

Subject Index

A

Absence of communication

proof of, 94–95

strength of, 96–98

Abuse of collective dominance

enforcement implications, 98–101

law and enforcement, 81–84

tacit collusion, 84–98

Abuse of dominant positions

Article 82 EC, 123–34

collective dominance, 134–37

competition law in Vietnam,
170–73

gravity assessment, 382–84

Abuse of market dominance, 306–07

Act against Restraints of Competition
(ARC)

Eighth Amendment to, 423

Enforcing section 29, 424

section 20, 433–34

under section of 24(2), 420

Act against Unfair Competition
(AUC), 421

Adoption/Enforcement, competition law

challenges

common trends, 461–63

economic opportunities, 458–59

spread of, 459–61

*Aer Lingus Group plc v. Commission of the
European Communities*, 111

Airtours criteria

causality, 93–94

state of law, 91–93

Alleged retroactivity of fines

foreseeability, 411–14

importance of Guidelines, 405–08

principle of non-retroactivity, 408–11

Altman Z-score model, 402

Amendments to CLP, 151–54

American Antitrust Institute (AAI), 644

American Professional Testing, 339

American Tobacco case, 338

Anglo American Corporation/Lourho, 107

Anti-Chaebol policy, 303

Anti-competitive effects

Article 101 TFEU, 269

‘effects-based perspective,’ 271

Anticompetitive harm theories, 586–88

Anti-monopoly Bureau (AMB), 483

Anti-monopoly enforcement agency
(AMEA), 480

Anti-monopoly law (AML)

Article 1 of, 490

Article 27 of, 490

Article 27(5) of, 485

Article 28 of, 493

Articles 25 and 26, 480

Articles 27(1) to 27(4) list competition
factors, 489

substantive provisions, 479–80

Antitrust analysis
 goals of, 586–88
 monopolization analysis, 568–69
 scope of, 567–68

Antitrust authority
 gain-based and harm-based fines, 228
 incumbent's investment, 227

Antitrust enforcement
 deterrence effect, 235
 Lufthansa-Germania predation case, 239

Antitrust fines
 criminal nature, 12–14
 Engel criteria, 13
 2006 Guidelines, 7
 level of fines, 10–12

Antitrust law
 'Best Practice Compliance,' 64–66, 74–76
 company responsibility, 60–62
 condition for attributing responsibility, 63
 economic unit, 56–60
 efficiency case, 67–69
 organizational deficiencies, 63–64
 parent companies, 70–73
 undertaking, corporate groups, 62–63

Antitrust Modernization Commission (AMC), 52

Antitrust rules, private enforcement, 35–36

Argentinean system of merger control
 HHI test, 674–77
 overview of, 670–71
 SSNIP test, 671–74

Article 82 EC
 contribution of EC case law, 123–25
 cost-price comparison, 125–28
 multilateral pricing policy, 135–37
 profit 'excessive,' 128–30
 super-dominance and anticompetitive conduct, 133–34
 unfair prices, 131–33

Article 102 of TFEU
 abuse of dominant position, 81
 abuse of intent, 577–78
 abusive conduct, 81
 antitrust liability, 579–80
 collective dominance, 268
 consumer welfare, 430–31
 dominance, 268
 ECD test, 89
 eliminary intent, 580–82
 infringement, 78
 lack of anti-competitive effect, 271
 teleological interpretation, 432–34
 undistorted competition, 421
 unfair competition, 421–22

Average avoidable cost (AAC), 220, 221

Average incremental cost (AIC), 220, 221

B

Banksys case, 247–48

Baustahlgewebe case, 13

Bendenoun v. France, 16

Bertelsmann and Sony, 203

Bertelsmann/Kirch/Premiere, 104, 110

Bertrand model, 84

'Best Practice Compliance'
 cartel profits, 75–76
 civil claims, 76
 costs of compliance, 74–75
 fine calculus, 74
 parent company, 72–73
 requirements, 65–66

Bodson, 124

Bosporus v. Ireland, 10

Brazilian system of merger control
 degree of market concentration, 668–70
 overview of, 666–67
 SSNIP test, 667–68

'British Sugar' case, 61–62

Broadcasting Authority (BA)
 causation standard, 333–34
 contract artists, 338–39

