

Subject Index

A

Advanced Regulatory Cooperation
 equivalency recognition for goods
 free trade areas and customs
 unions, 80–82
 multilateral framework, 78–80
 mutually frustrating experience
 with mutual recognition, 77–78
 equivalency recognition for services
 and service providers
 economic integration agreements,
 84–88
 multilateral framework for
 qualifications, licenses, and
 certifications, 82–84
PTAs
 developed territories, 75–76
 domestic regulation in,
 73–75
 supportive institutional mechanisms
 for mutual recognition
 form of institutional facilitation, 89
 MFN relation to institutional
 cooperation, 89–90
Agency for the Cooperation of Energy
 Regulators (ACER), 439
Aktionsgemeinschaft Recht und Eigentum v.
 Commission (ARE)
 action, 364
 AG Jacobs, 372
 case law, 365

GC's ruling in, 363

The ASEAN model, 24–27

Australia–New Zealand Closer Economic
 Relations Trade Agreement
 (ANZCERTA), 31,
 114–115

Australia–US Free Trade Agreement
 (AUSFTA), 31

Autorité de régulation des
 communications électroniques et des
 postes (ARCEP), 432

B

'BITs cases', 455, 456

Body of European Regulators for
 Electronic Communications
 (BEREC), 438–439

C

Canada–European Union Trade,
 Environment, Technology Exchange
 (TETE), 1

Capital transfers provisions
 Austria's claim, 457
 BITs, 456
 CJEU, 456
 EU IIAs, 456–457
 REIO clause, 457

CCP. . *See See* Common commercial
 policy (CCP)

Circumventing primacy of EU law

- CJEU, 180
- and inter-se treaties of the Member States
 - eyes of 'federalists', 191–193
 - lenses of public international law, 185–190
 - preliminary remarks, 182–185
- intra-EU BITs, 180–181
- intra-EU investment arbitration clause and exclusive jurisdiction of the CJEU
 - Article 258, 199–200
 - Article 17(1) TEU, 195
 - Article 19 TEU, 195
 - Article 267 TFEU, 195, 196–199
 - Article 30 VCLT, 193–194
 - jurisdictional monopoly, 201–205
 - objectives, 194
 - standard arbitration clause in Member State BIT, 194–195
- investment tribunals, 205–206
- Coherence and consistency
 - AG Jaaskinen, 465–466
 - Commission v. Slovakia*, 465
 - FET standard, 466
 - 'internal' EU law expropriation rules and EU IIAs, 466
 - mutual recognition rule, 467
 - non-discriminatory and transparent measures, 467
 - Slovakia-Switzerland BIT, 466
- Commission v. Kronoply/Kronotex*. See Private parties in the area of state aids
- Common commercial policy (CCP), 207–208
- Compatibility of future EU investment agreements
 - dispute settlement
 - characteristic of IIAs, 467–468
 - CJEU's case law, 469
 - EEA Agreement, 469
 - Energy Charter Treaty, 470–471
 - EU IIAs, 469–470
 - explicitly recognized, 468
 - extra-EU, 468–469
 - International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes (ICSID), 468
 - intra-EU BITs, 468
 - investor-State arbitration, 469
- EU investment policy establishment
 - FET, 449
 - free trade agreements (FTAs), 449
 - materializing, 449–450
 - Member State Bilateral Investment Treaties (BITs), 448–449
 - Most-Favoured-Nation (MFN) Treatment and National Treatment, 449
- expropriation provisions
 - charter on fundamental rights, Article 17, 464–465
 - coherence and consistency, 465–467
- Foreign Direct Investment (FDI), 447
- 'foreign investment'
 - 'investment', 452–453
 - 'investor', 454–455
- integrating union agreements within EU law
 - academic literature and practice, 450
 - Article 216(2) TFEU, 452
 - CJEU, 452
 - self-explanatory, 450
 - Union acquis, 450
 - union agreements and primary, 450–452
- 'internal' Union rules, 471
- international investment policy, 447
- standards of treatment and capital transfers provisions
 - 'BITs cases', 455

