

INDEX TO VOLUME 28

- Abuse of dominant position
 bundling, 66–67, 260
 definition, 458
 essential facility, 73, 79–80, 90–91,
 263–66, 282–83, 285, 287, 290
 exclusionary, 38–39, 457, 459
 exploitative, 457
 leveraging, 80–86
 objective justification
 exception, 459–60, 462–63
 exemption under Art. 81(3), 461–62
 foreclosure effects, 467–76
 requirements, 465–66
 types of, 464
 patent ambush, 31–33, 38–41
 predatory pricing, 184, 470–71
 public postal operators, 183–84
 refusal to license
 consumers' prejudice, 73, 85–86,
 91
 exceptional circumstances, 72–80,
 264–65, 285, 288
 incentives balance test, 75–80
 new product condition, 73–76
 refusal to supply, 73–74, 282–85, 287
 two-tier analysis, 458–59, 463
 types of conduct, 455
Ahmed Saeed, 459
Airtours, 194–95, 200, 436
Akzo, 467
Arbitration, 308–09
Arch Coal, 304–05
Arriva/FirstGroup, 359
Australia
 bilateral antitrust agreements, 591
 Competition and Consumer Commission
 antitrust cooperation arrangements,
 591–92
 enforcement strategy, 350
 compliance programmes, 372

Austria
 antitrust enforcement, 134

BaBybiss SA, 62
Bank of Austria/Sniado, 304
Banks, 387–88
Bayer/Adelat, 30
Belgium
 private enforcement, 384
Bid-rigging, 210–15, 300, 321, 395
bilateral antitrust cooperation agreements
 agency-to-agency arrangements, 590–92,
 611–12
 convergence of principles
 avoidance of conflict, 601–03
 communications, 609–10
 confidentiality of information,
 606–09
 consultations, 603–04
 enforcement cooperation and
 coordination, 596–99
 notification, 594–96
 periodic meetings, 605
 positive comity, 599–601
 primacy of domestic legislation, 609
 technical assistance, 604–05
EC-US agreement, 591, 593, 610
multilateral antitrust framework,
 613–14
 OECD Recommendation, 1995, 592
Block Exemption Regulation on vertical
 agreements, 508–09, 511, 529–30
Bodson, 413
Boeing/Hughes, 58
Boeing/McDonnell Douglas, 59
British Airways, 469
British Plasterboard, 388
Bronner, 73–74, 96, 264, 269, 282, 284, 287,
 290
Bundling, 66–67, 260

- Cartels
- antitrust enforcement, 134–35, 138–52, 156, 315–16, 390–91, 395–400
 - bid-rigging, 213–15, 321
 - construction sector, 206, 223–24
 - definition, 314–15
 - export, 302
 - finés, 138–41
 - hard-core, 138–39, 148, 156, 315
 - international, 144, 308, 321–22, 488–89
 - leniency programmes, 127, 136, 142, 223–24, 377–79, 399
 - policy, 318–21
 - shipping, 302
- Central European Competition Initiative, 206
- Centrica/Dynegy*, 343–44
- CNSD, 423
- Coca-Cola Company/Carlsberg A/S*, 56
- Coca-Cola Enterprises/Amalgamated Beverages GB*, 56
- Colas*, 226
- Collective dominance *see* Dominant position
- Commercial Solvents*, 281–85
- Community law
- primacy principle, 408, 410–11, 421, 430
- Compagnie Maritime Belge*, 466, 471–72
- Competition policy
- innovation, 507
 - internet backbone market, 251–52
 - legal tests
 - classification, 94–95
 - economic research, 97–99
 - prior expectations, 95–96, 98–99
 - objectives, 35
- Compliance, corporate
- corporate governance, 361
 - deterrence, 355–56, 358
 - motivation, 355, 360
 - programmes
 - auditing, 370–71
 - commercial context, 374
 - contact reports, 368–70
 - control, 364–65
 - disciplinary action, 364
 - legal audit, 362–63
 - management, 363, 365
 - professionalism, 372
 - risk assessment, 362–63
 - training, 364–65
 - regulatory theory, 354–55
- Conglomerate mergers
- Chicago School, 50
 - definition, 44
 - efficiencies defence, 59
 - Guidelines on vertical and conglomerate mergers, 70
 - judicial review, 436–41
 - market power leverage, 52–58
 - Merger Guidelines (US), 44–52, 68
 - portfolio effects theory, 53–54, 60–63, 67–68
 - standard of proof, 446–47
- Consolidated Foods*, 47
- Consumer welfare, 35, 43
- Conzorzio Industrie Fiammiferi*, 408–28, 430–31
- Cooperation, duty of, 408, 412, 414
- Copyrights, 73–74, 267, 286, 289–90, 292
- Corbeau*, 185
- Corporate governance, 361,
- Courage/Crehan*, 4–5, 7, 385–86, 487–89, 498
- Court of First Instance
- collective dominance, 200–01
 - conglomerate mergers, 61, 69
 - convincing evidence standard, 444–46
 - harm to consumers, 86, 268
 - product range effect theory, 62–63, 68
 - standard of proof, 448
 - trans-national effects, 62
 - unilateral action, 30
- CSU/Xerox*, 288
- Dawn-raids, 226–28, 231, 351, 356
- Dell Computer Corp.*, 26–27, 306
- Denmark
- antitrust enforcement
 - criminal, 118
 - private, 384, 496
- De Post/La Poste*, 184
- Deutsche Post AG*, 183–84, 188