- Guidelines, 324, 326–28
- investigation procedures, 328
- predatory pricing claims, 332–33
- SSNIP test, 330
- structure, 322
- substantial production, 325
- Broadcasting ordinance
 - notice to licensee to cease certain conduct, 343
 - prohibition on abuse of dominance, 342
 - prohibition on anti-competitive conduct, 341–42
 - provisions supplementary to sections 13 and 14, 343
- Bundeskartellamt's balancing policy, 434
- Business Environment and Enterprise Performance Survey, 283
- Business-loss effect, 42
- Buyer power
 - definition, 500
 - new implementations, 514–18
- C**
- Cartel(s)
 - activity, 147–48
 - anticompetitive effect, 142
 - criminalization, 159–61
 - direct effect, 37
 - fault-based company liability, 67–69
 - finances, 66
 - General Court, 23–24
 - hard-core, 84, 246
 - horizontal, 305
 - increase in CLP applications, 154–57
 - infringement, 61, 63
 - investigations, 157–58
 - pass-on effect, 37
 - prices, 201–02
 - profits, 75–76
 - prosecution of, 147
 - role, 151–52
- Cartonboard cartel* case, 25
- Case law
 - on buyer power, 513–14
 - economic consensus, 364–65
 - European Court of Justice (ECJ), 549
 - under 1998 Guidelines, 385
 - illegal gains, 363–64
 - in Korean and Japanese competition regimes, 514
 - OECD survey, 365
 - proportional justice, 364
 - Regulation No. 1/2003, 362
- Category management agreements, 269
- Central Insurance Broker Agency (CIBA), 530
- CEWAL II* case, 97
- Chaebols*, 302, 303, 310–11
- Chinese merger control
 - administrative and procedural framework, 483–85
 - clear-cut procedural framework, 493–94
 - industrial policy, 487–93
 - legal framework, 479–83
 - narrow competition approach, 495–96
 - need for industrial policy, 494–95
 - predictable regime, 495
- Choline chloride cartel* case, 25
- Clayton Act, 35, 36
- 'clear-cut' abuses, 383
- Coercion, 390
- Collusive behaviour, 525
- Commission's 2006 Guidelines on Fines
 - additional amount, 386
 - aggravating factors, 387–90
 - attenuating factors, 390–92
 - alleged retroactivity, 405–14
 - case law, 362–65
 - crisis and fines, 397–405
 - deterrence multiplier, 393–97
 - economic theory, 361–62
 - gravity assessment, 374–84
 - participation of duration, 384–85

- two-step methodology, 366
- upwards/downwards, 386–87
- value of sales, 367–73
- Commission v. Italian Republic*, 45
- Compagnie Nationale du Rhône (CNR)*, 108
- Competition
 - choice, 261–62
 - effects on innovation, 260–61
 - leading brand's market power, 261
 - lower costs, 259–60
 - role of price transparency, 263
 - in traits and seeds, 643–44
 - use of copycat packaging, 264
- Competition Amendment Act, 142, 151, 159
- Competition Appeal Court (CAC), 149
- Competition Appeal Tribunal (CAT), 20
- Competition authority
 - EEA, 249
 - role of, 244
 - time and money, 253–54
- Competition Case Handling Council (CCHC), 168
- Competition law
 - anti-competitive agreements, 168–70
 - Article 101 TFEU, 269–70
 - Article 102 TFEU, 267–69
 - case for intervention, 264–67
 - European Merger Regulation, 270
 - legislative and administrative
 - framework, 165–68
 - market definition, 175–76
 - merger control, 173–74
 - monopoly and abuse of dominant position, 170–73
- Competition law challenges
 - adoption/enforcement, 459–63
 - bilateral cooperation agreements, 473–74
 - bundling competition, 470–71
 - foreign governments and firms, 471–73
 - lack of awareness, 469–70
 - non-competition elements, 470–71
 - objectives and targets, 474
 - political influence, 464–65
 - role of government, 463–64
 - short-lived governments phenomenon, 465
- Competition law enforcement
 - advantages, 288–89
 - anti-cartel laws, 291
 - cost issues, 332
 - firms, 290
 - Guidelines, 326–28
 - high levels of subversion, 291
 - institutional structure, 322
 - market definition, 329–32
 - negligence, 290, 291
 - optimal differentiation rule, 289
 - precaution, 290
 - proposition, 291
 - Regime I, 292, 293
 - Regime II, 292, 293
 - Regime III, 294
 - regulation, 290
 - standard for causation, 333–34
 - statutory provisions, 323–26
 - strict liability, 290
 - theory of torts, 290, 292
- Competition law provisions
 - Directive No. 02/2002/CM/WAEMU, 528
 - Regulation No. 02/2002/CM/WAEMU, 528–29
 - Regulation No. 03/2002/CM/WAEMU, 529
 - Regulation No. 04/2002/CM/WAEMU, 529–30
- Competition policy
 - basis of market economy, 277
 - crisis, 616–18
 - developed countries, 284
 - developing countries, 284–85
 - economic efficiency, 419–20

