

# Subject Index

## A

Absolute territorial protection and resale price maintenance (RPM), 230–33

Abuses of dominance, Chinese Rules on abusing IPRs

dominant market position, 607–09

essential facility, 609–11

imposing unreasonable conditions, 611–12

patent pool: abuse of dominance, 612–13  
SEPs, 613–14

Abusing IPRs Rules, China. *See Chinese Rules on abusing IPRs*

Administrative and judicial discretion in setting fines

Article 23(2) of Regulation 1/2003, 547

Commission's discretion, 548

effects and importance

Article 31 of Regulation 1/2003, 550

Article 261 TFEU, 549

Fining Guidelines, 548

inclusion of internal sales

calculation of fines imposed on

vertically integrated undertakings, 551–52

in conflict with concept of

undertaking, 554–56

inclusion of internal sales as a rule, 552–54

Leniency Notice, 548

parental liability, 549

procedural and substantive requirements, 549

repeated infringement

common identity of infringing undertakings, 557–60

concept of recidivism, 556–57

legality of Commission's approach, 560–65

upper limit of fine in case of successive responsibilities, 565–69

Ancillary restraints doctrine and

applicability to vertical relationships

Article 4(a) of Regulation 330/2010, 229

*Eastern Scientific Co. v. Wild Heerbrugg Instruments, Inc.*, 230

origins of ancillary restraints doctrine, 226–27

Paragraphs 28–31 of the 101(3) Guidelines, 227

vertical price fixing, 228

Article 102, effects-based approach

attempt to modernize Article 102, 5–6

case-by-case approach, 4

Commission's attempt to reform

Article 102 'Guidance' Paper, 8

Intel Decision, 8–9

Report of the EAGCP, 7–8

form-based approach, 5

General Court's *Intel* Judgment of 12 June 2014, 9–12

- Intel* judgment, 3–4
- Wouter Wils' article
  - deficiencies of the 'as efficient competitor' test, 23–25
  - failure of dominant firms to justify pricing practices, 26–27
- Intel* Judgment as 'effects-based' and 'economic', 18–22
- principal, 12–13
- protection of the discovery value of competition, 22–23
- self-interest of lawyers and economists, 27–28
- use of presumptive per se rules to preserve undistorted competition, 16–18
- usurpation of economics by the welfarist approach, 13–16
- Assessing MFN clauses under EU competition law
  - case precedents
    - MFN clauses in e-books sector, 338–39
    - MFN clauses in hotel online bookings sector, 339–40
    - MFN clauses in online private motor insurance sector, 340–41
  - legal framework
    - Article 101(3) TFEU, 338
    - Block Exemption Regulation, 336
    - case-by-case assessment, 337
    - RPM, 336

## B

- Balancing test for generic R&R aid
  - common principles, 198
  - market failure fails as justification for R&R aid, 199–201
- R&R aid to ensure continuity of SGEI, 208–10
- R&R aid to prevent social hardship, 203–08

- specific 'market failures' in R&R
  - guidelines not plausible, 201–03

## C

- China's dual enforcement system regarding RPM agreements. *See* Resale price maintenance (RPM)
- Chinese approach to RPM
  - Anti-monopoly Law (AML 2008), 236
  - Chinese National Development and Reform Commission (NDRC), 236
  - judicial approach: *Ruibang v. Johnson & Johnson*, 242–49
  - NDRC's approach: *Moutai & Wuliangye*, 240–42
- Chinese Rules on abusing IPRs
  - abuses of dominance
    - dominant market position and, 607–09
    - essential facility, 609–11
    - imposing unreasonable conditions, 611–12
    - patent pool: abuse of dominance, 612–13
    - SEPs, 613–14
  - adoption of abusing IPR Rules, 598–601
  - analytical approach for violating the abusing IPR rules, 614
  - anti-competitive agreements and safe harbours
    - differentiating hard-core restrictions and anti-competitive agreements, 606–07
    - distinction between competitors and non-competitors, 604–05
    - patent pool: anti-competitive agreements, 607
    - safe harbour for anticompetitive agreements, 605–06
  - Antimonopoly Law (AML), 597, 598
  - goals, 603
  - punishment for violating the abusing IPR rules, 615

