-Brexit
 - anti-suit injunctions, SI-473
 - recital 12 'exception of arbitration,' SI-474
 - public policy defence in proceedings
 - Deutsche Schachtbau-und Tiefbohrgesellschaft m.b.H. v. Ras Al Khaimah National Oil Co.*, SI-476
 - Eco Swiss China Time Ltd. v. Benetton International N.V.*, SI-479
 - 'nationalism' and 'internationalism,' SI-475
 - Omnium de Traitement et de Valorisation S.A. v. Hilmarion Ltd.*, SI-477
 - principle of supremacy, SI-478
 - R. v. Pierre Bouchereau*, SI-478
 - Brexit impact on choice of law
 - choice of governing law clause, SI-464
 - contractual claims
 - choice of applicable law, SI-467–468
 - individual employment contracts, SI-468
 - issue of formal validity, SI-469
 - public policy (*ordre public*), SI-467
 - Rome Convention, SI-465–466
 - Rome I Regulation, SI-466
 - questions for non-contractual obligations, SI-465
 - tortious claims
 - EU membership, SI-472
 - Private International (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1995, SI-471
 - Brussels Convention, SI-492–494
- C**
- Cause and effect diagrams
 - adapted for international arbitration, 180
 - 'appointments' of arbitrators, 180
 - 'evidence used,' 181
 - 'law and interventions,' 180
 - 'methods and tactics,' 181–182
 - 'technology,' 181
 - 'Chilling effect' on state regulatory powers
 - accessible logic of 'regulatory chill' theory, 24–25
 - can 'regulatory chill' be measured?, 25–27
 - regulatory chill, defined, 22
 - Choice of UNIDROIT Principles by the parties, 677–679
 - CISG case law on Article 79 exemption
 - Corn case, 300
 - Letter of Credit*, 301

- Macromex Srl. v. Global International Inc.*, 300–301
- CISG case law on derogation under Article 6, 306–309
- Colombia
- amendments introduced by the 2015 Directive, 419–421
 - arbitrator nomination process, 421–422
 - procedure for appointment of arbitrators, 420
 - requirements for potential arbitrators, 421
 - time limit, 422
- Colombia's sensitivity towards arbitrations and litigations against the state
- 2013 Directive, 418
 - 2014 Directive, 419
 - National Agency of Legal Defense of the State (NALDS), 418
 - Presidential Directive No. 03, 417–418
- Combined use of mediation and arbitration in Commercial Dispute Resolution
- advantages, 41
 - future of combined use of mediation and arbitration, 74
 - international commercial arbitration, 37–38
 - limitations, study, 48–50
 - main benefits of combined use of mediation and arbitration, 71–73
 - methodology, study
 - key terms, 46
 - process, 44–45
 - purpose and design, 45–46
 - participants' experience, study
 - interrelation between participants' qualification to practise as a lawyer and their experience, 53–54
 - mediation in the combined use of processes: timing and the use of caucuses, 64–68
 - professional role in combined use of mediation and arbitration, 51
 - proportion of disputes involving the combined use of processes of overall practice, 51–52
 - recording the outcome, 68–71
 - regional variations, 52
 - single or dual role of a neutral, 60–64
 - triggers for the combined use of mediation and arbitration, 54–60
 - participants, study
 - Approximate Amount of International Commercial Disputes Over the Last Five Years, 48
 - Legal System/Region of Practice, 47
 - Most Frequent Professional Role Over the Last Five Years, 48
 - questionnaire, 77–82
- Competition litigation in the UK
- 'duty of sincere cooperation,' SI-523
 - follow-on damages actions, SI-523
 - types, SI-524–525
- Confidentiality in international commercial arbitration
- American Arbitration Association's International Centre for Dispute Resolution (AAA-ICDR), 484–485
 - Hong Kong International Arbitration Centre (HKIAC), 484
 - identified trends, 487–488
 - International Chamber of Commerce (ICC), 481–482
 - London Court of International Arbitration (LCIA), 482–483