- external trade policy, 284–85
 - fair competition, 420
 - in financial industry, 614–16
 - free competition, 419
 - Grossman–Helpman model, 285
 - Industrial Organization models, 277
 - ‘infant industry argument,’ 285
 - infrastructure sectors, 287
 - investment projects and state
 - procurement, 287
 - licensing and privatization, 286
 - positive impact on growth, 279
 - productivity, 278–79
 - in regular industries, 613–14
 - Schumpeterian models, 278
 - trade and financial reforms, 279
 - undistorted competition, 421
 - unfair competition, 421–22
 - Competition Tribunal, 143, 149, 158
 - Competitive oligopolies, 100
 - Conditional immunity agreement, 150
 - Conditional licensing, 655–56
 - Confederation of the Food and Drink
 - Industries of the EU (CIAA), 267
 - Consumer cases
 - efficiency of enforcement, 252–55
 - higher prices, 252
 - number of victims, 251–52
 - proposed remedy, 243–45
 - Consumer density, 450
 - Consumer-protection requirements, 434
 - Contiguous market boundaries, 454
 - Convergence rule, 562–63
 - Corporate groups
 - organizational freedom, 70–71
 - undertaking, legal basis, 62–63
 - Corporate leniency policy (CLP)
 - applications, 154–57
 - background, 142–43
 - cartel activity, 147–48
 - challenges, 158–62
 - conditional immunity, 149
 - Contravention of Act, 147
 - ‘first to the door,’ 144–46
 - investigations and referrals, 157–58
 - legal certainty, 151
 - marker procedure, 152–53
 - oral statements, 153–54
 - point of contact, 154
 - procedural aspects, 150
 - role in the cartel, 151–52
 - Cost – benefit assessment, 225
 - Cournot equilibrium, 211
 - Cournot model, 84
 - Court of First Instance (CFI)
 - Airtours* case, 90
 - Akzo Nobel, 57, 59
 - Cementbouw* judgment, 114–15
 - Cement cartel* judgment, 22–23
 - FIFA’s Regulation on Players’ Agents, 83–84
 - GlaxoSmithKline*, 198
 - Impala*, 90, 94
 - Microsoft*, 27, 28
 - minority shareholding, 111
 - TACA*, 83
 - Crisis and fines
 - 2006 Guidelines, 401–05
 - issues, 397–99
 - practice and case law, 1998 Guidelines, 399–401
 - Cross-licensing, 654–55
 - Customer mobility, 635–36
- D**
- Deadweight loss, 500
 - Decree on Competition (DOC)
 - Article 2, 166
 - Article 14, 168
 - Article 16(1), 169
 - Article 18, 169
 - Article 19, 168
 - Article 28(1), 172
 - Degree of market concentration

- in Argentinean system of merger control, 674–77
 - in Brazilian system of merger control, 668–70
- Demand-side substitution test, 443
- Department of Foreign Investment Administration (DFIA), 483
- Deterrence
 - cartel fines, 66
 - multiplier, 393–97
 - optimal, 67
- Deutsche Telekom*, 247
- Development policies
 - competition law enforcement models, 288–95
 - political economy models, 280–83
 - role of competition policy, 276–79
- Domestic retail business, 519
- Dominant firm
 - Article 102(a), 82
 - definition, 81
- Duales System*, 134
- E**
- Earning before interest, taxes depreciation and amortization (EBITDA), 403
- EC competition law
 - private enforcement, 44
 - violation of, 46
- EC Merger Regulation (ECMR)
 - Article 7(3), 104
 - Article 8, 120
 - Article 7 and minority shareholdings, 105–16
 - Electrabel, 104
 - exceptions in Article 7(2), 117–18
- Econometric test, 663–66
- Economic normality
 - ‘objective justification,’ 200
 - persuasion process, 200
 - reasonable probability, 202
- Economic unit
 - actual influence over subsidiary, 58–60
 - Article 101 of TFEU, 56–57
 - Court of First Instance, 57
 - decisive influence, 60
- Effective competitive process, 423
- Electrabel, 108, 109, 118
- Electronic communications sector, 455.
 - See also* Sub-national geographic markets
- Eliminatory intent
 - anticompetitive effects, 582–84
 - antitrust liability, 579–80
 - Article 102 of TFEU, 580–82
- Enforce anti-predation rules
 - antitrust enforcement, 238–39
 - gains of entry, 236–38
 - incumbency, 236
- Enforcement & Exemptions Division, 154
- EU Charter, Article 52(3), 9
- EU competition cases
 - academic efforts, 189
 - Articles 101 and 102 TFEU, 197
 - causation issues, 197
 - chilling effect, 206
 - concerted practices, 197
 - error cost management, 203
 - ‘reasonable probability,’ 199
- EU competition remedies
 - compensation, 244–45
 - consumer’s view, 251–52
 - enforcement view, 252–55
 - infringement, 243–44
 - legal basis, 245–50
- Eurofix-Bauco (Hilti)*, 134
- Europe
 - Courage* case, 47–48
 - Manfredi* case, 48–49
 - passing-on defence, 44–46
- European Commission (EC)
 - Ashurst report, 32
 - compensation-based approach, 50
 - consolidation system, 52–53