- Qualcomm* Case, 601–03
- relevant product market, 603
- scope, 604
- Commitment decisions and private
  - enforcement of EU Competition Law
- advantages for the EC, 533–34
- advantages for undertaking under investigation, 534
- EC's and NCAs' decisions in Article 102 case
  - overview, 539–40
  - potential *versus* concrete value of commitment decision in damages case, 540–41
  - prohibition decisions and private enforcement of EU competition law, 538–39
- EC's margin of discretion and opportunity assessment, 532–33
- National Competition Authorities (NCAs), 527
- options available to claimants in private damages actions
  - Article 15(2) of Regulation 1/2003, 542
  - Article 267(2) of the TFEU, 543
  - Recital 13 of Regulation 1/2003, 541–42
- potential solutions for companies with limited financial means, 544–45
- private claims in enforcement of Article 102 Rules
  - decentralized enforcement of private actions based on Article 102 TFEU, 535–37
  - subsidiary role of private enforcement of EU competition law, 537–38
- Private Damages Directive, 528
- procedural steps to be followed in a commitments case
  - Article 9 of Regulation 1/2003, 529
  - Article 27(4) of Regulation 1/2003, 529
  - Recital 13 of Regulation 1/2003, 531
  - Statement of Objections (SO), 531
  - Regulation 1/2003, 527
- Competition Act of 2010
  - additional features
    - different competitive effects tests?, 460
    - limited (but some) role for private actions, 460–61
    - unwarranted concentrations of economic power, 458–59
  - general features of administration and process
    - Competition Tribunal, 446
    - 'financial penalties,' 445
  - objectives of the *Act*
    - Section 3, 447
    - Section 4(1)(c), 447–48
    - Section 4(3), 448
    - Section 23(1), 448
  - restrictive trade practices
    - abuse of dominant position, 452–55
    - applicable to trade associations, 452
    - horizontal and vertical agreements, 449–51
    - mergers, 455–58
- Competition, copyright and distribution of
  - political information and opinions
  - distribution of copyrighted works as
    - objective of competition law, 372–74
  - distribution of information as objective of copyright law, 370–72
  - in favour of dynamic and evolutionary concept of competition, 374–75
- Concept of concentration and minority shareholdings, 79–80
- Conglomerate mergers
  - ability, incentive and effect
    - clarifying the question and analysis, 588–90
    - dynamic effects and counter strategies, 590–91

- potentially illegal strategy required, 590
  - price discrimination impact on
    - incentive condition, 591–92
  - proposed means of analysis in
    - conglomerate mergers, 593–94
  - strength of buyers and competitors, 592
  - anti-competitive foreclosure
    - predation strategy, 586–87
    - price discrimination (first/second degree), 585–86
  - competitions, 571
  - GE/Honeywell aviation parts merger, 573–74
  - price discrimination, 579–80
  - raise rivals costs, 580–82
  - static price rise considered in
    - conglomerate UK merger case, 582–84
  - Tetra/Sidel packaging machine merger, 573
  - vertical mergers (*see* Vertical and conglomerate mergers)
  - Coordinated effects in merger analysis
    - checklist applied to coordination
      - demand factors, 278
      - new indicators, 279
      - structural factors, 275–76
      - supply factors, 276–78
    - identifying coordination
      - distinguishing collusion from competition, 273–74
    - pro-competitive reaction, 273
  - Cover pricing and the overreach of ‘object’ liability under Article 101 TFEU
    - agreement or concerted practice
      - Dyestuffs* case, 512
      - Flat Roofing Services* case, 510–11
    - Competition Appeal Tribunal (CAT), 509
    - harm in cover pricing?, 517–19
      - competitive replacement bidder, 518–19
    - possible scenarios, 517–18
  - object or effect?
    - Beef Industry* case, 514
    - Chapter I, Competition Act 1998, 516
    - ‘object’ arrangements, 513
    - T-Mobile* analysis, 515
  - treated as ‘object’ restriction?
    - analysis as to ‘object’ take effects into account?, 520–23
    - is ‘object’ analysis exclude effects?, 523–24
  - UK Office of Fair Trading (OFT)/Competition and Markets Authority, 508
- D**
- Data in multi-sided business model, 476–79
    - Amazon and eBay, 476
    - Google and Facebook, 476
    - search algorithm and functionality, 477
    - search engine providers, 478
    - Twitter licenses data, 478–79
  - Data protection under intellectual property and trade secret law, 480–82
  - Data types collected on online platforms, 474–76
  - Defining markets for multi-sided platforms
    - characteristics of multi-sided markets, 350–51
    - defining multi-sided markets in antitrust law
      - determining substitutability, 355–56
      - existence of market for free services, 352–55
      - importance of market definition in determining market power, 352
  - digital platforms, 349
  - market for indexing web content
    - defining market for indexing, 365
    - existence of separate market for indexing, 364–65