- other jurisdictions: Australia, France,
Sweden, and Switzerland,
485–486
- participant opinions, 486–487
- UNCITRAL Rules, 486
- Consultative alternative dispute resolution
(‘consultative ADR’)
 - Emirates Trading LLC v. Prime Mineral
Exports*, 382
 - ‘fact-finding’ mechanism, 383
 - general principles, 383–384
 - KOSMOS-954 affair, 384–385
- Continued application of the Recast
Regulation
 - absent agreement, SI-488
 - Denmark-EC Agreement, SI-485–487
- Converting court judgments into arbitral
awards
 - defining arbitral awards
 - ‘alternativity test,’ 456
 - ‘conversion’ process, 457
 - defining disputes
 - DIFC ‘Judgment Payment Disputes,’
458–460
 - English Arbitration Act 1996, 457
 - ‘Judgment Payment Claim,’ 460
 - innovative ‘conversion’ mechanism, 454
- Coordinating UNIDROIT Principles
 - with sources of state law,
660–661
- Copyright, SI-547–548
- ‘Creative destruction’ concept, 172
- CPR Appeal Procedure, 189, 199
- Criticism against ISDS by EFILA
 - Bilateral Investment Treaties (BITs), 1
 - ‘chilling effect’ on state regulatory powers
 - accessible logic of ‘regulatory chill’
theory, 24–25
 - can ‘regulatory chill’ be measured?,
25–27
 - costs: diversion of public money
from public goods and services,
20–22
 - divergent interpretation of similar or
identical IIA provisions, 8–10
 - ‘elite’ group of arbitrators, 17–20
 - EU-Canada Treaty (CETA), 1
 - Free Trade Agreements (FTAs), 1
 - Investment Court System (ICS), 35
 - investment flows, 2
 - ISDS allows international companies to
bypass national judicial systems
 - Article 26 of the ICSID Convention, 28
 - Brussels I Regulation, 29
 - Romania-Turkey BIT, 27
 - lack of independence and impartiality of
arbitrators
 - levels of investment arbitration sys-
tem, 15–17
 - lack of transparency in investment disputes
 - 2014 UNCITRAL Rules on trans-
parency in treaty-based investor-
state arbitration, 13–14
 - Amicus Curiae* (‘friend of the court’),
12–13
 - transparency in CAFTA, NAFTA
and ICSID 2006 amendments,
10–12
 - pro-investor interpretation of substan-
tive treaty protections
 - available means of interpretation,
5–8
 - pro-investor interpretation of invest-
ment treaties, 3–5
 - receiving FDI without investment trea-
ties, 32–35
 - trading ISDS for greater market access?,
30–32
 - Transatlantic Trade and Investment
Partnership (TTIP) Agreement, 2

Cuba

- arbitration hearing
 - Arbitrator Valentín Álvarez's dissenting opinion, 294
 - tribunal's rulings, 293–294
- case commentary on exemption from damages and the right to interest under the CISG, 289–310
- Empresa Italiana X v. Empresa Mixta Y*, 289
- legal analysis
 - CISG case law on Article 79 exemption, 299–302
 - tribunal erred by failing to exempt the buyer from liability for damages under Article 79 of the CISG, 295–296
- legal standard for exemption under Article 79 of the CISG
 - CL requirement, 297
 - critical determinations, 296–297
 - legal principle *pacta sunt servanda*, 298–299
- procedural history, 290
- summary of facts, 290–292
- tribunal erred by denying the seller interest under Article 78 of the CISG
 - CISG case law on derogation under Article 6, 306–309
 - Exclusions of the CISG under Article 6* recommendation, 305
 - reasons for flaw, 302–303
 - right to interest under Article 78 and derogation from the CISG under Article 6, 303–306
 - Spalding v. Mason*, 304
- tribunal's rulings
 - buyer's claim for exemption from damages, 293

- seller's claim for interest for late payment, 294

D

Danish Institute of Arbitration

- applicable sources and standards, 580–582
- background, 578–580
- confidentiality, 577
- consent, waiver, *sua sponte* powers, and time limits
 - concept of advance waivers, 586
- DIA's confirmation procedure, 583–584
- Orange List, 584
- party autonomy, 583
- decision summaries
 - Case D-807: relations to a party's counsel, 651
 - Case D-820: client relations, 650–651
 - Case D-836: relations to a party's counsel and affiliate, 649–650
 - Case D-1145: a previous appointment by the same party, 645–646
 - Case D-1239: a client relation, 643–644
 - Case D-1260: previous work for the CEO of a party's affiliate, 642
 - Case D-1304: involvement in the pre-dispute course of events, 638–639
 - Case D-1469: a client relation, 635
 - Case D-1472: affiliation with organization that represents a party, 634–635
 - Case D-1488: client relations and adversarial relations, 633–634
 - Case D-1489: previous work as arbitrator in a related matter, 633

- Case D-1520: service as court judge in court cases on similar issues, 632–633
- Case D-1585: previous client relations, 631
- Case D-1594: a neighbourhood relation, 630–631
- Case D-1615: adversarial relations, 629–630
- Case D-1690: previous client relations and adversarial relations, 627–629
- Case D-1695: recent client relations, 627
- Case D-1728: previous client relations and adversarial relations, 626–627
- Case D-1733: client relations with a party's affiliates and a late challenge, 625–626
- Case D-1739: previous practice in the same joint offices as a party's counsel, 623–624
- Case D-1761: client relations to a party's counsel, 623
- Case D-1767: client relations, 622
- Case D-1775: previous attempts to conciliate a settlement in the same dispute, 622
- Case D-1781: lack of technical skills, 621–622
- Case D-1799: client relations, 621
- Case D-1850: previous award on another issue in the same dispute, 619–620
- Case D-1856: relations between tribunal chair, co-arbitrator and a party's home country, 617–619
- Case D-1878: enmity, strong expressions and ex co-arbitrator communication, 615–617
- Case D-1887: client relations and alleged predisposition, 614–615
- Case D-1905: client relation to parent company, 613
- Case D-1917: co-ownership of hunting consortium, 613
- Case D-2090: profession and domicile, 611–612
- Case D-2091: potential involvement of regular client, 610–611
- Case D-2121: previous appointments and one-to-one lunch, 608–609
- Case D-2154: previous appointments and professional relations, 607–608
- Case D-2233: membership of association and correspondence with a party, 606–607
- Case D-2245: personal friendship, 605–606
- Case D-2269: previous adversarial relations and professional relations, 604–605
- Case D-2284: professional relations and the representation of a party's sources of funding, 603–604
- Case D-2333: potential adversarial relation, 602–603
- Case D-2344: adversarial relation, 602
- Case D-2361: professional relation, 601–602
- Case D-2364: adversarial relations and attempted imposition of advance waivers, 600–601
- Case E-1099: public expression of opinions on legal issues related to the case, 648–649
- Case E-1111: alleged enmity, 647–648

