

Subject Index

A

Aarhus Convention and access to justice

Article 10a, Environmental Impact
Assessment (EIA) Directive, 262

Article 15a, Integrated Pollution
Prevention and Control (IPPC)
Directive, 262–263

Article 9(2) of the Convention, 262,
264

Article 9(3) of the Convention, 263
background, 261–262
Directive 2003/35/EC, 262

Abusive litigation, fears of, 303

Access to justice and collective interests
of consumers

cross-border litigation, 246–247

Eurobarometer survey, 244

German Capital Markets Test Case
procedure, 246

Group Litigation Order (GLO), 246

legal certainty, 245

‘loser pays’ principle, 246

US class action system, 245

Advertising restrictions *versus* the

freedom to provide services

distortion of competition, 312–314

European Union Law

equal treatment, 309–310

freedom to provide services, 310

justifications and proportionality,
310–312

minimum harmonization, 308–309

facts, 306–308

Alternative dispute resolution (ADR)

mechanisms, 220

Analysis of the Courts’ Jurisprudence

African RTAs Secretary Generals, 113

community treaty provisions and laws,
114

diplomacy and geopolitical solutions,
112

ECOWAS Court Protocol, 110–111

intra-community trading, 112–113

national state authorities, 113

Non-Tariff Barriers (NTBs), 111

Article 9(3): no transposition, CJEU’s

intervention, and the future

prospects

approach on legal standing of NGOs,
269

duty of consistent interpretation, 268

section III of the Recommendation,
271

‘soft law’ option, 270

VLK case, 267

Article 9(2): problematic transposition

and the CJEU’s clarifications

Aarhus Convention and Directive

2003/35/EC, 264

Article 10a of the EIA, 266

Djurgården case, 265

EIA or IPPC Directives, 267

- requirement of 'wide access to justice', 265
- Trianel* case, 265
- Article 114 TFEU
- harmonization, 129
 - Short Selling Regulation, 131
 - Single Market's legal framework, 128
- Article V of the GATS
- Article V:1, 135–136
 - Article XXIV, 135
 - Committee on Regional Trade Agreements (CRTA), 138
 - Panel's Report of *Canada-Autos*, 136–137
- Audiovisual Media Services Directive (AMSD). *See* Advertising restrictions *versus* the freedom to provide services
- Audio-visual services in EU Trade Negotiations
- cultural exception and
 - current EU practice, 369–370
 - too narrow at cross-sectoral level, 370–372
 - too wide at sectoral level, 372–373
 - cultural pluralism and
 - Japan-India RTA, 384–386
 - Japan-Switzerland RTA, 380–383
 - US and Canada IN NAFTA, 375–377
 - US-Korea RTA (KORUS), 378–380
 - protecting cultural pluralism, 374
 - technological evolutions aggravate both concerns, 373–374
- B**
- Bank Recovery and Resolution Directive (BRRD), 322
- BRRD. *See* Bank Recovery and Resolution Directive (BRRD)
- C**
- CACs. *See* Collective Action Clauses (CACs)
- Canada-EU Comprehensive Economic and Trade Agreement (CETA), 348
- Cartel Damage Claims (CDC), 232
- Case C-234/12, *Sky-Italia srl v. Autorità per le Garanzie nelle Comunicazioni* (*See* Advertising restrictions *versus* the freedom to provide services)
- Case C-209/13 *UK v. Council: Enhanced Cooperation and the FTT*
- analysis, 413–416
 - arguments of the parties (Paragraphs 16–29)
 - counter arguments, 412
 - 'counterparty principle', 411
 - 'issuance principle', 411
 - Articles 326–334 TFEU and Article 20 TEU, 409
 - Article 113 TFEU, 408
 - CJEU's decision (Paragraphs 30–40), 413
 - Commission's Proposal, 408
 - facts (Paragraphs 2–12), 407–410
 - Financial Transaction Tax (FTT), 407
 - previous case law, 410–411
- China-ASEAN FTA, 162
- China-Chile FTA, 163
- China-Costa Rica FTA, 164
- China-Iceland FTA, 165
- China-New Zealand FTA, 164
- China-Pakistan FTA, 163
- China-Peru FTA, 165
- China's commitments to Peru and GATS, 167–168
- China's FTAs and GATS
- achievements
 - dispute settlement mechanism, 155–159
 - investment, 153–155
 - Article V of the GATS, 135–138