- Directorate-General for Competition, 8
- External Impact Study, 52
- Green Paper, 32, 33
- Kesko/Tuko*, 260, 263, 270
- Kimberley Clark/Scott*, 265
- Legal Service, 8
- Leniency Notice, 7
- Rewe/Meinl*, 263, 264
- White Paper, 32, 33
- European Communities
 - compliance measures, 61–62
 - economic unit, 56–60
 - objective infringement, 60–61
- European competition law
 - anti-competitive agreements, 269
 - demand-side substitution test, 443–44
 - dominance and collective dominance, 268
 - GSCOP, 273
 - supply-side substitution test, 443–44
- European competition policy, 636–37
- European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR)
 - Article 6, 13, 14
 - Article 6(1), 10
 - Article 6(2), 14
 - criminal-head guarantees, 14–15
- European Court of Human Rights
 - Article 6 ECHR, 15, 18–21
 - Article 7 of, 408
 - Bosphorus v. Ireland*, 10
 - case law, 407
 - competition law, 15
 - Swedish administrative courts, 21, 22
- European Court of Justice (ECJ)
 - Ahmed Saeed*, 135–36
 - Airtours* criteria, 92
 - Akzo Nobel*, 58–59
 - Article 81(1) EC, 47
 - case law, 549
 - CEWAL II*, 83
 - commercial policy, 59–60
 - community right, 44
 - Continental Can*, 134
 - cost-based test, 124
 - ‘cost of production,’ 125
 - Courage v. Crehan*, 31
 - Dansk Rørindustri*, 409
 - finest, 249
 - market transparency, 92, 94
 - retaliatory mechanisms, 92
 - right to reparation, 46
 - Showa Denko*, 393
 - Sony/BMG*, 93, 95
- European Economic Area (EEA), 366
- European Merger Regulation, 270
 - Kesko/Tuko*, 270
- European Regulators Group (ERG), 439
- European sector inquiry
 - demand side concerns, 620–21
 - market for payment cards, 621–24
 - recommendations, 621
 - supply side concerns, 619–20
- European Union law *vs.* National competition law, 553–59
- Ex ante* rule approach
 - ‘no post-exit price increase’ rule, 232
 - ‘price freezing’ rule, 232–34
- Excessive pricing
 - accounting profits, 130
 - Article 82(2)(a), 132
 - average total cost, 126
 - average variable cost, 125, 126
 - cost-based test, 129
 - economic value, 131–32
 - fixed costs, 125–26
 - investments, 131
 - long-run incremental cost, 126
 - marginal cost, 125, 126
 - monopoly profits, 130
 - profit margin, 128–30
 - United Brands*, 125
 - variable cost, 125, 126
 - ‘yardstick comparison,’ 132

ex post I approach
 determination of fines, 227–28
 terminating the infringement, 226–27
 ex post II approach, 228–29

F

Federation of Senegalese Insurance
 Companies (FFSA), 530
Fee-paying schools case, 250
 Folk theorem, 96
 Free-to-air (FTA) television
 Broadcasting Authority, 336
 composite programming, 329

G

Game theory principles
 coordination conflict, 86, 87
 firm interaction, 85
 oligopoly, 84, 85
 payoff matrix, 85
 self-enforcement, 86
General Motors, 125, 133
General Motors Continental, 249–50
 Geographically differentiated prices,
 451–52
 Geographic units, 441
 definition, 441
 demand-side and supply-side
 substitution, 443–44
 qualitative criteria, 451–54
 quantitative criteria, 445–50
 wholesale market broadband access,
 441–42
 wholesale market leased line operators,
 442–43
 German competition law, 551
 Grant of immunity
 Competition Tribunal, 149
 conditional immunity agreement, 150
Graphite electrodes cartel case, 25
 Gravity assessment, 2006 Guidelines on
 Fines

 abuses of dominant positions, 382–84
 geographic scope, 379
 implementation, 380–82
 infringement, 374
 market share, 380
 nature of offence, 374–79

Groceries Supply Code of Practice
 (GSCOP), 273

Group liability

 general rule, 594–96
 joint liability, 596
 legal/regulatory restriction, 604
 principle of, 593
 regulatory policies, 596–97