- capital transfers provisions, 456–457
- Commission v. Slovakia*, 455–456
- fair and equitable treatment, 460–463
- most-favoured-nation and national treatment, 458–460
- umbrella clauses, 463
- Comprehensive Economic and Trade Agreement (CETA). . *See See* Preferential trading agreements (PTAs)
- Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU)
 - Advocate General (AG) Kokott, 383
 - Angonese* case, 384
 - Articles 56 and 101 TFEU, 388–389
 - FAPL v. Pub landlords*, 382
 - Grand Chamber of the European Court, 381
 - judging of the pricing strategy, 387
 - Meca-Medina* case, 391
 - national law, 386

D

- Digital rights management (DRM)
 - end user license agreements (EULAs), 62
 - international trade-related provisions, 65
 - NAFTA and TRIPS, 65
 - ‘paracopyrights’, 63
 - physical security devices, 63–64
 - preliminary issue, 63
 - rights management information (RMI), 62
 - technological protection measures (TPMs), 62
 - WIPO Internet Treaties’ provisions, 64
- Dolphin Protection Consumer Information Act (DPCIA), 130
- DRM. *See* Digital rights management (DRM)

E

- EEA. *See* European Economic Area Agreement (EEA)
- End user license agreements (EULAs), 62
- Energy Community (EnC). *See* Energy Community as a Promoter of the European Union
- Energy Community as a Promoter of the European Union
 - accession of Ukraine and Moldova to the EnC, 354
 - the EU ‘Energy Acquis’
 - to eastern neighbouring countries, 354–355
 - implemented via the EnC framework (*see* The EU ‘Energy Acquis’)
 - legal system of eastern neighbouring countries (*see* The EU ‘Energy Acquis’)
 - in Ukraine and Moldova (*see* The EU ‘Energy Acquis’)
 - the EU energy policy, 354
- Europeanization of
 - multi-dimensional and complex phenomenon, 332–333
 - third countries, 331–332
 - external dimension of the EU’s energy policy, 333–336
- Enhanced Regulatory Cooperation in the Canada, 1–2
- ENP. . *See See* European Neighbourhood Policy (ENP)
- Environmental labelling
 - broader standards, 144–146
 - eco-labelling, 128
 - factual background
 - Dolphin Protection Consumer Information Act (DPCIA), 130
 - ‘dolphin-safe tuna’ and ‘non-dolphin-safe tuna’, 131

- ETP, 129–130
- GATT Panel's Report, 130
- Tuna/Dolphin* case, 130–131
- 'likeness' in ARTICLE 2.1 TBTs
 - Article III:4 GATT, 138–139
 - border tax adjustments analysis, 141
 - definition, 140
 - US–Clove Cigarettes* case, 139
- more trade restrictive than necessary, 142–144
- NPR-PPMs
 - eco-labels, 138
 - 'product-related' or 'incorporated' PPMs, 135
 - technical regulation, 136
 - US measures, 137
- TBT Agreement, 127–128
- technical regulation or standard
 - Article 2 TBT, 131–132
 - 'dolphin-safe' label, 133, 135
 - labelling schemes, 133–134
 - Sardines* and *Tuna* measures, 134
 - US labelling scheme, 132
 - 'voluntary' labelling schemes, 134
- trade obligations *versus* policy, 129
- US–Tuna II* Panel Report, 128
- Equivalency recognition for goods
 - free trade areas and customs unions, 80–82
 - multilateral framework
 - Article 4 SPS and Article 6.3 TBT, 79
 - Article 2.7, TBT Agreement provision, 80
 - Article XXIV GATT provisions, 78–79
 - WTO MFN application, 78
 - mutually frustrating experience with mutual recognition
 - 'bottom-up' recognition momentum, 77
 - MRA mechanism, 77
 - WTO commitment schedules, 78
- Equivalency recognition for services and service providers
 - economic integration agreements
 - Article VI.4 GATS, 86
 - Article VII GATS, 84
 - Article XVIII commitments, 85–86
 - excluded from PTA recognition activity, 88
 - pre-substantive phase, 87
 - multilateral framework for qualifications, licenses, and certifications, 82–84
- EU citizenship and fundamental rights
 - AG Mengozzi's view, 279
 - cross-border argument, 278–279
 - and *Dereci*
 - AG, 283
 - AG Bot's, 284–285
 - AG Mengozzi, 285
 - cross-border situation, 286
 - Darren Omoregie*, 285
 - ECtHR, 285
 - ERT case-law, 284
 - 'genuine enjoyment of the substance of the rights', 282
 - Ivanna Scattolon*, 283–284
 - Metock*, 286
 - MRAX*, 286
 - 'paradoxical' situation, 286
 - Ruiz Zambrano*, 283
 - transboundary situation, 286
 - Yoshikazu Iida*, 284
 - description, 273
 - ECJ, 274–275
 - implications, 287–288
 - Martínez Sala*, 275–276
 - McCarthy*, 277–278
 - relation
 - AG Sharpston, 281
 - Article 20 TFEU, 280
 - ECJ, 280, 281–282
 - Josep Peñarroja Fa, 282
 - logical consequence, 281