- China-ASEAN FTA, 162
- China-Chile FTA, 163
- China-Costa Rica FTA, 164
- China-Iceland FTA, 165
- China-New Zealand FTA, 164
- China-Pakistan FTA, 163
- China-Peru FTA, 165
- China's commitments to Peru and GATS, 167–168
- China-Singapore FTA, 164
- China's schedule to Chile and GATS, 166–167
- China-Switzerland FTA, 166
- consistency assessment
 - consistency test, 142–148
 - need for consistency, 139–142
- deficiency
 - ambiguity in annex, 150–152
 - ambiguity in schedule, 148–150
- FTA Network, 160–161
- under negotiation, 161–162
- China-Singapore FTA, 164
- China's schedule to Chile and GATS, 166–167
- China-Switzerland FTA, 166
- Collective Action Clauses (CACs), 326–327
- Collective Complaint Procedure of the European Social Charter
 - ECSR examination, 278–279
 - eligible categories of organizations, 277
- EU fundamental freedoms and social rights, 284–286
- European Committee of Social Rights (ECSR), 275–276
- European Roma Rights Centre v. Italy* case, 281
- European Trade Union Confederation (ETUC), 277
- FEANTSA v. France* case, 280
- interaction of the European Committee and the European Union, 281–284
- Marangopolous Foundation of Human Rights v. Greece* case, 280
- quasi-judicial process, 279
- ratifications of the Protocol to the Collective Complaint Procedure, 287
- social rights, 275
- submission in writing, 278
- The Collective Enforcement of EU Law
 - Aarhus Convention, Articles 9(2) and 9(3), 223–224
 - ADR mechanisms, 220
 - Commission's response, 218
 - '2013 Communication' view on collective redress, 216
 - competition and consumer law, 217
 - consultation's approach, 217
 - individual legal claims, 216
 - 'opt-in' and 'opt-out' mechanisms, 220–221
 - re-assessment period, 219
 - structure of EU legal order, 222
 - Van Gend en Loos*, ECJ's decision on, 215
- Collective litigation in the field of competition law, 232–233
- Collective redress in the EU competition law
 - Articles 101 and 102 TFEU, 225
 - collective litigation, 232–233
 - effectiveness of EU private antitrust enforcement, 229–232
 - European Commission proposals, 233–235
 - private enforcement of EU competition rules, 226–229
 - Recommendation 2013, 235–242
- Collective redress in the EU
 - environmental law
 - Aarhus Convention and access to justice, 261–264

- Article 9(3): no transposition, CJEU's intervention, and the future prospects, 267–271
- Article 9(2): problematic transposition and the CJEU's clarifications, 264–267
- national requirements for standing of ENGOS, 260–261
- special features of environmental law, 259–260
- Collective redress in the European Union
 - aim of European Commission 2011 Consultation, 290
 - Commission Recommendation, 290
 - Commission's communication and recommendation, 298–302
 - common principles and essential features for collective redress, 294–296
 - Communication and a Commission Recommendation on common principles, 290
 - current collective redress schemes in Member States, 296–298
 - fears of abusive litigation, 303
 - history and current position in England and Wales, 291–294
- Collective redress mechanisms in the European Consumer Law
 - access to justice and collective interests of consumers, 244–247
 - consumer redress landscape in the EU, 247–249
 - effective judicial protection and, 249–251
 - EU Recommendation on, 252–253
 - evaluation of key aspects, 253–256
- 'Commercial aspects of intellectual property' concept
 - Article 133 EC, 197
 - Article 114 TFEU, 196
 - Article 207(1) TFEU, 198
 - Nice Treaty, 196
 - Opinion 1/94, 195–196
- 2013 Commission's communication and recommendation
 - 'collective redress' defined, 298–299
 - compensation of damage, 299
 - 'opt-in *vs.* opt-out' principle, 300–302
 - public and private enforcement, 299
- Commitments under WTO, 354–358
- Common commercial policy after Lisbon
 - Article 207 TFEU, 194
 - 'commercial aspects of intellectual property' concept, 195–200
 - EU *versus* WTO, 200–202
 - facts, 194–195
 - implications, 206–208
 - internal and external harmonization, 202–206
- Common principles and essential features for collective redress
 - collective court action, 295
 - Court-based system, 294
 - individual funding arrangements, 296
 - opt-in and opt-out system, 295
- Conditional Access Services (Case C-137/12)
 - 'commercial aspects of intellectual property' concept, 195–200
 - EU *versus* WTO, 200–202
 - facts, 194–195
 - implications, 206–208
 - internal and external harmonization, 202–206
- Conducting anti-dumping investigations, 189
- Consistency assessment
 - consistency test
 - elimination of substantially discrimination and prohibition, 146–148
 - substantial sectoral coverage, 143–146