- Case E-1129: working group relations, 646–647
- Case E-1202: an adversarial relation, 644–645
- Case E-1241: a colleague's previous involvement in the dispute, 642–643
- Case E-1269: the arbitrator's conduct, 640–641
- Case E-1272/1275: previous appointments and a position on the DIA's board, 639–640
- Case E-1350: provision of a legal opinion in a related matter, 637–638
- Case E-1443: previous involvement in a related transaction, 635–637
- Case E-1536: client relations with a party's affiliates, 631–632
- Case E-1734: relations to the same law firm, 624–625
- Case E-1944: client relation, 613
- Case E-1999: adversarial relation to a party's counsel, 612–613
- Case E-2111: previous appointments and slip of memory, 609–610
- fifty-seven challenge decisions, 596–600
- selected relations and arguments
 - appearance of bias, 593
 - arbitrator's fees, 591
 - arbitrator's law firm, 592–593
 - arbitrator's reactions to the challenge, 592
 - cumulative effect of several grounds, 587
 - failure to meet the duty of disclosure, 590
 - previous appointments, 594–595
 - public expression of general opinions about legal issues, 588
 - size of the pool of qualified arbitrators, 589
- Database right, SI-548–549
- Dispute resolution trends in banking industry in Nigeria
 - labour matters, 566–567
 - loans and other credit arrangements
 - Loan Market Association (LMA) draft dispute resolution clauses, 565
 - securities transactions, 566
 - regulatory issues, 568–569
 - support services, 567–568
- Draft convention on settlement of outer space disputes
 - limited coercive mechanisms, 393
 - Montreal Conference of 1982, 392
- Dubai International Financial Centre (DIFC)
 - free zone, 86–87, 92–93
 - goals of court, 444
- E**
 - 'Elite' group of arbitrators
 - Corporate Europe Observatory Report, 17–18
 - 'pro-investor' arbitrators, 19
- Enforcement of arbitral awards in the UAE
 - blurred lines: enforcement of foreign arbitral awards, 95–96
 - domestic arbitral awards: application of the CPL, 94–95
 - enforcement through the DIFC?, 96–97
- EU consumer law
 - Article 3(1) of the Directive, 372
 - Article V(2)(b) of the New York Convention, 372
- European Federation for Investment Law and Arbitration (EFILA). *See* Criticism against ISDS by EFILA
- European Patent (EP), SI-542–543

Expropriation risk should be part of discount rate?

Factory at Chorzów ('Chorzów') case, 526

fair and equitable treatment (FET) standards, 525

fair market value (FMV), 525

five recent awards: characteristics, 547

Flughafen Zürich A.G. Y Gestión E Ingeniería IDC S.A. v. República Bolivariana De Venezuela

country risk, 543

passenger traffic, 542–543

quantum, 541–542

Gold Reserve Inc. v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela

Brisas Project, 529

quantum, 530–532

Mobil Venezolana De Petróleo Inc. v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela

Cerro Negro Project, 533

discount rate, 535–536

net cash flows, 534–535

quantum: direct expropriation, 534

Oi European Group B.V. v. República Bolivariana De Venezuela, 544

quantum and discount rate

Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM), 528

discount rate, 528

growth of net cash flows, 527–528

Moody's and Standard & Poor's bond ratings, 528

should discount rate include expropriation/confiscation risk?, 545–546

Siemens A. G. v. Argentine Republic, 526

Tidewater Investment S.R.L. and

Tidewater Caribe C.A. v. Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela

business risk, 540

country risk premium, 539–540

quantum, 538–539

scope of expropriation, 537–538

Vivendi tribunal, 526

F

FDI Arbitration in Kosovo. *See* Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) disputes

with unrecognized states

Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) disputes with unrecognized states

ICSID case : *ACP v. Kosovo*

does Germany-Yugoslavia BIT apply to Seceding State?, 506–508

is Germany-Yugoslavia BIT still in force?, 503–505

investment protection under human rights treaties

case can be brought against Kosovo in human rights court, 521–522

government of Kosovo can be a party in human rights tribunal, 518–519

if Kosovo is not responsible, then UN must be, 519–521

investors in Kosovo can bring a claim under human rights treaties, 515–516

responsibility stems from effective control over the territory, 517–518

Kosovo beyond theory

Kosovo's international investment agreements, 509–513

'obligation of non-recognition' does not apply to Kosovo's actions, 513–514

(Unilateral) Declaration of

Independence (UDI), 501

Foreign judgments (Reciprocal Enforcement) Act 1933,

SI-496–498

Framework for UACs

- assessment of the UAC post-award, 375–376
- direct *versus* indirect reliance, 374–375
- EU consumer law, 371–373
- impact of recast Brussels I Regulation on jurisdiction agreements, 369–371
- relevant provisions of the New York Convention, 373–374