- Treaty of Lisbon, 281
- Treaty of Maastricht, 280–281
- Rottmann*, 276–277
- Ruiz Zambrano*, 277
- “substance of rights” doctrine, 279
- US Bill application, 289
- EU energy policy
 - Article 194 TFEU codifies, 250–251
 - ‘closer’ and/or later ‘enhanced cooperation’, 251
 - differentiation
 - authorization process, 254
 - CFSP and Article 329 TFEU, 254
 - Common Commercial Policy (CCP) or competition, 254
 - cooperation agreements, 254
 - EREGG, 252
 - European Commission, 254
 - flexibility, 252–253
 - Inter-Governmental Conference (IGC), 253
 - inter se agreements, 255–256
 - partial agreements, 254–255
 - pre-emption, 255
 - Regional Initiatives, 252, 256
 - Treaty-based enhanced cooperation, 253
 - Treaty-provided tool, Amsterdam Treaty, 253
 - ‘enhanced cooperation mechanism’, 250
- EURATOM Treaty, 250
- gas security of supply policy, 264–271
- harmonization and simplification of
 - licensing procedures, 271
- mixed agreement appears, 272
- nuclear policy, 256–264
- risk of institutional paralysis, 250
- Schengen regime, 271
- sector inquiry of 2007, 250
- short-run differentiation, 251
- EU gas security of supply policy
 - description, 264
 - different domestic preferences, 264
 - external dimension
 - legal feasibility, 268–271
 - proposition, 266–268
 - internal dimension, 265–266
 - third energy package in 2009, 265
- EU IIAs. . *See See* EU-international investment agreements (EU IIAs)
- EU-international investment agreements (EU IIAs)
 - and third states
 - CJEU, 216
 - European Schools* case, Article 267 TFEU, 219–220
 - Mox Plant* case, Article 344 TFEU, 216–217
 - opinion 1/09, 218–219
- EU international investment treaties
 - Eastern Sugar v. Czech Republic*, 153, 154
 - Eureko v. Slovakia*, 154
 - extra-EU BITs, 154–155
 - intra-EU BITs, 153–154
 - local remedies, 155
- EU law development
 - interpretation, 436–437
 - market to political independence
 - Article 28 of Directive 95/94/EC, 434
 - European liberalization directives, 435
 - financial sectors, 434–435
 - independent monetary policy, 435
 - legislative and executive branches of government, 433–434
 - Recital 94 and Article 30, 434
- EU nuclear policy
 - EURATOM Treaty, 257
 - fuel cycle, 257
 - Fukushima power station, 256–257
 - rationale and legal feasibility
 - legal assessment, 261–264
 - proposition, 260–261