Guidelines

 method of setting fines, 7, 249
 television broadcasting sector, 326–28

H

Hard-core cartels, 366
 1998 Guidelines, 375
 Hearing Report, 120
 Herculex®, 646
 Herfindahl-Hirschman Index (HHI), 661,
 674–77

I

Illinois Brick, 35–36
 Independent seed companies (ISCs), 643
 Indirect purchaser standing
 Europe, 46–49
 policy matter, 41–43
 rejection, 35–36
 Industrial policy
 in anti-monopoly review, 489–93
 in national security review, 487–89
 need for, 494–95
Ineos/Kerling, 110
 Innovation, 653–54
 Institutional development
 GDP per capita, 297, 298
 private litigation, 296

public administration and regulatory system, 295–96
 rule of law, 296
 state and political system, 295
 Institutional structure, competition law and policy
 judicial supervision, 468–69
 and powers, 466–68
 resources, 468
 Intellectual property rights (IPRs), 278
 abuse of, 656–59
 essential facility, 650–52
 licensing rules, 652–53
 tying and bundling, 655–56
 Intent evidence
 abuse of intent, 577–78
 antitrust liability, 579–80
 eliminary intent, 580–82
 irrelevance, 570–72
 role of, 585–85
 Intent under Section 2 of Sherman Act
 economic evidence, 575–77
 imputation, 574–75
 irrelevance, 570–72
 predicaments of subjective intent, 572–74
 Interbank payment systems, 633–34
 International Removal Services, 402
 Intervention
 consumer surplus effects, 223
 ex ante rule, 230–34
 ex post rule, 226–30
 no rule, 224–26
 options, 223
 IP-based dominance, 656–59
 Irish competition banking sector
 comments and report, 629–30
 current account and related services, 627–28
 increase competition, 628
 loans to small business, 628–29
 payment clearing system, 629

Irish Sugar, 137
 Italian competition banking sector
 current account and related services, 625–26
 fact-finding investigation, 626–27
 increase competition, 626

J

Janosevic v. Sweden, 16, 19, 20
 Japanese antimonopoly law, 302, 303, 308
 Japanese competition law
 Articles 3 and 19 of AMA, 506–08
 implementation of current laws, 511–12
 Japanese AMA, 506
 unfair trade practices, large retailers, 508–09
 Japan Fair Trade Commission (JFTC), 501
 Joint Inter-ministry Conference on Security Review over Foreign Mergers (JIMCOSR), 483
 Joint liability, 596
 Joint ventures, 609–10
 Judicial review
 area of cartels, 22–24
 Article 6 ECHR, 18–21
 complex economic and technical assessments, 26–28
 scope and intensity, 21–22
 unlimited jurisdiction, 25–26
 Jurisdictional Notice, 106, 114
Jussila v. Finland, 14–16

K

KME v. Commission, 202
 Korea Fair Trade Commission (KFTC), 302–03, 501
 Article 23, 308
 Article 19 enforcement, 306
 block exemption system, 313
 CR3 method, 312
 Korean competition law
 Articles 3–2 and 23 of MRFTA, 503–05

European civil law system, 502–03
 implementation of current laws, 509–11
 legal provisions, 305–07
 market dominance, 312–14
 overemphasis on unfairness, 309–11
 overlapping problems, 307–09
 UBP notification, large retailers, 505–06
 ‘unfairness’ to ‘anti-competitiveness,’
 314–15

L

Large retailers business
 in Japan, 508–09
 in Korea, 505–06
Laurent Piau v. Commission, 83
 Legal basis
 commitments, 246–48
 fines, 248–50
 Legal basis for fines
 Article 23(2) of Regulation
 No. 1/2003, 63
 ‘Best Practice Compliance,’ 64–66
 1988 Recommendation, 64
 undertaking in corporate groups, 62–63
 Legal certainty, 151
 Legal corruption, 282
 Leniency Notice, 7, 387
 Lisbon Reform’s Treaty
 broad competition rules, 425–30
 effects-base approach, 422–25
 competition policy objectives, 419–21
 consumer welfare and public policy *v.*
 high level of protection
 efficiency-based considerations, 430
 evolution and role of consumers’
 interests, 431–32
 public policy, 431
 teleological interpretation, 432–34