need for consistency, 139–142
 Constitutional reflections and crisis
 ruminations
 global view, 328–329
 inter-governmentalism, 315–318
 other constitutional issues, 327
 scrutiny of the crisis response at
 national and union level, 325–327
 stronger ‘federal’ elements
 ‘bail-out’ funds and the IMF, 321
 OMT, 320
 QMV, 319
 SMP, 320
 transparency of the crisis response,
 322–324
 Consumer redress landscape in the EU
 Directive 98/27/EC, 248
 Directive 2009/22/EC, 248
 EU Consumer Policy Strategy
 2007–247, 2013
 ‘judicial co-operation in civil matters’,
 247
 Contractual services suppliers (CSS), 79
 Coordinating collective litigation
 Regulation 2006/2004, 241
 single collective action in single *forum*,
 242
 White Paper of 2008 and the
 pre-draft Directive of 2009, 241
 Corporate social responsibility (CSR),
 10
 Cross-border cases and private
 international law, 254
 CSR. *See* Corporate social responsibility
 (CSR)
 CSS. *See* Contractual services suppliers
 (CSS)
 Cultural exception and audio-visual
 services
 current EU practice, 369–370
 too narrow at cross-sectoral level,
 370–372
 too wide at sectoral level, 372–373

Cultural pluralism and audio-visual
 services
 Japan-India RTA, 384–386
 Japan-Switzerland RTA, 380–383
 US and Canada in NAFTA,
 375–377
 US-Korea RTA (KORUS), 378–380
 Current collective redress schemes in
 Member States, 296–298

D

Daichi Sankyo (Case C-414/11)
 ‘commercial aspects of intellectual
 property’ concept, 195–200
 EU *versus* WTO, 200–202
 facts, 194–195
 implications, 206–208
 internal and external harmonization,
 202–206
 De facto mandatory compliance
 Appellate Body, 55
 Article 2.1 analysis, 55
 certification procedure, 54
 commercial policies, 54
 health warnings on tobacco products,
 57
 labelling requirements, 56
 legitimate decision making process, 57
 private conduct and government
 regulation, 55
 technical regulations and standards, 53
 WTO tribunals, 57
 Dispute settlement mechanism (DSM)
 community legal order, 91
 Community system of legal and
 judicial review, 93
 “constitutionalization” of the
 Community legal structure, 92
 differences between FTAs and GATS,
 158–159
 GATT era, 91
 overlap of jurisdiction between FTAs
 and WTO, 156–158

Dispute settlement understanding (DSU), 43

DSM. *See* Dispute settlement mechanism (DSM)

DSU. *See* Dispute settlement understanding (DSU)

E

EALA. *See* East African Legislative Assembly (EALA)

East African Legislative Assembly (EALA), 100

EC-sardines

close resemblance, 50

DSU, 52

EC regulation at issue, 49

labelling requirement, 52

product characteristic mandated compliance, 49

Effective judicial protection and collective redress in the European Consumer Law

Article 47 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights, 249–250

Article 81 TFEU, 249

DG SANCO's study, 250

four options, 250–251

Efficiency of collective redress procedures and costs, 253–254

EFSE. *See* European Financial Stability Fund (EFSE)

EFSM. *See* European Financial Stability Mechanism (EFSM)

Enforcement of CSR guidelines concept of 'domicile', 18

Danish legislation, 17

establishing a breach of the guidelines business relation, 26

Danish Law, 27

Guidelines' recommendation, 27 voluntary instrument, 28

multinational enterprises, 16, 19

national guidelines, 18

NCPs and the courts

court proceedings, 22

domestic law, 20

formulation of the arbitration clause, 21

legal effect of a decision, 20

legitimate tribunals, 21

OECD guidelines for multinational enterprises, 9

proceedings and the requirement for disclosure

alleged infringement, 33

Danish rules, 30

initial assessment, 28

mediation process, 31

Norwegian guidelines, 32

Norwegian Law, 32

OECD Investment Committee, 29–30

public enterprises, 17

right to complain, 14–16

substantive issues

activities of enterprises, 24

business conduct agenda, 22

disclosure obligations, 23

Forum shopping, 25

human rights, 23

interpretative discretion, 24

national interpretations of the Guidelines, 24

NGOs, 25

system of environmental management, 24

traditional enforcement of binding legal rules, 34

Environmental NGOs (ENGOS),

national requirements for, 260–261

ESM. *See* European Stability Mechanism (ESM)