G

Greek Debt Restructuring and Investment Treaty Arbitration

- Article 1(1)(c) of the Greece-Slovakia BIT, 689
- collective action clause (CAC), 688
- ‘collective action problem,’ 688
- commentary on *Poštová Banka* Award dematerialized government bonds issued under Greek Law, 714–718
- non-fulfilment of the Salini Criteria Under Article 25 of ICSID Convention, 719–720
- restrictive interpretation of ‘investment’ definition under Greece-Slovakia BIT, 710–714
- European Financial Stability Facility (EFSF), 687
- ‘Greek Bondholder Act’ (GBA), 688
- jurisdictional hurdles *Ratione Materiae* for bondholders
 - Abalcat et al. v. Argentina*, 707
 - Ambiente Ufficio S.p.A. et al. v. Argentine Republic*, 709
 - Article 1(1)(c) of the BIT, 708
 - Article 25 of the ICSID Convention, 700
 - commentary on *Poštová Banka* Award, 709–720

Deutsche Bank A.G. v. Democratic Socialist Republic of Sri Lanka, 706

Fedax v. Venezuela, 698, 705

interplay between ICSID Convention, Article 25 and ‘investment’ definition in the BITs, 697–703

Poštová Banka case, 704

Salini et al. v. Morocco, 698–699

sovereign bonds as ‘investments’ under the BIT and ICSID Convention, Article 25, 703–709
VCLT rules, 701

private sector involvement (PSI), 688
sovereign debt restructuring (SDR), 687
violations of substantive investor protection rules under BITs by the Greek PSI
bondholders, 691
exception of creditors from the process of PSI, 695
‘fair market value’ standard, 691
foreign investors, 690–691
salient features of the Greek SDR, 692–697
unilateral and retrospective insertion of CACs in Greek Law Bonds, 692–695
vote of ‘bondholders’ and presumed consent of the ‘investors,’ 696–697

H

Hong Kong International Arbitration Centre (HKIAC), 484

Horror Vacui

investment ‘in the territory of the host state’ or investors in a legal vacuum?

- ad hoc submission agreement?, 265–267
 - Article 5(1)(i) of the SCC's 2010 Arbitration Rules, 265
 - Article 25(1) of the ICSID Convention, 266
 - Article 29 VCLT and the territorial nexus, 256–264
 - investment treaties should apply to illegally annexed territories, 245–268
 - legal framework
 - Article 2(4) of the UN Charter, 249
 - Article 29 of the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties (VCLT), 248
 - Article 43 of the 1907 Hague Regulations, 250
 - deficiency of law of occupation for foreign investors, 250–251
 - Draft Articles, 247–248
 - Eastern Greenland* case, 249
 - Fourth Geneva Convention of 1949, 250
 - German Model BIT of 2009, 251
 - investments '*in its territory*,' 252
 - investment treaties and their standard requirement of 'investment in the territory of the host state,' 251–253
 - (legal) ways of territory acquisition under international law, 248–249
 - treaties generally are not terminated by hostilities, 247–248
 - procedural hurdles
 - claimant would need to establish jurisdiction, 253
 - consequences of *stricto sensu* interpretation of the territorial nexus, 255
 - investment tribunals and obligation of non-recognition under international law, 254–255
 - Ukraine-Russia Bilateral Investment Treaty (BIT), 246
- I**
- 'Incompleteness' of UNIDROIT Principles, 672–674
 - Intellectual Property (IP) rights
 - copyright, SI-547–548
 - database right, SI-548–549
 - designs
 - registered designs, SI-546–547
 - unregistered designs, SI-547
 - patents: GB and EP patents, SI-542–543
 - trademarks, SI-544–546
 - Unitary Patent system and UPC, SI-543–544
 - International arbitration
 - additional issues, 561–562
 - implications of English, Welsh and Northern Ireland court decisions, 562
 - Ireland
 - application for stay of court proceedings in favour of arbitration, 552–554
 - application to enforce and/or set aside award, 550–552
 - challenge to arbitrator's jurisdiction, 550
 - implications of Irish court decisions, 554
 - United Kingdom
 - appeal on point of law, 560–561
 - application to enforce award, 557–558
 - challenge of arbitrator, 556
 - challenging the award: serious irregularity, 559–560