- Digital rights management (DRM)
 - technologies
 - compulsory licensing, 263, 269, 273–74
 - dominant position, 260–62, 269
 - essential facility, 263
 - indispensability test, 269–70
 - joint venture, 256
 - monopolisation, 271–74
 - open standardisation, 273
 - relevant market
 - DRM systems, 255–57
 - music downloads, 258–59, 262
 - portable music players, 257
 - reverse engineering, 272
 - territoriality principle, 259
- Dominant position
 - collective dominance, 193, 195–97, 199, 202, 438, 440
 - concept of dominance, 196, 199
 - definition, 35
 - dominance test, 193–95, 197–98, 202
 - DRM technologies, 260, 269
 - market share, 34
 - non-coordinated effects, 194–96, 198–202
 - SIEC test, 198–99, 203
 - single dominance, 193
 - super dominance, 466
 - relevant market, 33
 - technological changes, 32
 - universal internet connectivity, 244–46, 251
- Empagran*, 299–300, 303–04, 487–89, 498
- Enforcement of EC competition law
 - antitrust proceedings, 121–22
 - Braithwaite enforcement pyramid, 355
 - criminal
 - conditions, 148–52
 - Constitutional Treaty, 158–59
 - definition, 117–18
 - EC Treaty, 156–58
 - imprisonment, 137–45
 - types of violations, 145–46
 - deterrence, 138–41, 143–48, 151, 355–56, 381, 396
 - economic theory of, 8, 21
 - effectiveness, 351
 - fines, 117, 121, 125–26, 138–41, 146, 408
 - harmonization, 154–59
 - leniency programme, 127, 135, 142, 153, 377–78, 388–90, 399
 - powers of investigation, 127
 - predictability, 68
 - private
 - antitrust injury, 490–91
 - burden of proof, 502
 - class action, 500–01
 - Community prohibition decisions, 387–90
 - competitors' losses, 13
 - consumers, 494, 501
 - costs, 504
 - discovery rules, 5–6, 378, 383–84, 389, 397
 - effectiveness doctrine, 386, 390, 480–81, 485
 - equivalence principle, 480, 485
 - foreigners, 498
 - harmonization, 505–06
 - indirect purchasers, 494
 - leniency evidence, 389
 - limitation periods, 492
 - objectives, 6–7
 - optimal deterrence model, 8–15, 21, 23
 - passing-on defence, 15–23, 495–98
 - policy, 7, 382–84
 - proportional variety, 13–14
 - social costs, 10–13, 21
 - standard of proof, 385, 389, 502–04
 - standing, 489–98, 505
 - Regulation 1/2003, 128–33, 152–55, 387, 397, 462, 481, 502, 505
 - regulatory theory, 354–55
 - rights of defence, 128
 - standard of proof, 128, 449–51
 - whistleblowers, 391, 396–98
 - see also* Member States (EU)
- Essential facility doctrine, 73, 79–80, 90–91, 264–67