EU environmental law, special features of environmental NGOs (ENGOS), 260

Habitats Directive and Birds Directive, 259–260

- EU external cultural action and free trade
 - ambitious cultural agenda, 65
 - audiovisual services, 80
 - autonomy of the cultural sector, 80
 - bilateral and regional trade agreements, 80
 - characteristics of the cultural industries, 71
 - Committee on Cultural Cooperation, 82
 - cultural cooperation agreements, 67
 - cultural goods and services, 66
 - development policies, 70
 - EU-Korea reciprocal preferential scheme, 83
 - EU trade liberalization strategy, 66
 - GATS, 86
 - heritage and the promotion of cultural diversity, 70
 - implementation of the protocols, 81
 - initiatives for cultural cooperation, 71–73
 - market access and national treatment limitations, 78–79
 - national and regional diversity, 67
 - protocols and agreements
 - EU-CA protocol forms, 74
 - EU-Cariforum EPA, 73
 - FTA, 73
 - horizontal provisions, 74–75
 - sectoral provisions, 76–78
 - tourism or gender equality, 79–80
 - training measures, 84
 - UNESCO Convention, 69
 - Union's cultural and linguistic diversity, 68
- EU – Footwear (China) Panel Report, 182–184
- EU fundamental freedoms and social rights
 - Article 6(4) of the Charter, 286
 - Laval* case (C-341/05), 285
 - the *Viking*, the *Laval* and the *Rüffert* cases, 284–285
- EU private antitrust enforcement, effectiveness of
 - Article 47(1) of the Charter of Fundamental Rights, 229
 - Articles 101 and 102 TFEU, 229
 - Article 4(3) TEU, 231
 - Article 19(1) TEU, 230
 - obstacles, 230
 - settled action, 232
- EU Recommendation on collective redress mechanisms
 - evaluation of key aspects
 - cross-border cases and private international law, 254
 - efficiency of collective redress procedures and costs, 253–254
 - 'opt-in' principle and procedural complexity, 254–256
 - procedural safeguards, 253
 - multi-party actions, 252
- European Commission proposals
 - Articles 101 and 102 TFEU, 234
 - Green Paper on damages actions, 233
 - policy rationale, 234
 - Recital 11, 234
- European Committee and the European Union interaction on Collective Complaint Procedure
 - CC 55/2009, *Confédération générale du travail v. France*, 282
 - European Roma and Travellers Forum v. France* case, 282–283
 - Federation of employed pensioners of Greece (IKA -ETAM) v. Greece* case, 283
- infringement procedures, 281
- Médecins du Monde v. France* case, 282
- standard of living and the living conditions of pensioners, 283–284

European Committee of Social Rights (ECSR), 275–276. *See also* Collective Complaint Procedure of the European Social Charter

European Financial Stability Fund (EFSF), 316

European Financial Stability Mechanism (EFSM), 316

European Stability Mechanism (ESM), 316

EU–Russia investment relations

Article 351(1) TFEU, 338–339

EU–Russia Industrialists Roundtable (IRT)’s press statement, 333

foreign direct investment (FDI), 331–332

future investment law regime

commitments under WTO, 354–358

investment liberalization, 358–362

issues of jurisdiction, 339–350

most-favoured-nation treatment, 352–354

national treatment, 350–352

other issues, 362–363

legal framework, 334–338

PCA, 332

transitional arrangements, 338–339

WTO’s membership, 334

EU trade negotiations, audio-visual services in

cultural exception and

current EU practice, 369–370

too narrow at cross-sectoral level, 370–372

too wide at sectoral level, 372–373

cultural pluralism and

Japan–India RTA, 384–386

Japan–Switzerland RTA, 380–383

US and Canada IN NAFTA, 375–377

US–Korea RTA (KORUS), 378–380

protecting cultural pluralism, 374

technological evolutions aggravate both concerns, 373–374

F

Freedom to provide services *versus*

advertising restrictions

distortion of competition, 312–314

European Union Law

equal treatment, 309–310

freedom to provide services, 310

justifications and proportionality, 310–312

minimum harmonization, 308–309

facts, 306–308

Free Trade Agreement (FTA), 73

FTA. *See* Free Trade Agreement (FTA)