- market for online search advertising
    - distinction between offline and online advertising, 358–59
    - distinction between online search and non-search advertising, 359–61
    - relevant geographic market, 361
  - market for web search services
    - defining market for web search services, 363
    - existence of separate market despite service is free, 361–62
    - quantifying market share, 364
  - physical platforms, 349
  - three-sided market for web search services
    - search engines as intermediaries between three user groups, 358
    - three user groups, 356–58
  - Distribution of copyrighted works as objective of competition law, 372–74
  - Distribution of information as objective of copyright law, 370–72
  - Dual system of AML enforcement, 250–52
  - Dual-tiered model of AML enforcement
    - dual system of AML enforcement, 250–52
  - SPC Provisions 2012, 249–50
- E**
- Economic Advisory Group on Competition Policy (the EAGCP) Report, 7–8
  - Economic characteristics of data
    - availability and diversity in value of data, 483
    - non-rivalrous nature of data, 479–80
    - protection of data under intellectual property and trade secret law
      - Article 39(2) of the TRIPS Agreement, 482
      - Database Directive, 480–81
  - Economic theories on leniency and settlements
    - ambivalence of leniency and settlement clarity and certainty, 400
    - statement of objections (SO), 401
    - penalties, deterrence and sanctions more widely
      - monetary penalties, 403–04
      - penalty reductions, 402
    - EC's and NCAs' decisions in Article 102 case and private enforcement of EU Competition Law
      - overview, 539–40
    - potential *versus* concrete value of commitment decision in damages case, 540–41
    - prohibition decisions and private enforcement of EU competition law, 538–39
  - Effectiveness and attractiveness of leniency and settlements
    - narrow focus on efficiency
      - challenges based on possible lack of transparency, 407–09
      - challenges, equality of treatment and fairness principles, 409–10
    - towards more macroscopic perspective
      - over-reliance on leniency and settlements, 412–14
      - perception of fairness in deployment of tools, 414–17
  - 'Effect on trade' criterion and RPM
    - decisions of European Commission
      - ASPA, 220
      - Gerofabriek* case, 220–21
      - Hasselblad* case, 221
      - Mercedes-Benz* case, 222
      - Yamaha* case, 223
  - economic theories on relationship between vertical price and non-price restraints
    - Sylvania* case, 225–26
    - vertical restraints, 224