M

Manfredi case
 Article 81 EC, 49

Italian competition authority, 48
Mannesmann/Vallourec, 107
MAN/Scania, 107
 Market definition
 DOC, 175, 176
 Guidelines, 327
 market allocation agreement, 330, 331
 subscription television services, 329
 TVB-ATV Joint Acquisition of Sports
 Rights, 330
 VLOC, 175
 Market dominance, 312–14
 Market power
 assessment, 327
 collective dominance, 81, 82
 counterbalancing the leading brand, 261
 foreclosure, choice, 261–62
 Market share, 447–50
 Member States
 National competition laws, 549–53
 notion of an undertaking, 563–65
 Merger control
 Argentinean system, 670–77
 Brazilian system of, 666–70
 competition law in Vietnam, 173–74
 ‘creeping acquisition’ exception, 117
 degree of market concentration,
 663–66
 dominant firm, 291
 minority shareholding, 106
 Mexican merger guidelines, 679
Microsoft case, 27, 28, 309
Ministère Public v. Tournier, 129–30
 Minority shareholdings
 Aer Lingus case, 112, 115
 Article 7(1), 109, 110
 Articles 8(4) and 8(5), 111, 112
 Article 7 *v.* Article 8, 113, 114
 Case T-411/07, 111
 Cementbouw judgment, 114
 controlling shareholding, 111
 de facto control, 106–09

- non-controlling minority shareholding, 111
 - public bid, 110
 - Recital 20 of ECMR, 114
 - stake-building, 116
 - Monopolization analysis, 568–69
 - Monopoly positions, 287
 - Monopoly Regulation and Fair Trade Act (MRFTA), 166, 502
 - abusive conduct, 306–07
 - aims, 303
 - Article 3-2, 307–08
 - Article 19(1), 305
 - Article 23, 305–06
 - Article 23(1), 304
 - control of economic power, 306
 - ‘unfairness’ and ‘unreasonableness,’ 310
 - Monopsony power, 500–01
 - Multinational corporations (MNCs), 499
- N**
- National Competition Authorities (NCAs), 388, 556
 - National Competition Commission, 539
 - National competition law
 - v.* European Union law, 553–59
 - legislative solutions, 552–53
 - of Member States, 549–53
 - notion of an undertaking, 563–65
 - National Development and Reform Commission (NDRC), 479
 - National economic security factor, 481
 - National Prosecuting Authority (NPA), 159, 160
 - National regulatory authorities (NRAs), 437–41
 - homogeneous conditions of competition
 - qualitative criteria, 451–54
 - quantitative criteria, 445–50
 - principle of contiguity, 454
 - National security, in merger control, 485–87
 - Neal Task Force, 100
 - Netherlands Competition Authority, 249, 250
 - Nintendo* case, 250, 253
 - Non-WAEMU competition, 530–31
 - Nordic retail banking
 - comments on Nordic report, 636
 - customer mobility, 635–36
 - interbank payment systems, 633–34
 - payment card systems, 634–35
 - Notion of an undertaking
 - convergence rule, 562–63
 - national competition laws of member states, 549–53, 563–65
 - National competition law *vs.* European Union law, 553–59
 - prohibition, 559–62
- O**
- Office of Fair Trading (OFT), 20, 361
 - Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD), 361
- P**
- Parent companies
 - ‘Best Practice Compliance,’ 72–73
 - corporate strategy and finance, 72
 - fault, 70
 - operational business areas, 71–72
 - organizational freedom, 70–71
 - Part-owned subsidiaries
 - activity on same/adjacent markets, 607–08
 - composition of Board and Board oversight, 607
 - instructions, 608
 - intra-group sales, 608–09
 - no ownership, 608
 - rights to shares, 607
 - share capital, 607

- use of commercial name/trademark, 608
- Passing-on defence
 - demand and supply, 39
 - empirical methods, 38
 - policy matter, 41–43
 - price overcharge, 37
 - problem of, 33–35
- Patent pooling, 655
- Payment card systems
 - in Europe, 621–24
 - in Nordic countries, 634–35
- Point 18 of the 2006 Guidelines, 372–73
- Point 22 of the 2006 Guidelines, 412
- Point 25 of the 2006 Guidelines, 386
- Point 35 of the 2006 Guidelines, 401
- Policy enforcement consequences, 588–91
- Polish competition law, 550
- Political economy models
 - administrative corruption, 283
 - anti-competitive policies, 282
 - collective action, 280
 - inequality in income, 281
 - influence, 283
 - legal corruption, 282
 - state capture, 283
- Polyppropylene cartel* cases, 13
- Portugal v. Commission*, 200
- POSCO, 314
- Post-predation phase, 212
- Predation
 - antitrust enforcement, 238–39
 - Areeda–Turner rule, 219–20
 - average incremental cost, 220
 - consumer surplus effects, 215–17
 - cost concepts, 220
 - cost of error, 221
 - detection options, 219
 - effectiveness condition, 213–14
 - efficiency condition, 213
 - efficiency defence, 221–22
 - entrant's profit effects, 216, 217
 - ex ante, per se rule, 230–34
 - excess profit, 211
 - ex post I approach, 226–28
 - ex post II approach, 228–29
 - ex post I *vs.* ex post II approach, 229–30
 - financial market, 214
 - incumbency advantages, 236
 - incumbent's profit effects, 216, 217
 - intervention options, 223
 - investment, 212, 217
 - length, 212
 - no-rule approach, 224–26
 - overall welfare effect, 217
 - per-se-rule approach, 218
 - potential gains of entry, 236–38
 - recoupment phase, 211
 - signalling and reputation models, 214
- Predation phase, 211
- Predatory pricing law, 206
- Pre-Insulated Pipes cartel*, 250
- Pre-screening approach
 - desirability of entry, 236–38
 - role of incumbency advantages, 236
 - specifics of antitrust enforcement, 238–39
- Private enforcement, proposed remedy, 253
- Private labels
 - choice and foreclosure, 261–62
 - copycat packaging, 264
 - innovation, 260–61
 - leading brand, 261
 - lower costs, 259–60
 - lower prices, 259
 - price transparency, 263
- Profit-maximizing, 211, 215
- Proposed remedy
 - amount of compensation, 244–45
 - categories of victims, compensation, 251–52
 - drawback of higher prices, 252
 - incentive for infringers, 243
 - private enforcement, 253