Fundamental freedoms, EU Law

equal treatment, 309–310

freedom to provide services, 310

justifications and proportionality, 310–312

minimum harmonization, 308–309

Future investment law regime

commitments under WTO, 354–358

investment liberalization, 358–362

issues of jurisdiction

current situation, 339–347

future situation, 347–350

most-favoured-nation treatment, 352–354

national treatment, 350–352

other issues, 362–363

G

GATT and WTO law and jurisprudence

on MFN and anti-dumping law, 179–181

H

History and current position in England and Wales

Civil Justice Council Final Report,
292
Competition Appeal Tribunal (CAT),
292
Dutch Collective Settlement Act
2005, 293
Private Actions and the Public
Enforcement Regime, 293
Report recommendation, 291

I

Imposition of anti-dumping duties,
189
Initiating anti-dumping investigations,
188
Inter-governmentalism
EFSF, 316
EFSM, 316
ESM, 316
'Fiscal Compact', 315
SRF Agreement, 317–318
International Law in the Case Law of
the Court of Justice
instruments as handmaiden to union
law, 5–7
interpretation method of Union
primary and secondary law, 7
interstices of union law,
1–2
monism *versus* dualism, 1
parliamentary record, 7–8
primary union law and the rules of
treaty interpretation
constitutional case, 4
historical interpretation, 5
legislative acts, 4
TFEU, 3
Investment liberalization
Article 34(1) of the PCA,
360–361
2004 Italy–Nicaragua BIT, 359
Market access commitments, 360
'right to invest', 359–360

J

Japan–India RTA
Annex 6, 385
Annex 8, 386
cultural identity, 384
FDI Policy Circulars, 385
Indian GATS schedule, 384
Japan–Switzerland RTA
Annex III, 381–382
Article XIV GATS, 381
vs. KORUS, 383
limitations, 382

K

KORUS
Annex I, 378–379
Annex II, 379–380

L

Legal framework, EU–Russia investment
relations
BITS, 334–335
Energy Charter Treaty (ECT), 336
ICSID Additional Facility Rules, 336
Liberal interpretation of the legal basis
provisions, 128

M

MFN principle to WTO anti-dumping
law
anti-dumping law fulfill the *lex*
specialis criteria?, 184–187
effects of applying in the
anti-dumping sphere
conducting anti-dumping
investigations, 189
imposition of anti-dumping duties,
189
initiating anti-dumping
investigations, 188
EU – Footwear (China) Panel
Report, 182–184
GATT and WTO law and

jurisprudence on, 179–181
 general rules *versus* specialized rules
 conflict in WTO law, 175–177
 explicit exemptions,
 173–174
 lex specialis, 174–175
Mike Campbell (Pvt) Ltd and Others v. Republic of Zimbabwe
 agricultural resettlement, 107–108
 racial discrimination, 108
 SADC Tribunal Protocol, 110
 Tribunal's decision, 107
 Most-favoured-nation (MFN) treatment,
 352–354

N

National contact points (NCPs)
 Danish NCP, 13
 implementation and enforcement of
 the guidelines, 9
 information gathering, 11
 legitimacy and impact, 13
 mediation and investigation,
 13–14
 Minister for Business and Growth, 13
 OECD Guidelines, 12
 organizational model, 13
 procedural requirements, 10
 Responsible Business Conduct, 11
 settlements and recommendations, 12
 structure and procedural rules, 11
 National requirements for standing of
 ENGOS, 260–261
 National treatment (NT) obligation,
 350–352
 NCPs. *See* National contact points
 (NCPs)
 Notification mechanisms
 Article 5(3) of the pre-draft Directive
 of 2009, 238
 Colozza and Rubinat v. Italy case, 239
 Commission Staff Working Paper,
 2008 White Paper, 237–238

O

OMT. *See* Outright Monetary
 Transactions (OMT)
 'Opt-in' principle and procedural
 complexity, 254–256
 Opt-in *vs.* opt-out model, 220–221
 paragraph 21, 237
 The Replica Football Shirts case, 236
 Outright Monetary Transactions (OMT),
 320, 325

P

Partnership and Cooperation Agreement
 (PCA), 332
 Private enforcement of EU competition
 rules
 acquis communautaire, 228
 Courage/Crehan and Manfredi cases,
 227, 229
 'more economic approach', 228
 Regulation 1/2003, 227
 US indirect-purchaser rule, 227

Q

QMV. *See* Qualified majority voting
 (QMV)
 Qualified majority voting (QMV), 319