- reactor design
 - legal feasibility, 259
 - proposition, 258
- Euro crisis
 - editorials, 298
 - EU rescue mechanism of 60 billion euros, 298
 - European Central Bank in the course, 299
 - production of legal and paralegal instruments, 297–298
 - situation to mature, 298
 - Temporary Rescue Facility of 440 billion euros, 298–299
 - Treaty to the Rescue Mechanism and the Facility, 299
- European Central Bank (ECB), 422
- European Economic Area Agreement (EEA)
 - EU bilateral agreements, Switzerland, 332
 - institutional structure of, 335
 - quasi supranational legal system model, 334
- European Food Safety Authority (EFSA), 426
- Europeanization
 - of the EU's eastern neighbouring countries, 354
 - multi-dimensional and complex phenomenon, 332–333
 - third countries, 331–332
 - of the Ukrainian and Moldovan judiciary, 348
- European Neighbourhood Policy (ENP)
 - Eastern Partnership (EaP), 335, 346
- European PTAS, 117–119
- European supervisory authorities
 - characteristic, 426–427
 - European Food Safety Authority (EFSA), 426
 - specialization and expertise, 425–426
- European Union's 'Dynamic Energy Acquis', 340–342
- Europe's international investment policy
 - European Policy Debate
 - Commission's opening Communication, 304–306
 - Commission's Response to the Parliament's Resolution, 310–312
 - Council's, 306–307
 - Parliament's Resolution, 307–310
 - existing Member State BITs and international best practices
 - Austria–Egypt Agreement for the Promotion and Protection of Investments, Article 4, 324–325
 - Commission and the Council, 322–323
 - the 1967 draft OECD Convention on the Protection of Foreign Property, 323
 - expropriation provisions, 325
 - and FTA practice outside of Europe since 2004, 328
 - language adoption, 325–326
 - main concerns, 323–324
 - Parliament's Resolution, 324
 - states in Asia and Oceania, drafting techniques, 328
 - the United States, Article III(1), 327
 - US treaty practice, 327–328
 - investment treaties and the European BIT experience, 312–316
 - issues in the European debate, 304
 - Member States' BITs, 303–304
 - model investment treaty text
 - adoption of, 317
 - Commission's, 316–317
 - Commission's and Council's pursuit of policies, 318–319
 - Commission's negotiating flexibility, 321–322
 - development of, 321

formulation of coherent, 320
 Framework Agreement on
 Relations, Commission and
 Parliament, 320–321
 ministries, 317–318
 states the formulation of a draft
 treaty text, 319–320
 time-consuming process, 322
 United States, executive branch,
 318
 principal European policy-making
 organs, 303
 Treaties on investment, characteristics,
 302–303
 Treaty on the Functioning of the
 European Union (TFEU), 301–302
 The EU–South Korea FTA, 22–24
 Exclusive licensing system of FAPL
 CJEU, 381
 FAPL, 382
FAPL v. Pub landlords, 382
 free movement and competition
 Articles 56 and 101 TFEU,
 388–389
 CJEU, 390
 contractual clauses under Article
 101 TFEU, 390
 horizontal direct effect of Article
 56, 389–390
 made for granting horizontal direct
 effect, 389
 Meca-Medina case, 391
 horizontal effect of Article 56 TFEU
 CJEU, 384
 Court, 384–385
 FAPL, 385–386
 functionalist usage of, 385
 national provisions restriction,
 383–384
 Walrave and Koch case, 385
 infringement of Article 56 TFEU, 383
 justification, 386–388
Walrave and Koch, 381

F

Fair and equitable treatment (FET)
 Advocate General, 462
 Commission v. Slovakia, 462
 EU international agreements, 449
 EU law, 460–461
 linkages beg, 463
 non-discrimination standards, 460
 post-accession and Treaty rules, 461
 state-aid rules and EU competition,
 461
 violation of a broadly/vaguely,
 461–462
 FAPL. . *See See* Football Association
 Premier League Ltd (FAPL)
 FDI. . *See See* Foreign Direct Investment
 (FDI)
 FET. . *See See* Fair and equitable
 treatment (FET)
 Football Association Premier League Ltd
 (FAPL)
 Article 56 TFEU, 386
 under Article 101 TFEU, 388
 Court, 385–386
 justifications, 383
 private party, 387–388
 protection of intellectual property
 rights, 387
 rights of the Premier League matches,
 382
 v. Pub landlords, 382
 Foreign Direct Investment (FDI), 302,
 447
 Free trade agreements (FTAs)
 BITs, sign, 302–303
 negotiations, India, Canada and
 Singapore, 320–321
 FTAs. . *See See* Free trade agreements
 (FTAs)

G

GATS regime
 disciplines on national schemes, 32

Doha Round negotiations, 33–34
 mutual recognition agreements
 (MRAs), 31–32
 national schedule, 33
 Green tariff
 definition, 348–349
 terms and conditions, 349