- ‘effect on trade between Member States’  
as jurisdictional element of Article  
101(1), 218–19
  - EU approach to RPM
    - EU Directive on Antitrust Damages, 240
    - Pedro IV Servicios*, 239
  - European Commission’s decisional practice  
on failing division defences
    - Bertelsmann/Kirch/Premiere*, 430–31
  - European failing firm defence
    - Aerospatiale-Alenia/De Havilland*,  
426–27
    - BASF elements, 428–30
    - decision in *Kali + Salz*, 427
    - genesis of failing division test in U.S. law,  
423–25
    - Newscorp/Telepiu*, 432
    - Nynas/Shell/Harburg*, 434
    - Olympic/Aegean II* (2013), 433
    - REWE/Meinl*, 431
    - Via Digital*, 432
  - European failing firm defence
    - Aerospatiale-Alenia/De Havilland*, 426–27
    - BASF elements, 428–30
    - decision in *Kali + Salz*, 427
  - EU-US convergence and against Global  
Merger Control Regime
    - GE/Honeywell merger, 110
    - global antitrust system, 108
    - global markets, 107
    - institutional changes in the EU
      - FTC’s assessment, 115–16
      - Most Favoured Nation (MFN) clauses,  
114
    - mergers and merger rules, 108–09
    - no global merger regime
      - goals of competition law, 124–27
      - procedural concerns, 120–24
      - solution proposals, 127–31
    - procedural changes
      - Article 13 of the new EUMR, 118
      - civil investigative demands (CIDs),  
118
  - EU Commission’s investigative  
procedure, 117
  - EU/US merger working group, 119
  - solution proposals
    - hard multilateral approach, 128–29
    - soft multilateral approach, 129
    - WTO Premerger Office, 127
- F**
- Factors of international cooperation
    - availability of resources, 312–13
    - coherence of competition regimes,  
309–10
    - confidentiality law, 308–09
    - cross-border trade, 307–08
    - mutual trust, 311–12
  - Failing division test in U.S. law
    - Citizen Publishing* case, 424
    - International Shoe v. FTC*, 423–24
    - joint FTC/DOJ Horizontal Merger  
Guidelines of 1992, 425
  - Fine-tuning leniency and settlement  
policies
    - economic theories on leniency and  
settlements
      - ambivalence of leniency and  
settlement, 399–401
      - penalties, deterrence and sanctions  
more widely, 402–04
    - enforcement challenges in practice
      - too narrow focus on efficiency could  
backfire, 405–10
      - towards more macroscopic perspective,  
410–17
    - leniency and settlements distinguished,  
397–98
    - optimal sanctions and deterrence in cartel  
enforcement, 395–97
    - towards more pluralistic strategy
      - Australian Competition and Consumer  
Commission (ACCC), 420
      - Competition & Markets Authority  
(CMA), 419

- Pioneer Foods* bread cartel case, 418–19
- public agency's limited resources, 417–18
- 'Functional separation' of Openreach from British Telecom plc (BT)
  - long-run consumer-welfare effects
    - customer satisfaction with BT relative to competitors, 63–69
    - UK's global competitiveness in telecommunications, 69–74
    - UK's investment in telecommunications, 61–63
  - Office of Communications' (Ofcom) market research, 31–32
  - short-run consumer welfare effects
    - lost consumer surplus in the broadband market, 54–57
    - lost consumer surplus in the residential fixed-line market, 57–59
    - on prices in the United Kingdom, 33–54
    - reduction in broadband prices a transfer of wealth, 59–61
- G**
- Geographic or customer-based collusion
  - analysis of coordinated effects in merger analysis
    - checklist applied to coordination, 275–79
    - identifying coordination, 272–74
- Cartel detection
  - external stability, 269
  - incentive compatibility and internal stability, 266–68
  - reaching and monitoring coordination, 263–66
- literatures in coordination
  - CC aggregates market investigation, 256–57
  - CC findings in the cement market, 257–60
  - comments on the remedies, 261–62

- Competition Commission (CC), 255
- internal stability for coordination, 255
- merger of building materials firms, 254–55
- other related literature, 262
- remedies required by CC, 260–61
- potential checklist factors
  - demand factors, 270
  - structural factors, 269–70
  - supply factors, 270

**I**

- Incentive compatibility and internal stability, 266–68
- Inclusion of internal sales, discretion in setting fines
  - calculation of fines imposed on vertically integrated undertakings, 551–52
  - in conflict with concept of undertaking, 554–56
  - inclusion of internal sales as a rule, 552–54
- India, merger control regime. *See Merger control in India*
- International cooperation in competition law enforcement
  - assessment of divergent practices
  - international cooperation procedures, 317
  - OECD/ICN survey, 314–15
  - RTAs, 315
  - three stages of cooperation, 313–14
  - waiver of confidentiality, 316
- international trade, 301
- key drivers of international cooperation
  - availability of resources, 312–13
  - coherence of competition regimes, 309–10
  - confidentiality law, 308–09
  - cross-border trade, 307–08
  - mutual trust, 311–12

national, global and regional measures for  
facilitating international cooperation,  
302–06

## K

Kenya's new competition policy regime  
Colonial Period and the Price Control  
Act of 1956, 439  
Competition Act of 2010  
different competitive effects tests?,  
460  
general features of administration and  
process, 444–46  
limited (but some) role for private  
actions, 460–61  
objectives of the *Act*, 446–49  
restrictive trade practices, 449–58  
unwarranted concentrations of  
economic power, 458–59  
'competition policy ecosystem,' 438  
'Kenya Vision 2030' project, 438–39  
related literature, 442–43  
RTPMPC Act, 439–41  
'Kenya Vision 2030' project, 438–39