- European Commission
 - conglomerate mergers, 52–59, 63–70
 - consumer protection, 69, 494
 - inter-brand competition, 521–22
 - internet backbone market, 238–46
 - leniency programme, 377–78
 - margin of discretion, 437
 - powers of investigation, 127–28
 - private enforcement, 456
 - state-owned postal operators, 183–85
 - tying practices, 59–60
- European Convention on Human Rights
 - Art. 6, 120–22, 224–25
 - Art. 8, 226
- European Court of Justice
 - collective dominance, 199, 201
 - conglomerate mergers, 446
 - damages actions, 4–5, 385, 480
 - deterrence, 7
 - direct effect, 415, 421, 430
 - effectiveness doctrine, 386, 480–81
 - eliminary intent, 467
 - essential facility doctrine, 74, 264
 - finances, 121
 - judicial review of mergers
 - scope of, 436–41
 - standard of proof, 444–49
 - legitimate expectations, 421
 - national courts, 387–88
 - new product condition, 75–76
 - objective justification, 459
 - passing-on theory, 20–21, 23
 - primacy principle, 410, 421, 430
 - principle of equivalence, 130, 480
 - public interest, 426–30
 - refusal to license, 73–74
 - standard of proof, 444–52, 503–04
 - state aids, 421
 - tacit agreement, 30
 - unjust enrichment, 20
- Exclusionary practices, 38–39, 68
- Exemptions under Art. 81(3), 461
- Failing firm theory, 62
- Finland
 - antitrust enforcement
 - finances, 120
 - leniency programme, 136
 - private, 382, 499
- Flamingo Industries*, 186–89
- Flat Glass*, 310, 503
- France
 - antitrust enforcement
 - decriminalization, 133
 - leniency programme, 136
 - private, 483, 489, 498–99
 - judicial review of mergers, 442
- GE/Honeywell*, 43, 58, 63–68
- GE/Instrumentarium*, 345
- Gencor*, 200
- Germany
 - antitrust enforcement
 - criminal, 118
 - leniency programme, 136
 - private, 382, 384, 482, 489–90, 496, 498, 503
 - Schutznormtheorie*, 490
 - dominance test, 202
 - judicial review of mergers, 442
- GlaxoSmithKline*, 460, 467–68, 473
- Guinness/Grand Metropolitan*, 56–57
- Hannover Shoe*, 19–21, 496
- Hoffmann La Roche*, 458–59, 470
- Hong Kong
 - competition policy
 - bid-rigging, 321
 - cartels, 318–21
 - competition advisory group, 318
 - economic freedom, 322–23
 - institutional impediments, 324
 - international cartels, 321–22
 - law, 317–19
- Horizontal agreements
 - agreements on standards, 29
 - internet backbone market, 251
- Hüls*, 121

- Hungary
- bid-rigging
 - blacklist, 223
 - cases, 210–13
 - evidence, 219, 227–30
 - market definition, 217–19
 - types of, 214–15
 - Community law, 230–31
 - Competition Act, 207–09
 - Competition Office (GVH)
 - independence, 208
 - leniency policy, 223–24
 - priority setting, 206
 - construction sector
 - cartels, 206
 - characteristics, 209
 - consortia agreements, 215–16
 - joint ventures, 216–17
 - criminal sanctions, 208
 - investigation procedures
 - Act on the General Rules of State Administration Procedure, 229
 - access to file, 225, 229
 - dawn-raids, 226–28, 231
 - documents, use of, 227–30
 - rights of defence, 224–25, 229
 - Public Procurement Act, 206–07
- Iberian/BPB Industries*, 388
- Illinois Brick*, 19–22, 492–93
- IMS Health*, 73, 264–66, 269, 285–87, 290
- Industrial organisation theory
 - auction models, 336–37
 - Bertrand model, 332–36
 - Cournot model, 332–36
- Innovation, 77, 80, 85–86, 290–92, 295–98, 507–08, 523–27
- Intellectual property rights
 - compulsory licensing, 86–87, 262–66, 269, 273–74, 287–88, 290–91, 294–98
 - copyrights, 73–74, 267, 286, 289–90, 292
 - economic theory, 77
 - exceptional circumstances test, 72–80, 264–65, 285, 288
 - exclusionary behaviour, 38–39
 - innovation, 77, 290–92, 295–98
 - investment incentives, 294–98
 - patents, 73, 267, 291–93, 295
 - reasonable royalty, 87–90
 - refusal to license, 73–76, 83–86, 262–71, 285–89, 475
 - right to the protection of, 291
 - standard setting procedures, 26
- Internal Market, 35
- International Cooperation Network (ICN)
 - private enforcement, 312
 - recommended practices for merger review, survey
 - government statement and actions, 536, 557–58
 - requirements for initial notification, 535, 545–51
 - review of merger control provisions, 536, 556–57
 - review periods, 535, 540–45
 - transparency, 536, 551–56
- International Telephone & Telegraph*, 47, 49
- Internet backbone market
 - centrifugal forces, 246–48
 - competition, 241–46, 248–52
 - concentration, 242
 - differentiation strategies, 249
 - dominant position, 244–46
 - entry barriers, 243–44
 - fast-packet services, 249
 - horizontal agreements, 251
 - interoperability, 249–50
 - market definition, 239–41
 - market shares, 242
 - mergers, 238–39, 251
 - network effects, 250–51
 - private peering, 240–41, 244
 - Quality of Services, 236–37, 249–50, 252
 - remedies, 238–39
 - reporting standard, 241–42, 249
 - selective degradation, 244
 - Service Level Agreements, 236–37
 - standard transmission protocol, 249, 252
 - top level operators, 240
 - traffic flow, 242