- challenging the award: substantive jurisdiction, 558–559
- stay of court proceedings in favour of arbitration, 555–556
- International Arbitration in Australia 2015/2016
 - amendments to the ACICA Arbitration Rules
 - consolidation, 729–730
 - joinder, 731–732
 - law governing the arbitration agreement, 732
 - amendments to the International Arbitration Act 1974 (Cth) 2015 IAA Amendments, 725
 - clarifying the application of the IAA, 727–728
 - enforcement of non-New York Convention foreign awards, 725–726
 - presumption that arbitrations are confidential, 726–727
 - Uniform Commercial Arbitration Acts (CAAs), 724
- developments in Australian case law
 - Giedo Van Der Garde B.V. v. Sauber Motorsport A.G. (2015) V.S.C. 80*, 733–734
 - Gutnick v. Indian Farmers Fertiliser Cooperative Ltd. (2016) V.S.C.A. 5*, 734–735
 - Robotunits Pty. Ltd. v. Mennel (2015) V.S.C. 268*, 735–737
- legislative regime, 723
- International Chamber of Commerce (ICC), 481–482
- International commercial courts
 - alternative dispute resolution (ADR) processes, 469
 - ‘arbitral award,’ 467
 - arbitration and its weaknesses
 - ‘arbitigation,’ 443
 - conflict of laws, 444
 - inconvenient characteristics, 443
 - limitations, 443–444
 - broader implications
 - DIFC Arbitration Institute (DAI), 462
 - DIFC-London Court of International Arbitration (LCIA) Arbitration Centre, 462–463
 - Dispute Resolution Authority (DRA), 462
 - review outside the DIFC, 463–464
 - review within the DIFC, 462–463
 - room for misuse or harmonization?, 465–466
 - ‘conversion’ process, 468
 - converting court judgments into arbitral awards
 - defining arbitral awards, 455–457
 - defining disputes, 457–461
 - Dubai International Financial Centre (DIFC), 442
 - new initiative
 - confidentiality, 448–449
 - enforcement, 451–454
 - finality, 449–451
 - party autonomy, 445–448
 - Singapore International Commercial Court (SICC), 442
- Interplay between investment law and EU law, intra-EU BITs
 - Commission’s infringement proceedings against Romania
 - informal communication and problem-solving procedure, 336
 - penalty in respect of failure to comply, 337

- Commission's proposals for the future
 - of investment treaty dispute resolution
 - 'concept paper,' 343–344
 - possibility of mechanism, 343
- issues raised by the suggestion of termination
 - Articles 31 and 32 of the Vienna Convention, 347
 - 'interpretative monopoly,' 346–347
 - investor-state treaty arbitration, 345
 - prevent forum-shopping, 346
- Micula's* actions in response to the Commission
 - Commission's infringement proceedings and suspension injunction, 341
 - timing of issuance of arbitral proceedings, 342
- Micula v. Romania (First)* case
 - annulment proceedings, 339
 - infringement proceedings, 340
 - tax incentives, 337–338
- the way forward
 - Commission's legal arguments, 350
 - future of investment treaty arbitration, 348–349
 - 'international law commitments,' 349
- Intra-European Union BITs
 - history of foreign investment in Romania
 - EU accession process, 333
 - foreign direct investment (FDI) growth, 333
 - global financial crisis, 334
 - gross domestic product (GDP) rate, 333
 - market-oriented reforms in Romania, 332–333
 - interplay between investment law and EU law
 - Commission's infringement proceedings against Romania, 336–337
 - Commission's proposals for the future of investment treaty dispute resolution, 343–345
 - issues raised by the suggestion of termination of intra-EU BITs, 345–348
 - Micula's* actions in response to the Commission, 341–343
 - Micula v. Romania (First)* case, 337–340
 - the way forward, 348–350
 - status of investment treaty arbitration in Romania
 - Energy Charter Treaty (ECT), 334
 - ICSID arbitrations, 335
- Ireland, recent developments in international arbitration
 - application for stay of court proceedings in favour of arbitration
 - Go Code Ltd. v. Capita Business Services Ltd.*, 552–553
 - Lisheen Mine v. Mullock & Sons (Shipbrokers) Ltd. and others*, 553
 - application to enforce and/or set aside award
 - Delargy v. Hickey and another*, 550–551
 - Des Hennessy Building Contractors Ltd. v. O'Beirne*, 551
 - FBD Insurance Public Ltd. Co. v. Samwari Ltd. t/a Munster Air Compressors*, 552
 - O'Leary t/a O'Leary Lissarda v. Ryan*, 551–552
 - challenge to arbitrator's jurisdiction
 - Mayo County Council v. Joe Reilly Plant Hire Ltd.*, 550

implications of Irish court decisions, 554

J

JAMS Appeal Procedure, 189, 199
Japan Commercial Arbitration Association
(JCAA), 481

K

Kosovo
international investment agreements
Kosovo's Bilateral Investment
Treaties (BITs), 511–513
membership in international organi-
zations supports, 509–511
'obligation of non-recognition' does not
apply to Kosovo's actions, 513–514

L

Legal status of the referendum, Brexit,
SI-433–435
Liability Convention (LC)
absolute liability and fault-based liabi-
lity, 391–392
imputability of actions, 391
real or physical damage, 391
London Court of International Arbitration
(LCIA), 482–483
Lugano II Convention
Convention Depositary, SI-491
provisions to 'pay due account,'
SI-491–492
Recast Regulation, SI-490