## L

Legal arrangements at national, global and  
regional measures, international  
cooperation  
cross-border cooperation, 302  
mutual legal assistance treaties (MLATs),  
304  
national competition agencies, 303  
procedural steps, 306  
regional trade agreements (RTAs), 304  
RTAs, 305  
Sections 243–4 of the UK Enterprise Act  
2002, 303  
Legal treatment of non-controlling  
shareholdings in EU competition  
law  
application of Articles 101 and 102  
TFEU

*Gillette* decision, 85–86  
*Philip Morris* judgment, 83–84  
enforcement against pre-existing  
non-controlling shareholdings under  
EUMR  
Annex 2 to the Consultation Paper, 86  
*Ryanair/Aer Lingus* decision, 88  
*Siemens/VA Tech*, 87  
*Ryanair/Aer Lingus* case and perceived  
enforcement gap, 88–89  
Long-run consumer-welfare effects of  
functional separation  
customer satisfaction with BT relative to  
competitors  
customer satisfaction by sector, 2009 &  
2012, 65  
Fibre to the Home (FTTH) Council  
Europe, 67–69  
GfK surveys, 63–64  
Openreach & Virgin Media's customer  
satisfaction rate, 66–67  
UK's global competitiveness in  
telecommunications  
broadband speed, 70–72  
domain name registrations, 72–73  
secure servers, 73–74  
UK's investment in telecommunications  
Average Annual Change in Consumer  
Surplus for Broadband and  
Residential Fixed-Line Telephony,  
2008 to 2010, 63  
compound annual growth rate  
(CAGR), 61–62  
Public Telecommunications  
Investment, 2005 to 2010, 62

## M

Malaysian Banks' Compliance with  
Malaysian Competition Act  
Competition Act effects on  
anticompetitive behaviour  
antitrust investigations and  
prosecutions, 158–59



- back-of-the-envelope calculation, 165–67
- disposal tools, 160
- finer for ‘obstruction of justice’ style offences, 162–63
- potential remedies for anticompetitive behaviour, 160–62
- revenue-based penalties, 164–65
- inter-bank rate fixing, 133
- international cases suggest antitrust enforcement
  - antitrust cases handled by EU (DG-Comp) focused on price fixing, 139, 140
  - cross-border nature of banking, 141
  - financial sector antitrust enforcement depends on external political incentives?, 139, 140
  - issues assessing potential anticompetitive behaviour, 135–37
  - recent areas of antitrust enforcement and relevance, 137–39
  - trends that affect banks’ antitrust compliance costs and benefits, 142–43
- Malaysia in comparative perspective
  - banks more competitive due to deregulation, 145–46
  - clustering analysis, 148–49
  - concentration ratios, 145
  - follow-the-leader behaviour, 147–48
  - net interest margins, 146–47
  - ranking for banking sector market power, 144
- Malaysian Competition Commission, significant effects
  - anticompetitive behaviour, 149–50
  - anticompetitive horizontal and vertical agreements, 150–51
  - financial services for bloc exemption?, 153
  - monitoring anticompetitive behaviour, 154
- Price Control and Anti-Profiteering Act, 157
- private credit, 155
- UNCTAD report, 152
- Market definition and market power in data
  - data as competitive advantage?
    - data’s role on user and advertiser side of online platforms, 484–86
    - diminishing returns to scale, 486–87
    - identifying situations in access to data, 487–89
  - data in multi-sided business model, 476–79
  - data types collected on online platforms, 474–76
  - economic characteristics of data
    - availability and diversity in value of data, 483
    - non-rivalrous nature of data, 479–80
    - protection of data under intellectual property and trade secret law, 480–82
  - market definition for data
    - current approach towards market definition of online platforms, 491–92
    - data as specialized asset, 492–95
    - substitutability of different types of data, 495–501
    - supply and demand, 489–90
  - market power in data
    - Microsoft/Skype* merger decision, 503
    - PeopleBrowsr vs. Twitter*, 501–02
    - WhatsApp, 502
  - strength of online businesses, 473
- Market definition for data
  - current approach towards market definition of online platforms, 491–92
  - data as specialized asset
    - definition of data-related relevant markets, 492–93
    - definition of input market for data, 493
    - free access to online platforms, 494–95