public enforcement, 253–55
 Public enforcement
 competition authorities, 253–54
 enhancement of deterrent effect,
 254–55
 Public-policy, 434
 Pure financial holding company, 604

R

Recidivism, 387
 Regulation 1/2003
 Article 9, 246
 Article 23(2), 7, 60, 63, 69
 Article 23(2)(a), 248
 Article 23(3), 7
 Article 23(5), 12
 Article 31, 8–9, 24
 Recital 13, 246
 Recital 37, 9–10
 Relevant market, 663–64
 Retail banking sector
 in Ireland, 627–30
 in Italy, 624–27
 in Nordic countries, 633–36
 in UK, 630–32
Rewe v. Landwirtschaftskammer, 52
 Rogue subsidiary, 605

S

Scippacerola and Terezakis, 124
 Senegal
 country background, 523–24
 National Competition Commission, 539
 non-WAEMU competition cases,
 530–31
 policies affecting competition, 534–36
 UNCTAD peer review, 536–38
 UNCTAD recommendations, 538–40
 Settlement Notice, 387
Seven Film Distributors and Theatres, 306
 Sherman Act, 98, 99, 172
 Sister companies, 610

Small but significant and non-transitory
 price increase (SSNIP), 330
 in Argentina, 671–74
 in Brazil, 677–78
 SmartStax®, 644
Società Italiana Vetro SpA v. Commission
 case, 81
Société Stenuit v. France, 14
 SONATEL, 535
Sony/BMG case, 92
 South Africa
 amendments, 151–54
 cartel enforcement, 154–58
 guiding principles, 143–49
 procedural aspects, 150
 South African Airways, 158
 South African Police Service, 161
 Spanish Competition Act, 249
 Special Fighting Committee, 97
 Stable market boundaries, 452–53
 Stand-alone invention, 653–54
 Standard of proof
 Article 353, 190–91
 Article 427, 190
 ‘balance of probabilities,’ 202
 ‘chilling effect,’ 204–05, 206
 civil law jurisdictions, 190
 common law systems, 188, 189
 complexity, 195
 Court of Justice, 192
 CRAM & Rhein zinc, 191
 degree of subjective persuasion, 193
 error cost, 203, 205
 ‘free evaluation of the evidence,’ 193
 intime conviction, 190, 193
 merger and antitrust cases, 195–96
 normality, 199–202
 personal conduct *vs.* consequences,
 196–99
 principle of legal certainty, 194
 ‘requisite legal standard,’ 190
 temporality, 195

Standard setting organizations (SSOs), 654–55

Standstill obligation

- Article 7(1), 110
- ‘gun-jumping’ issues, 104
- minority shareholdings, 105–16
- potential solutions, 118–20

State Action Doctrine, 552, 565

State Administration of Industry and Commerce (SAIC), 480–81

State of law

- market transparency, 92
- retaliatory mechanisms, 92

State owned enterprises (SOEs), 165

Stenuit v. France, 15

STX/Aker Yards, 107

Subjective intent, 572–74

Sub-national geographic markets

- commission decisions related to, 439
- consumer density, 450
- contiguous market boundaries, 454
- distribution of market shares, 447–50
- geographically differentiated prices, 451–52
- geographic units, 441–43
- homogeneous conditions of competition, 443–44
- marketing strategy, 453–54
- NRAs analysis, 438
- number of competitors, 445–47
- preliminary analysis, 440–41
- stable market boundaries, 452–53