M

MFN clauses and reform of investment law
'equality of competitive opportunities,'
427
lex specialis principle, 429–430
Maffezini tribunal, 427
Plama v. Bulgaria, 428

regional economic integration organi-
zations (REIOs), 428

UNCTAD's 2015 *World Investment
Report*, 429

MFN clauses and reform of ISDS

EU-Canada CETA, 433
Garanti Koza v. Turkmenistan, 431,
432

Impregilo v. Argentina, 431, 432

Maffezini v. Spain, 430–431

Mind map diagrams

contribution to case management,
174–175
structure, 173

Most-favoured-nation (MFN) treatment

IIA negotiations, 426

MFN clauses and reform of investment
law in general, 427–430

MFN clauses and reform of ISDS,
430–433

older investment treaties, 426

survival clauses

modes of termination of investment
treaty and impact on survival
clauses, 436–438

relevance of survival clauses, 434–435

survival clauses' impact on reform of
international investment law and
interactions with the MFN clause,
438–439

'Multi-door courthouse' concept, 380,
394–395

N

'Neutrality' of UNIDROIT Principles,
670–672

P

Patents

GB and EP patents, SI-542–543

Prejudgment interest

- claimant's *ex ante* or opportunity cost of capital
 - opportunity cost of capital, 102
 - risk-free rate, 103
- claimant's *ex post* or hindsight returns, 100
- implementation and points of agreement, 113–114
- past decisions, 107–112
 - prejudgment interest rates awarded in selected international arbitrations, 108–112
- post-judgment interest, 114
- respondent's borrowing rate
 - borrower's default risk, 104
 - potential incentives to wrongdoing, 105–106
 - time of expropriation, 103
 - risk-free interest rate, 106–107

Pro-investor interpretation of investment treaties

- 2014 UNCTAD statistics, 4
- International Investment Agreements (IIAs), 5

Proposals to regulate TPF in international arbitration

- tribunals should consider the TPF factor and order security for costs more readily
 - if the two-prong test is satisfied, the burden of proof shifts onto the claimant, 145
- potential defences by the funded party, 146
- security for costs, 139–140
- TPF factor in investment arbitration decisions to date, 141–143
- two-prong test for security for costs, 143–145
- upfront disclosure of TPF is a must

Guaracachi v. Bolivia, 136–137

Oxus v. Uzbekistan, 135–136

South American Silver v. Bolivia, 137–138

Q

Qualitative analytical models for arbitration

- analytical contributions
 - cause and effect diagrams, 172
 - mind map diagrams, 171
 - simplified evidence charts, 172
- nature of simplified evidence charts, 175–179
- role of innovation in changing arbitral practice, 172–173
- use of cause and effect diagrams, 179–182
- what are mind map diagrams, and why are they suited to international arbitration?, 173–175

R

Recast Brussels I Regulation on jurisdiction agreements

- agreements, 369
- Article 23 of the Brussels I Regulation, 369–370
- Article 25 of the Recast Regulation, 370
- Recital 22 of the Recast Regulation, 371

Receiving FDI without investment treaties

- economic attractiveness, 34
- FDI inflows, 32–33

Reinventing the Redfern

- 2012 report *Managing E-Document Production*, 354
- agree to the approach
 - e-discovery, 360
 - objective, 359
- break up the requests, 360–361
- case overview, 355

cost

- Claimant's Objection, 356–357
- IBA Rules-informed document production, 356
- ICC Commission's report *Managing E-Production*, 355
- Respondent's Redfern Schedule, 358
- document production request (DPR), 353
- play by the rules
 - IBA Guidelines on Party Representation in International Arbitration, 361–362
 - ICC Commission's report *Managing E-Production*, 362
- 'Redfern Schedules,' 354
- remember who bears the burden of proof, 363
- 'Scott Schedule,' 354

S

- Settling Disputes in the Nigerian Banking Sector
 - 2013 International Swaps and Derivatives Association (ISDA) Arbitration Guide, 570
- Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN), 563
- considerations for banks in arbitration
 - use as means of dispute resolution, 569–570
- current dispute resolution trends in
 - banking industry
 - labour matters, 566–567
 - loans and other credit arrangements, 565–566
 - regulatory issues, 568–569
 - support services, 567–568
- major challenges in arbitration use and likely mitigations
 - CBN regulations, 572

finality and enforceability of the award, 572–573

Section 57(2) of the ACA, 571

need of development of arbitration, 573–574

Simplified evidence charts

'Evidence and Arguments Key List,' 175–177

Evidence Chart for Oil and Gas Breach of Contract Case, 177–179

Singapore International Arbitration Centre (SIAC), 481

Space – The Final Frontier

Article 33 of the Charter of the United Nations, 381

consultative ADR, 382–385

draft convention on settlement of outer space disputes, 392–394

ICJ jurisdiction to adjudge disputes arising from the OST and the LC, 397–415

international adjudication and the ICJ, 388–390

Article 33 of the UN Charter, 390

Diplomatic Channels, 389

percentage of disputes, 389–390

international arbitration

five basic advantages, 385–386

general principles, 385

investor-state disputes, 388

PCA Rules, 386

state-to-state disputes, 387

Liability Convention, 391–392

'multi-door courthouse' concept, 380

outer space law (OSL), 379

proposal

'multi-door courthouse,' 394–395

screening process, 394

settlement of disputes, 379

State courts in enforcing arbitration
agreements and awards which refer
to UNIDROIT Principles,
682–684