I

Implementing International Trade

Agreements in Federal Systems
 Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects
 of Intellectual Property Rights
 (TRIPS), 52
 Anti-Counterfeiting Trade Agreement
 (ACTA), 52
 complex negotiations, 51–52
 data exclusivity
 Court of Appeal's characterization,
 62
 CPGA v. Canada casae, 59–60
 The Data Protection Regulation,
 58
 '8 + 2 + 1' formula for data
 protection, 59
 impugned provisions or regulations,
 61
 issues, 57
 MacDonald v. Vapor casae, 60
 technological aspect of
 pharmaceutical production,
 57–58
 'Trade Secrets', 58
 DRM
 end user license agreements
 (EULAs), 62
 international trade-related
 provisions, 65
 NAFTA and TRIPS, 65
 'paracopyrights', 63
 physical security devices, 63–64
 preliminary issue, 63

rights management information
 (RMI), 62
 technological protection measures
 (TPMs), 62
 WIPO Internet Treaties' provisions,
 64
 enforceability of CETA, 52
 geographic indications
 Articles 22–24 of TRIPS, 66
 Constitution Act, 1867, 65
 Kirkbi AG v. Ritvik Holdings Inc.
 case, 67
 subsection 7(d) of the Trade-marks
 Act, 67–68
 'VQA' system of quality assurance,
 66
 technology-related topics, 53
 treaty implementation in Canada
 Constitution Act, 1867, 53
 federal and provincial powers,
 54–56
 Labour Conventions principle, 56
 *Re Regulation and Control of Radio
 Communication in Canada*,
 53–54
 Independent supervisory agencies
 Article 267 TFEU, 420
 'autonomous' or 'semi-independent',
 420
 banking sector, 421–422
 core academic and policy issue, 421
 description, 419–420
 ECJ, 444
 energy and electronic communications
 sectors, 444
 EU law development
 interpretation, 436–437
 market to political independence,
 433–435
 European Central Bank (ECB), 422
 *European Commission v. Federal Republic
 of Germany*, 422

- European independence requirements, 443
 - European supervisory agencies, 421
 - European supervisory authorities
 - characteristic, 426–427
 - European Food Safety Authority (EFSA), 426
 - specialization and expertise, 425–426
 - fragility of independence
 - Autorité de régulation des communications électroniques et des postes (ARCEP), 432
 - Commission v. Federal Republic of Germany*, 432
 - Dutch Trade and Industry Appeals Tribunal annulled, 433
 - French telecommunications regulator, 432
 - Member States, 431
 - one-cap-fits-all model, 431
 - traditional distinctions, 431–432
 - functional independence, 429–430
 - market and political independence, 427–428
 - Member States, 420–421
 - Meroni* case law, 421
 - Meroni* principle, 444–445
 - national supervisory authorities, 424–425
 - new energy and electronic communications, 443
 - observations, 430–431
 - requirements of European supervisory authorities
 - independence from the commission, 441–443
 - independence from the member states, 440–441
 - independence requirements, 440
 - networks to agencies, 438–440
 - requirements of political independence, 421
 - types
 - economic regulators, 422
 - financial supervisory authorities, 423
 - NRAs, 422–423
 - treats EU agencies, 423–424
 - International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes (ICSID) Convention
 - arbitration rules
 - arbitration rules, availability, 215
 - availability, 214–215
 - European Parliament (EP), 211–212
 - non-availability, 212–214
 - EU's problems, 211
 - Investor-state arbitration in EU
 - international investment agreements and third states
 - Article 207(1) TFEU, 207–208
 - Article 267 TFEU, 221
 - Commission's Communication, 209–211
 - EU IIAs, 208–209
 - in EU IIAs with third states
 - Article 267 TFEU and the *European Schools* case, 219–220
 - Article 344 TFEU and the *Mox Plant* case, 216–217
 - CJEU, 216
 - opinion 1/09, 218–219
 - problem with ICSID
 - arbitration rules, availability, 215
 - availability, 214–215
 - European Parliament (EP), 211–212
 - non-availability, 212–214
- L**
- Liability of the EU when infringing WTO law
 - case law
 - Biret*, 402–403