Substantive provisions

- anti-monopoly law, 479–80
- Eleventh Five-Year Planning (2006), 482
- foreign merger regulation, 480–82
- Guiding Provisions and 2007 Guidance Catalogue, 482–83

Sundbusserne v. Port of Helsingborg, 126, 129

Supply-side substitution test, 443

Sustainability, 376

T

Tacit collusion

- absence of communication, 94–98
- Airtours* criteria, 91–94
- ECD test, 78, 87, 89
- game theoretic principles, 84–87
- lack of vigorous competition, 90–91
- problem of identification, 87–90

Technological advance, 648–50

Telecom Appeal Board, 318

Television broadcasting sector

- artists, rival channels, 335
- financial resources, 339
- ‘free competition’ clause, 323
- Galaxy’s license, 325
- i-Cable, 319, 320
- market share, 321, 327
- Ninth Circuit’s view, 337, 338
- PCCW Limited, 319–20
- section 13(5), 326
- section 14(4), 324
- subscription service, 336

Tetra Laval, 192, 193

Trans-Atlantic Conference Agreement case, 25

Transparency International, 282

Treaty on European Union (TEU)

- Article 3, 418, 428
- Article 3(1)(b), 418
- Article 3(3), 419

Treaty on Functioning of European Union (TFEU)

- Article 3(b), 429
- Article 12, 418, 426, 432–34
- Article 101, 6, 7, 56–57, 360, 363, 384
- Article 102, 6, 7, 360, 365, 382, 383, 418, 419
- Article 102(b), 422
- Article 116, 426, 428, 430
- Article 117, 428, 430
- Article 119, 418, 419, 428
- Article 120, 419
- Article 169, 422, 432

- Article 169(1), 419, 430
- Article 261, 24
- Article 263, 23
- Article 352, 420
- U**
- UK banking sector
 - comments on British investigation, 632
 - current account and related services, 631–32
- UK Competition Commission (UKCC), 88, 259
- Unfair business practices (UBPs), 501
 - abuse of market dominance, 306–07
 - Appendix 1, 304–05
 - Article 3-2, 307–09
 - Article 23, 307–09
 - Japanese case studies, 512–14
 - in Korea, 505–06, 512–14
 - Section 5 of the FTC Act, 310
 - unfair concerted acts, 305–06
- United Brands*, 123–24, 127, 128
- United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD)
 - peer review, 536–38
 - recommendations, 538–40
- United Shoe Machinery Corp., 34
- United States
 - indirect purchaser standing, 35–36
 - passing-on defence, 33–35
- Universal Analytics*, 339
- US Departments of Agriculture (USDA), 642, 645
- US FTC Act, 314–15
- US Supreme Court
 - concrete blocks, 36
 - Hanover Shoe*, 34, 36
 - Illinois Brick*, 36, 39
 - overcharges, 34–35
 - plaintiffs, 36
 - yardstick method, 37
- V**
- VCAD
 - tasks, 167
- Vietnam
 - Article 16, 164
 - competition enforcement procedures, 167
 - Vietnam-US bilateral trade agreement, 165
- Vietnam Competition Council (VCC), 166, 168, 175
- Vietnamese Law on Competition (VLOC)
 - Article 3(1), 175
 - Article 3(4), 166
 - Article 4(1), 164
 - Article 7, 166
 - Article 8, 168
 - Article 8(1), 168
 - Article 8(5), 169
 - Article 11, 171
 - Article 11(2), 171
 - Article 12, 171
 - Article 13, 171
 - Article 14, 171
 - Article 18, 174
 - Article 19, 174
 - broadly-based exemption system, 170
 - Chapters 2 and 3, 166
- Vietnamese National Competition Council, 163
- Vigorous competition
 - combination of strategies, 85–86
 - lack of, 90–91
 - oligopoly, 84
- W**
- Wanadoo*, 205
- West African Economic and Monetary Union (WAEMU), 522
- Advisory opinion No. 003/2000 (27 June 2000), 531–33

- competition law, 524–26, 528–30
 - Competition Law of 22 August 1994 (Loi No. 94-63), 524–26
 - competition law provisions, 528–30
 - competitors in cement industry, 533–34
 - foundational principles of, 527
 - history and institutions, 526–27
 - Niger food distribution case, 534
 - policies affecting competition, 534–36
 - UNCTAD peer review, 536–38
 - White Paper on damages action, 49–53
 - Wholesale market, 441–43
 - Wholly owned subsidiaries
 - ability, 598–99
 - commercial policy, 599–600
 - presumption, 597
 - rebuttal of presumption, 600–05
 - Wichita Clinic*, 338
 - 2002 World Cup Package case, 329, 332, 333
- Y**
- Yara/Kemira/GrowHow*, 104