R

Recommendation 2013, 235–242
 coordinating collective litigation,
 241–242
 notification mechanisms, 237–239
 opt-in *vs.* opt-out model, 235–237
 representation, 239–240
 Regional integration in Africa
 Analysis of the Courts' Jurisprudence,
 110–114
 COMESA Treaty and SADC Tribunal
 Protocol, 117
 'constitutionalization' and judicial
 remedies, 115
 DSM, 91–93

EAC Treaty for consideration and adoption, 103
 EALA, 100
 EU treaty law, 114
 forms of community legislations, 121
 GATS, 88
 governmental compliance with community law, 99
 House Business Committee, 101
 institutional aspects
 ECCJ, 94
 jurisdiction, 95–98
 SADC Tribunal, 95
 international feature of regionalism, 119
 international tribunal, 99
 Kenyan election rules, 100
 legitimacy deficit, 120
Mike Campbell (Pvt) Ltd and Others v. Republic of Zimbabwe, 106–110
 Organization of African Unity (OAU), 89
 preliminary reference procedure, 116
 process of nomination, 101
 regional economic growth, 90
 RTAs, 88
SERAP v. Federal Republic of Nigeria, 104–106
 treaty compliance and enforcement, 120
 treaty obligations and commitments, 121
 Regional Trade Agreements (RTAs), 88
 RTAs. *See* Regional Trade Agreements (RTAs)

S

Securities Market Programme (SMP), 320
SERAP v. Federal Republic of Nigeria, 104–106
 Single Market harmonization, 131
 Single Resolution Fund (SRF)

 Agreement, 317–318
 SMP. *See* Securities Market Programme (SMP)
Status quo of EU–Russia investment relations
 legal framework, 334–338
 transitional arrangements, 338–339

T

TBT. *See* Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT)
 Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT)
 central government technical regulations and standards, 63
 definitions, 37
 enforcement mechanisms, 38
 hard law/soft law distinction, 44
 Tokyo Round Standards Code, 43
 undifferentiated approach, 39
 TFEU. *See* Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU)
 The *ESMA-Short Selling Case*
 Articles 290 and 291 TFEU regime, 398–399
 implications
 delegation doctrine, 401
 unresolved issues, 401–404
 legal basis, 399–400
 the *MERONI* test, 392–395
 origins and powers
 Article 1(5) of ESMA's founding Regulation 1095/2010, 391–392
 level 3 committees, 391
 the *ROMANO* test, 395–398
 The *MERONI* doctrine
 Article 28 of Regulation No 236/2012, 392–393
 discretionary powers, 393–395
 The *ROMANO* doctrine
 Article 263 TFEU and Article 277 TFEU, 396
 Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 397

- 'legally-bindingness' issue, 395
 - REACH Regulation, 398
 - Towards a European Horizontal Framework for Collective Redress (2013 Communication), 216, 218, 224
 - Transitional arrangements, Russia-EU Member States, 338–339
 - Transparency of the crisis response
 - BRRD, 322
 - ECB and NCAs, 323
 - ESMA short-selling ruling, 324
 - Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU), 3
- U**
- United Nations Economic Commission for Africa (UNECA), 89
 - US and Canada in NAFTA
 - Annex 2106 NAFTA, 376
 - Article 2012 CUSFTA, 376
 - cultural industries exception, 377
 - US-Korea RTA (KORUS), 378–380
 - US-TUNA II*
 - labelling requirements and mandatory compliance, 47–48
 - panel majority and the appellate body
 - enforceability, 60–62
 - exclusivity criterion, 59–60
 - provenance, 58–59

W

- World Trade Organization (WTO)
 - Agreement
 - de facto mandatory compliance, 53–58
 - DSU proceedings, 63
 - labelling requirements and mandatory compliance in *US-TUNA II*, 47–48
 - mandatory technical regulations and voluntary standards, 45–47
 - panel majority and Appellate Body approach, 38
 - panel majority and the Appellate Body, 38
 - protectionist motivations, 40
 - technical regulations/standards distinction
 - Articles 2.2 and 2.4, 42
 - 'Code of Good Practice', 43
 - DSU, 43
 - GATT, 41
 - hard law/soft law distinction, 44
 - national security requirements, 42
 - Tokyo Round Standards Code, 43
 - testing the clarity and simplicity hypothesis
 - applying the dissent in *EC-SARDINES*, 49–52
 - identification of the relevant product, 49
 - process of reconciling, 48
 - treaty interpretation, 39
 - US-TUNA II* panel majority, 58–59