- caveats, 405–406
- Dorsch Consult*, 405
- FIAMM and Fedon judgments, 403–405
- Portugal v. Council*, 404
- ‘reasonable period of time’, 403
- conceivable WTO objections to compensation, 413–417
- criticism
 - rejection of liability for unlawful conduct, 406
 - rejection of no-fault liability, 406–410
- statutory compensation scheme, 410–413
- Local Remedies Rule in European BITs
 - critical role, 223–224
 - developed and developing states, traditional antagonism, 247
 - exhaustion of, 246–247
 - international investment law, 246
 - investment arbitration
 - bilateral investment relations, 243–245
 - dispute settlement, 239–243
 - investor-state arbitration and reverse discrimination, 238–239
 - modern investment regime, 246
 - neutral dispute settlement
 - developed and developing states, 230
 - European Parliament’s Report, 226
 - ICSID Convention, 227–228
 - Loewen v. United States* award, 228–229
 - Saipem v. Bangladesh*, 229
 - prism of inequalities of power, 224–225
 - supplementary mechanism
 - ECHR model of supplementarity, 232
 - European investors’, 232–233
 - European Parliament’s proposal, 233–234
 - ICSID Convention and state parties, 231
 - national remedies in developing states, 234
 - tribunals, 234
 - vagueness and ambiguity of international rules, 235–237
- M**
 - Member State Bilateral Investment Treaties (BITs), 448–449
 - Most-favoured-nation (MFN) and national treatment
 - activities and economic sectors, 460
 - EU FTAs, 459
 - EU IIAs, 458–459
 - EU international agreements, 449
 - non-discrimination standards, 458
 - regulatory models, 459
 - REIO exception, 460
 - Mutual recognition agreements (MRAs), 31–32
- N**
 - NAFTA. *See* North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA)
 - National supervisory authorities, 424–425
 - New West Partnership Trade Agreement (NWPTA), 14–16
 - Non-tariff barriers to trade (NTBs), 109
 - North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA), 31, 110–114, 324, 327
- P**
 - Pan-Canadian Agreement on Internal Trade (AIT), 14–15
 - Partnership and Cooperation Agreement (PCA), 346

- PCA. *See* Partnership and Cooperation Agreement (PCA)
- Permanent High Level Group (PHLG), 335–336, 341
- PHLG. . *See* Permanent High Level Group (PHLG)
- Preferential trading agreements (PTAs)
- Australia–New Zealand Closer Economic Relationship Agreement (ANZCERTA), 31
 - Australia–US Free Trade Agreement (AUSFTA), 31
 - Canada and FTAS, 99–100
 - CETA
 - commercial preferences, 106
 - EU–Chile FTA, 104–105
 - EU–Korea FTA, 102–103
 - FTA provisions, 101
 - negotiations, 100
 - non-binding commitment, 101
 - standard-making systems, 105
 - WTO TBT Agreement, 103–104
 - challenges to developing international disciplines for services regulation
 - autonomous liberalization, 41
 - information sharing and gradual trust building, 43–44
 - interrelated factors, 40–41
 - protracted and resource-intensive process, 43
 - regulators and non-trade officials, 42–43
 - services barriers, 42
 - services trade liberalization, 44
 - Comprehensive Economic and Trade Agreement (CETA), 31
 - developed territories, 75–76
 - Doha Round, 30
 - domestic regulation in, 73–75
 - EU and FTAS, 97–98
 - GATS regime
 - disciplines on national schemes, 32
 - Doha Round negotiations, 33–34
 - mutual recognition agreements (MRAs), 31–32
 - national schedule, 33
 - North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA), 31
 - services rules
 - AUSFTA, 35
 - GATS–*minus* elements, 34
 - NAFTA and the ANZCERTA Services Protocol, 35–36
 - safeguards, 37
 - sectoral approaches, 40
 - separate investment disciplines, 38–40
 - standstills and ratchets, 37–38
 - Trans-Tasman Mutual Recognition Arrangement, 36
 - stronger services commitments
 - ANZCERTA Services Protocol, 45
 - Canadian federalism, 47
 - export interests, 47–48
 - factors, 44–45
 - well-developed regulatory regimes, 46
 - transparency in practice and in debates, 94–95
 - and transparency provisions, 95–96
- Private parties in the area of state aids
- consequences of the *Kronoply* Appeal, 377–378
- Cook and Matra*
- Aktionsgemeinschaft Recht und Eigentum v. Commission (ARE)*, 363–364
 - applicants qualifying as ‘interested parties’, 364
 - British Aggregates(BAA)*, 365–366
 - conditions of *locus standi* to challenge, 364
 - Court, 363
 - GC, 362
 - individual aid and aid regimes, 366
 - interested parties, 361