- Internet backbone market *continued*
 - unilateral effects, 239, 244–46
 - vertical relationships, 251–52
- Ireland
 - antitrust enforcement
 - criminal, 135–36
 - private, 503
 - leniency programme, 136
- Italy
 - dominance test, 202
 - judicial review of mergers, 442–43
 - private enforcement, 482–83, 496
- Johnston*, 481
- Kali & Salz*, 199–201, 436, 438–41, 444–48
- Ladbroke*, 281, 413, 417, 420
- Le Compte, Van Leuven and De Meyere*, 224
- LePage*, 95, 99
- Leveraging doctrine, 80–85
- Liner conference, 471–72
- Luxembourg
 - antitrust enforcement, 134
- Magill*, 72–73, 264–66, 268–69, 285–87, 475
- Masterfoods/HB Ice Cream*, 387–88, 503
- Matsushita*, 309–10
- Max Boegl/Hanson*, 482, 490
- MCI/WorldCom/Sprint*, 238–42
- Meal Tickets*, 443
- Member States (EU)
 - anticompetitive practices, imposition of, 411–23
 - criminal enforcement
 - characteristics, 118–20
 - criminalization, 135–37
 - decriminalization, 133–35
 - finances, 129–30
 - harmonization, 154–59
 - imprisonment, 129–31
 - individuals, 130
 - information exchange, 152–53
 - leniency programmes, 136
 - powers of investigation, 136
 - principle of equivalence, 130–32
 - rights of defence, 136
 - duty of cooperation, 408, 412, 414
 - judicial review of mergers, 442–43
 - national competition authorities, 408–11, 416–17, 419–21, 430–31, 442, 484
 - primacy principle, 408–11
 - private enforcement
 - burden of proof, 502
 - causation, 385, 389
 - class actions, 498–502
 - Community prohibition decisions, 387–90
 - competitors' losses, 13
 - cooperation, 485
 - costs, 504
 - discovery rules, 5–6, 378, 383–84, 389, 397
 - effectiveness doctrine, 386, 390, 480–81, 485
 - equivalence principle, 480
 - harmonization, 505–06
 - indirect purchasers, 492–95
 - jurisdiction, 385, 389, 480–89, 505
 - leniency evidence, 389
 - limitation periods, 491–92
 - objectives, 6–7
 - optimal deterrence model, 8–15, 21, 23
 - passing-on defence, 15–23, 495–98
 - proportional variety, 13–14
 - social costs, 10–13, 21
 - standard of proof, 385, 389, 502–04
 - standing, 489–98, 505
 - public interest, 426–30
 - referral mechanism, 442
- Merger control
 - conglomerate mergers
 - effects doctrine, 53
 - efficiency defence, 59
 - market power leverage, 54–58
 - product range effect, 62–63
- dominant position
 - collective dominance, 193, 195–97, 199, 202