Statoil v. NNPC

facts of the case

Nigerian National Petroleum
Corporation (NNPC), 218

production sharing contract (PSC),
218

*Statoil (Nigeria) Ltd. v. Texaco Nigeria
Outer Shelf Ltd.*, 218

tax dispute, 218

jurisdictional question

*The Law and Practice of Arbitration
and Conciliation in Nigeria*,
222

Section 6(6) of the Constitution, 221

Section 34 of the Arbitration Act,
220, 222

*Vale Do Rio Doce Navegacao Cao S.A.
v. Shanghai Bao Steel Ocean Shipping
Co. Ltd.*, 220

matter of judicial discretion

Ajuwa v. S.P.D.C. Nigeria Ltd, 224

*Alhaji Mohammed Maina Waziri v. Ibrahim
Tahir Gumel & another*, 223

M.V. Lupex v. NOCS Ltd., 224

*Vale Do Rio Doce Navegacao Cao S.A.
v. Shanghai Bao Steel Ocean Shipping
Co. Ltd.*, 225

Weissfisch v. Julius & others, 226

Survival clauses

modes of termination of investment
treaty and impact on survival
clauses

France-Kenya BIT of 2007, 437

renegotiation of treaty, 438

two-step approach to BITs termina-
tion, 436

unilateral termination of IIA, 436

relevance of survival clauses

‘expired’ or ‘soon-to-expire’ IIAs, 434

terminations of intra-EU BITs,
435

survival clauses’ impact on reform of
international investment law and
interactions with the MFN clause,
438–439

Swiss Chamber of Commerce (Swiss
Chamber), 481

Swiss Supreme Court’s Decision on
Arbitral Secretaries and
Consultants

comments

appointment, 316–318

arbitral secretary in surveys and
institutional statements,
315–316

concept of ‘consultants’ to the arbitral
tribunal, 321–322

duties, 319–320

payment, 318–319

facts of the case, 312–313

Supreme court’s reasoning

role of ‘consultants’ to arbitral tribu-
nals, 314

role of secretaries to arbitral tribunals,
313–314

roles of secretary and consultant in
the case at hand, 314–315

T

The national (GB) patent, SI-542–543

Third-party funding (TPF)

characterization, 127

concerns

conflict of interests, 120–121

control over the claim, 120

disclosure, 121

- creates imbalances that adversely affect respondents
 - TPF is likely to increase significantly respondents' costs, 130–132
 - TPF may engender frivolous and inflated claims, 129–130
- definition, 116
- growth, 126
- levelling the playing field: access to the playing field
 - frivolous claims, 117
 - unfair terms, 118
- levelling the playing field: equalizing effect
 - expertise and experience, 119
 - leverage in settlement, 119
- may adversely affect the functioning of the tribunal
 - TPF may give rise to conflicts of interest, 132–133
 - tribunal lacks control over funders, 133–134
- proposals to regulate TPF in international arbitration
 - tribunals should consider the TPF factor and order security for costs more readily, 139–146
 - upfront disclosure of TPF is a must, 135–138
- role, 127
- TPF cases on issues of disclosure and security for costs, 147–149
- Trade marks
 - registered trademarks, SI-544–546
 - unregistered trademarks (Passing Off), SI-546
- Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership (TTIP), 471, 478
- Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP), 471, 478
- Transparency as result of the 'public' nature of ISDS
 - enforcement of awards, 497–499
 - practical issues, 499
 - protecting or pursuing legal right or interest
 - China–Australia Free Trade Agreement (ChAFTA), 489–490
 - Cockatoo Dockyard* case, 491–492
 - Phillip Morris Asia Ltd. v. Commonwealth of Australia*, 489
 - public interest, 491
 - required by law or under legal instrument
 - Aarhus Convention, 495
 - Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI), 494–495
 - ISDS proceedings, 496
 - state's sovereign immunity, 493–494
- Transparency in investor state dispute settlement (ISDS)
 - background
 - ICSID Rules, 476
 - Methanex Corp. v. United States of America*, 475
 - SD Myers Inc. v. Government of Canada*, 475
 - TPP and TTIP, 477–478
 - UNCITRAL Transparency Rules, 474, 477
 - confidentiality in international commercial arbitration
 - American Arbitration Association's International Centre for Dispute Resolution (AAA-ICDR), 484–485
 - Hong Kong International Arbitration Centre (HKIAC), 484
 - identified trends, 487–488
 - International Chamber of Commerce (ICC), 481–482

London Court of International Arbitration (LCIA), 482–483
 other jurisdictions: Australia, France, Sweden, and Switzerland, 485–486
 participant opinions, 486–487
 UNCITRAL Rules, 486
 Investor State Dispute Settlement (ISDS) process, 472
 legitimate concerns
 ability to access a fair and equitable process, 479
 transparency regime for ISDS, 479
 proponents of current ISDS system, 473
 Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership (TTIP), 471
 Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP), 471
 transparency as result of the ‘public’ nature
 enforcement of awards, 497–499
 practical issues, 499
 protecting or pursuing legal right or interest, 488–493
 required by law or under legal instrument, 493–497