- Stadtwerke Schwäbisch Hall GmbH*, 365
- Thermenhotel*, 362
- violation of the applicants' procedural rights, 361–362
- Court and the Commission, 358
- ECJ, 357
- Jègo-Querè ruling, 358
- judgment of the ECJ, 370–371
- judgment of the GC, 366–368
- legal context, 358–361
- opinion of the Advocate General, 368–369
- simplification of the *Kronoply* Appeal and Limits, 374–377
- simplify the Cook and Matra case law, 371–374
- Public international law
- Article 30 VCLT, 186–187
- Article 30(2) VCLT, 187–190
- Article 30(3) VCLT, 190
- EU characterization, 185
- intra-EU BITs, 186
- R**
- Regulatory cooperation in 'new generation' economic and trade agreements
- Canada-EU CETA negotiations, 122–124
- description, 109
- lessons from existing PTAs
- Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN), 116
- Australia-New Zealand Closer Economic Relations Trade Agreement (ANZCERTA), 114–115
- environmental cooperation agreements, 119–122
- European PTAs, 117–119
- North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA), 110–114
- Southern Common Market (MERCOSUR), 116–117
- US-Australia FTA, 115–116
- non-tariff barriers to trade (NTBs), 109
- preferential trade agreements (PTAs), 109
- Regulatory cooperation to remove NTBs in products and Canadian provinces
- federal/provincial competence, 18
- New West Partnership Trade Agreement (NWPTA), 14–16
- NTB removal commitments, 14
- pan-Canadian Agreement on Internal Trade (AIT), 14–15
- principles for NTB elimination, 16–17
- TIEA negotiations, 14
- challenges to reconciling the EU and North American approaches
- CETA attempt, 12
- grant products market access, 11
- society risk approach, 11
- 'strong regulatory component', 10–11
- trade-inhibiting aspect, 13
- deeper regulatory cooperation in new generation PTAs
- 'behind the border' differences, 7
- CETA negotiations, 10
- PTA provisions, 9
- TBT and SPS Agreements, 8
- trends, 9
- defined, 5
- described, 6–7
- the Joint Cooperation Committee, 4
- launch of CETA negotiations, 6
- 'legitimate objectives', 4
- product standards and technical regulations, 7–13
- relevance for the CETA negotiations
- the ASEAN model, 24–27

commentators, 20
 divided opinions, 20–21
 the EU–South Korea FTA, 22–24
 standards harmonization and
 mutual recognition, 19
 TBT and SPS measures, 19
 The US–Australia Free Trade
 Agreement (AUSFTA), 21–22
 trade-impeding measures, 5
 REIO clause, 457
 Rejection of no-fault liability, violation
 of WTO law
 ‘a sufficiently serious breach’, 406–407
 cautiousness, 408–409
 cost of non-implementation, 408
 FIAMM and Fedon cases, 409–410
 ‘freedom to conduct a business’, 409
 French principle of ‘rupture de
 l’égalité devant les charges
 publiques’, 407
 indemnification, 408
 ‘minority solution’, 407
 ‘power-based’ to ‘rule-based
 diplomacy’, 408
 ‘safety margin’, 407
 settlement system, 409
 trivializes, 408
 Report from the WTO
 LAD Turns 30
 analytical index, 394
 Article IX:2 of the WTO
 Agreement, 396
 director fired, 394
 Director-General under Article 8(7)
 DSU, 395
 far-fetched idea, 395
 international organizations,
 393–394
 issues paper, 394
 ‘political precedents’, 394
 principles of justice and
 international law, 395
 prominent legal scholars, 394–395

Rules Division, 396–397
 self-evident requirements of legal
 professionalism, 393
 ‘the anti-legal culture’, 393
 Vienna Convention, 395
 ‘wall of fame’ and ‘Centre William
 Rappard’, 397
 Rights management information (RMI),
 62