- Merger control, *continued*
 dominant position, *continued*
 dominance test, 193–95, 197–98, 202
 non-coordinated effects, 194–96, 198–202
 SIEC test, 198–99, 203
 single dominance, 193
 efficiencies, 58–59
 Horizontal Merger Guidelines, 195
 internet backbone market, 238–42, 251
 judicial review
 adequate, 438–39
 conglomerate mergers, 440
 economic assessment, 437–39
 limits of, 438–40
 margin of discretion, 436–37
 Merger Regulation, 441
 prohibition decisions, 441
 standard of proof
 balance of probabilities, 448
 clearance decisions, 447–49
 convincing evidence, 444–48
 prohibition decisions, 447–49
 substantive test, 52, 67, 197–98, 202
 unilateral effects simulation
 auction models, 336–37
 Bertrand model, 332–34
 capacity constraints, 334
 change in location, 336
 Cournot model, 332–34
 demand estimation, 330–31, 337–43
 differentiated products, 334–35, 346, 348
 expert testimony, 347
 models, 333–37
 practical application, 343–46
 process, 330–32
 relevant market, 335
 substitute products, 335
Michelin II, 95, 99, 468
Microsoft case
 balancing test, 475
 compulsory licensing, 86–87, 265–66
 essential facility doctrine, 79–80, 90–91, 266–67
 harm to consumers, 85–86, 91, 268
 incentives balance test, 75, 78–80
 innovation, 85–86, 297–98
 leveraging, 82–86, 91
 reasonable royalty, 87–90
 refusal to supply, 74–75, 83–84, 265–67
 Software Directive, 267
Minoan, 415
Mitsubishi-Solar, 309
 Monopolies
 market power, 34–37, 39, 42
 passing-on, 17–18
 patent ambush, 27, 33
 social costs, 10–13
 vertical chain of, 81
Mors/Labinal, 483
Motor Insurance, 482–83, 486
 Motor vehicle industry
 vertical agreements
 Block Exemption Regulation, 508, 511, 529
 dealers' independence, 530
 innovation, 508, 512, 529–30
 selective distribution, 512, 529
 Multilateral antitrust framework
 multilateral cooperation, 613–14
 OECD Recommendations, 589–90, 592–93
 Music downloads, 258–59, 262
 Mutual legal assistance treaty, 591, 610
 National competition authorities
 primacy of Community law, 410–11
 see also Member States (EU)
 Netherlands
 antitrust enforcement
 decriminalization, 133–34
 private, 382, 384
 Non-horizontal mergers, 50–52
Oracle/Peoplesoft, 194–95, 304, 344, 451–52
 Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD)
 hard-core cartels, 139, 314–16
 individual sanctions, 143

- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), *continued*
 Recommendation, 1995, 590, 592–93
 positive comity, definition, 599
 public enterprises, 189
- Parcel Tanker*, 308
- Patent ambushes
 abuse of dominance, 31–33, 38–42
 collusion, 29–31
 discriminatory licensing, 40
 monopoly power, 39
 relevant market, , 33
 unilateral action, 30–31, 40
- Pavlov*, 427
- Penn-Olin Chemical*, 46
- Permod Ricard/Diageo/Seagram Spirits*, 57
- Poland
 private enforcement, 384
- Portable music players
 dominant position, 261, 263, 269–70
 relevant market, 257
- Port of Holyhead*, 284
- Postal directives (EU), 171, 174, 178
- Postal Service reform (US)
 antitrust liability, 186–89, 191
 commercial government enterprise, 163–64
 consumer preferences, 165
 content restriction, 180–82
 costs, 174–76
 legislation, 166
 market entry and exit, 169–71
 monopoly rents, 177
 negotiated service agreements, 178–79
 postal monopolies, 167–69
 Postal Rate Commission, 166, 176, 182–83
 Presidential Commission, 161–64
 principles, 166, 190
 privatisation 162–63
 public service model, 164–66
 Transformation Plan, 162–63
 universal service obligation, 171–74
- Postbank*, 386
- Price
 bid-rigging, 210–15, 300, 321, 395
 offshore price-fixing, 303
 predatory, 470–71
 Private enforcement *see* Enforcement
 Proctor & Gamble, 48–49
 Prohibition decisions, 387–90
 Provini/Aventis, 482
- Rambus*, 26–27, 306
- Reiff*, 427
- Rights of defence
 antitrust enforcement, 128, 136, 224–25, 229
- Royal Philips NV*, 62
- Rule of reason, 37, 94–96, 528
- Schneider Electric SA*, 62
- SEB/Moulinex*, 62, 442
- Separation of powers, 452
- Shearson Lehman Hutton/Maclaine Watson*, 503
- Spain
 judicial review of mergers, 443
- Standardisation
 agreements, 29
 disclosure obligations, 41
- State aids, 387, 421
- Suiker Unie*, 414, 503
- Sweden
 class action, 499
- TACA*, 196, 201
- Telemarketing*, 81, 281–85
- Tests *see* Competition policy
- Tetra Laval II*, 433–41, 444–49, 452–53
- Tetra Laval/Sidel*, 60–61, 435–36, 438–39, 441, 444, 447, 449
- Tetra Pak/Alfa-Laval*, 53, 60–61
- Trinko*, 80, 96
- TWD Textilwerke Deggendorf*, 387–88
- Tying
 commercial, 56–58
 Jefferson Parish test, 94, 98
 technical, 58