U

UK’s EU Referendum on intra- and extra-EU BITs. *See* Brexit
 Unamicable Separation
 Arbitration Institution of the Stockholm Chamber of Commerce (SCC), SI-459
 Brexit effect on the appointment of UK arbitrators or mediators, SI-460–461
 Brexit opens the door to suitors for London’s arbitration business
 Brexit presents marketing opportunity for competing fora, SI-459–460

growth of ICC arbitration, SI-457
 growth of international arbitration, SI-457
 ICC Report for 2015, SI-458
 stagnation of London’s share of ICC arbitrations, SI-456–459
 Brexit undermines London as a seat, SI-461–462
 formal legal framework, SI-451
 the German Institution of Arbitration (DIS), SI-459
 impact of Brexit
 diminished appeal of London seat to Europeans, SI-454–456
 ‘neutrality’ of a seat of arbitration, SI-455
 Queen’s Counsel (QCs) as arbitrators, SI-455
 uncertainty created by Brexit undermines London’s reputation as a seat within Europe, SI-453–454
 Milan Chamber of Arbitration (CAM), SI-459
 Vienna International Arbitration Centre (VIAC), SI-459
 UNIDROIT Principles
 application of UNIDROIT Principles by arbitral tribunals, 679–682
 applied in combination with state law, 675–676
 categories of Model Clauses, 654
 characterization: is given issue ‘contractual’?, 658–660
 choice of UNIDROIT Principles by the parties, 677–679
 coordinating with sources of state law, 660–661
 as exclusive source for the regulation of contractual relationship, 674–675

- and *fraude à la loi* grounds, 661–662
- ‘incompleteness,’ 672–674
- limits set out by state law to operation, 663–665
- ‘neutrality,’ 670–672
- between party autonomy and state jurisdiction, 662–663
- between party autonomy and state sovereignty, 684–686
- private international law, international arbitration and, 656–658
- with private international law lenses, 653–656
- role of state courts in enforcing arbitration agreements and awards, 682–684
- ‘soft law’ or binding custom?, 667–669
- as tool to interpret and supplement the CISG, 676–677
- wider scope of operation in international arbitration, 665–666
- Unilateral arbitration clauses (UACs)
 - benefits and areas of application, 367–368
 - comparative assessment of the approach to UACs in the EU, 376–378
 - frameworks
 - assessment of the UAC post-award, 375–376
 - direct *versus* indirect reliance, 374–375
 - EU consumer law, 371–373
 - impact of recast Brussels I Regulation on jurisdiction agreements, 369–371
 - relevant provisions of the New York Convention, 373–374
 - nature and form, 367
- Unilateral Option Arbitration Clauses (UOAC)
 - Article II(3) of the New York Convention, 366
 - description, 365
 - and UACs (*see* Unilateral arbitration clause (UAC))
 - unilateral litigation clause (ULC), 365
- Unitary Patent system and UPC, SI-543–544
- United Arab Emirates (UAE)
 - Arbitration (*see* arbitration)
 - court system, 85–86
 - DIFC, 86–87
 - legal system, 84–85
 - UAE Federal Civil Procedure Law (CPL), 84
- United Kingdom, recent developments in international arbitration
 - appeal on point of law, 560–561
 - application to enforce award
 - Frontier Agriculture Ltd. v. Bratt Brothers (a firm)*, 557
 - IPCO (Nigeria) Ltd. v. Nigerian National Petroleum Corp.*, 557
 - London Steamship Owners’ Mutual Insurance Association Ltd. v. Kingdom of Spain and another*, 557–558
 - Malicorp Ltd. v. Government of the Arab Republic of Egypt and others*, 558
 - Tesco (Ireland) Ltd. v. Moffett and others*, 557
 - challenge of arbitrator
 - Sierra Fishing Co. and others v. Farran and others*, 556
 - challenging the award: serious irregularity
 - B.V. Scheepswerf Damen Gorinchem v. Marine Institute*, 560

- Maass v. Musion Events Ltd. and others*,
560
- Secretary of State for the Home
Department v. Raytheon Systems
Ltd.*, 559–560
- challenging the award: substantive
jurisdiction
- Hellenic Petroleum Cyprus Ltd. v
Premier Maritime Ltd.*
558–559
- K v. S*, 558
- stay of court proceedings in favour of
arbitration
- Harms Bergung Transport and
Heavylift GmbH & Co. K.G. v.
Harms Offshore AHT 'Uranus'*
GmbH & Co. K.G. and others,
556
- OOO Abbott and another v. Econowall
UK Ltd. and others*, 556
- Philpott and another v. Lycee Francais
Charles De Gaulle School*, 555
- Standard Chartered Bank (Hong Kong)
Ltd. and another v. Independent
Power Tanzania Ltd. and others*,
556
- Trunk Flooring Ltd. v. HSBC
Asset Finance (UK) Ltd.*,
555–556
- Unwired Planet International Ltd. v.
Huawei Technologies Co. Ltd. and
others*, 555