S

Schengen agreement, 251, 253
 Southern Common Market
 (MERCOSUR), 116–117
 Statutory compensation scheme,
 violation of WTO law
 EC Banana, 410
 EC Hormones, 410–411
 EU’s political institutions designing,
 410
 exceptional solutions, 411
 extra trade compensation, 412
 financial compensation, 412–413
 ‘indirect expropriation’ or ‘regulatory
 takings’, 411
 Lisbon Treaty on international
 investments, 411
 NAFTA, 411
 non-compliant behaviour, 412
 US Cotton Subsidies, 412

T

Technological protection measures
 (TPMs), 62
 TEnC. *See* Treaty establishing the
 Energy Community (TEnC)
 TETE project, 1–2
 TFEU. *See* Treaty on the Functioning of
 the European Union (TFEU)
 The EU ‘Energy Acquis’
 application in Ukraine and Moldova
 association agreements, under EU
 law, 351–353

- constitutional foundations, 344–345
- governments and administrative agencies, 348–350
- Judiciaries, 346–348
- eastern neighbouring countries, 354–355
- external dimension of the EU's energy policy, 333–336
- implemented via the EnC framework and eastern neighbouring countries, energy cooperation, 337
- energy security, 337
- legal system of eastern neighbouring countries
 - application of fundamental principles of EU law, 339–340
 - decisions of the EnC's institutions and dispute settlement, 342–343
 - 'Dynamic Energy Acquis', 340–342
 - 'Energy Acquis' to be implementation and application, 338–339
- Treaty establishing the Energy Community (TEEnC)
 - on adoption of the EU 'dynamic energy acquis', 341
 - Article 90–93, 342–343
 - Article 6 and Article 7, 339
 - eastern neighbourhood countries under, 339
 - EU 'energy acquis', 340
 - party, 336
 - Protocol of Ukraine's accession, 337
 - sign, 334
 - in Ukraine and Moldova
 - elements of the EU 'energy acquis', 345
 - legal systems, 354
 - national constitutional laws, 344
- Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU)
 - common commercial policy, 308, 318

- exclusive competence, 301–302
- horizontal effect of Article 56, 383–386
- infringement of Article 56, 383

U

- United Nations Commission on International Trade Law (UNCITRAL)
 - arbitration rules, 215
 - ICSID, 212
- United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS), 216–217
- The US–Australia Free Trade Agreement (AUSFTA), 21–22, 115–116

V

- Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties (VCLT), Art. 30 and 59
 - automatic termination, 163–165
 - BIT provisions and EU law, 173–174
 - Commission, 174–175
 - Eastern Sugar* and *Eureko*, 174
 - ECJ Jurisdiction, 176–177
 - effect of successive treaties, 162–163
 - EU law, protection, 176
 - Eureko* tribunal, 175
 - intra-EU BITs, 158
 - on intra-EU BITs, *Eastern Sugar* and *Eureko*, 159–160
 - investment law and EU law, 157–158
 - investor-state arbitration and EU law, 175
 - Later Treaty, 172–173
 - primacy of EU law argument, 161–162
 - 'same subject matter' test
 - BIT and TEU, 167–168
 - Eastern Sugar*, 172
 - EU fundamental rights protection, 170
 - Eureko* tribunal, 171–172

- European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR), 170–171
- EU's non-discrimination rules, 168–169
- Japanese Alcoholic Beverages* case, 165–166
- Member States, 171
- non-precluded measures, 169–170
- 'pre-establishment phase', 167
- Violation of WTO Law
 - conceivable WTO objections to compensation
 - WTO dispute settlement system, 413–414
 - WTO subsidy rules, 415–417
 - criticism
 - rejection of liability for unlawful conduct, 406
 - rejection of no-fault liability, 406–410
 - FIAMM and Fedon judgments, 417
 - liability of the EU when infringing WTO law
 - case law, 402–406
 - conceivable WTO objections to compensation, 413–417
 - criticism, 406–410
 - statutory compensation scheme, 410–413
 - no-fault legislation, 418
 - rules-based trading system, 418
 - WTO Agreements in EU law, 400–402