- United Brands*, 285
- United Kingdom
 - antitrust enforcement
 - cartel offence, 131–33, 135, 139, 357
 - Competition Act, 1998, 350–52, 356–57
 - criminalization, 135
 - damages awards, 356–57, 382, 481–82
 - dawn-raids, 356
 - deterrence, 356–58, 361
 - director disqualification, 147
 - Enterprise Act, 2002, 131, 351, 356, 358, 482
 - equivalence principle, 132
 - fining policy, 356–58, 360–61
 - group actions, 499
 - imprisonment, 131–32, 356
 - leniency policies, 136, 356–59
 - powers of investigation, 135, 356
 - standard of proof, 503
 - compliance programmes
 - auditing, 370–71
 - business ethics, 360
 - commercial context, 374
 - contact reports, 368–70
 - control, 364–65
 - corporate culture, 360, 371–75
 - disciplinary action, 364
 - legal audit, 362–63
 - management, 363, 365
 - motivation, 360–61
 - Office of Fair Trading, 349–50, 352, 356–57
 - professionalism, 372
 - risk assessment, 362–63
 - training, 364–65
 - judicial review of mergers, 443–44
- United States
 - Antitrust Modernization Commission, 300–02
 - bilateral antitrust cooperation agreements, 591
 - Civil False Claims Act, 1986
 - antitrust enforcement, 377–78, 390–91
 - civil liability, 391
 - relators, 392–395
 - conglomerate mergers
 - Chicago School, 50
 - efficiency defence, 46
 - increased market concentration theory, 49
 - market entrenchment theory, 48–49
 - potential entrants, 45–46
 - reciprocity threat theory, 47
 - consumer welfare, 35
 - criminal enforcement
 - cooperation agreements, 311
 - fining, 123
 - hard-core violations, 124
 - imprisonment, 123
 - international cartels, 144
 - powers of investigation, 149
 - prosecution, 148–49
 - Sentencing Guidelines, 150
 - economic efficiency, 35
 - exemption laws, 302
 - exclusionary conduct, 36
 - Federal Trade Commission
 - cheap predation, 306
 - mergers, 305
 - patents, 28, 306–07
 - state action defence, 409
 - innovation incentives, 297–98
 - joint ventures, 310–11
 - leniency policy, 124, 142, 149–50, 300, 377, 379–80, 400
 - market power, 34–37
 - mergers, 304
 - monopoly power
 - balancing test, 474–75
 - business justification defence, 474
 - investigations, 307
 - two-tier analysis, 458–59
 - Noerr-Pennington doctrine, 28
 - patent ambushing
 - immunity, 28, 307
 - strategy, 26
 - Union Oil Company of California*, 28, 306–07
 - per se rules, 528

United States, *continued*

Postal Rate Commission

- binding rates, 176, 187–88, 191
- costing methodology, 175, 190
- institutional structure, 183
- mail classification, 176, 191
- markets, 170–71, 190
- post offices, 173, 190
- powers, 182, 191
- principles, 166
- revenue requirements, 174, 190
- targets, 173
- universal service obligation, 171–72

predatory pricing, 95, 97, 474

private enforcement

- antitrust injury standard, 490
- arbitration, 308–09
- circumstantial evidence, 309–10
- class action, 301, 308–09, 500
- foreign victims, 299, 303, 487–88
- limitation period, 492
- offshore damages, 303
- passing-on defence, 19, 21, 492–93, 496
- treble damages, 151, 299–301, 378

refusal to license, 288–89

Robinson-Patman Act, 300, 302

rule of reason, 37, 94–96, 528

state action doctrine, 409

tying

- Jefferson Parish test, 94, 98

Unjust enrichment

- European Civil Code, draft, 497

Verband der freien Rohrwerke, 438

Vertical agreements

- Block Exemption Regulation 2790/1999, 508–09, 511, 529–30

Vertical restraints

- block exemptions, 461–62, 509–11, 529
- evolutionary economics, 512–32
- Guidelines, 472, 510, 528
- innovation, 523–27, 530
- motor vehicle industry, 508, 510–11, 529–31
- single branding, 523

V.I.H./Juventus, 496*Virgin/Apple*, 253–71, 274*Volvo/Scania*, 344–45*WorldCom/MCI*, 238–40

World Trade Organization (WTO)

- competition code, 311, 613

Hong Kong, 319–20

Wouters, 428