- substitutability of different types of data
    - offline *versus* online data, 496–97
    - previous mergers in data-related markets, 495–96
    - statements in merger decisions
      - involving data concentration, 499–501
    - subsegmenting the market for online data, 497–99
    - supply and demand, 489–90
- Market for indexing web content
  - defining market for indexing, 365
  - existence of separate market for indexing, 364–65
- Market for online search advertising
  - distinction between offline and online advertising, 358–59
  - distinction between online search and non-search advertising, 359–61
  - relevant geographic market, 361
- Market for web search services
  - defining market for web search services, 363
  - existence of separate market despite service is free, 361–62
  - quantifying market share, 364
- Market transparency in assessing MFN clauses
  - assessing MFN clauses under EU competition law
    - case precedents, 338–41
    - legal framework, 336–38
  - relevance of market transparency
    - Article 101(1) TFEU, 345
    - contractual rights, 344
    - penalty, 342
    - sales contracts, 343
  - role of market transparency in assessment
    - of agreements under Article 101 TFEU
      - Aalborg* case, 346
    - collective dominance, 346–47
    - private damages actions, 347
    - theories of harm and efficiency
      - anticompetitive effects, 334–35
      - LEAR report, 335
      - procompetitive effects, 334
- Media markets, competition law in
  - competition, copyright and distribution
    - of political information and opinions
  - distribution of copyrighted works as objective of competition law, 372–74
  - distribution of information as objective of copyright law, 370–72
  - in favour of dynamic and evolutionary concept of competition, 374–75
  - merger control as means to promote democracy, 378–82
  - pledge for independent authorities and courts
    - CableVisión/Multicanal* merger, 388–89
  - CNDC, 389
  - COMFER, 389
  - state-owned enterprises (SOEs), 391
  - problem of distribution platforms and networks
    - CMOs, 376
    - Direct-To-Home (DTH), 377
    - newspaper distribution, 377
  - promoting democracy through non-intervention, 386–88
  - using unilateral conduct rules to promote democracy, 383–85
  - World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO), 368
- Merger analysis. *See* Geographic or customer-based collusion
- Merger control as means to promote democracy
  - approaches, 378
  - Article 21(4)(1) and (2) of the EU Merger Control Regulation
    - 139/2004, 381
  - competition-oriented principles, 380
  - media mergers, 378–79

## Merger control in India

Competition Commission of India (CCI), 281–82

issues relating to combinations

commitments by the CCI, 297–99

filing the notification, 285–88

gun-jumping concerns and

inter-connected transactions, 294–97

interpretation of control, 288–92

minority strategic investments, 292–94

regulatory framework governing

combinations under the

Competition Act

Combination Regulations, 282

types of forms, 283–84

Merger issues relating to combinations, India

commitments by the CCI

*GSPC Distribution/Gujarat Gas*, 298

*Mylan/Agila Specialties*, 297

*Orchid/Hospira*, 297

*Sun/Ranbaxy* merger, 298

filing the notification

*Aditya Birla Nuvo Limited (ABNL)/Peter England Fashions Limited*, 285–86

merger control process, 287

*Prime Focus/Reliance MediaWorks*, 288

*Tesco Overseas Investment Limited*

(*Tesco*)/*Trent Hypermarket Limited (Trent)*, 286

gun-jumping concerns and

inter-connected transactions

*Etihad Order*, 295

*Thomas Cook/Sterling*, 296

interpretation of control

*Alpha TC Holdings/Standard Greases & Specialties*, 290

*Century Tokyo/Tata Capital*, 289

*Etihad Airways/Jet Airways (Etihad Order)*, 290

*Jet-Etihad* deal, 291

*Multi Screen Media Private Limited/SPE Mauritius Holdings*, 289

minority strategic investments

acquisition of non-controlling

minority shareholding, 294

*New Moon B.V./Mylan Inc.*, 293

*SAAB AB (Publ.)/Pipava Defence*, 292–93

## Minority shareholdings

concept of concentration and minority shareholdings, 79–80

economics of non-controlling shareholdings, 80–83

enforcement GAP

Article 101 TFEU, 94–95

*Philip Morris* judgment, 93

EU Merger Regulation (EUMR), 77–78

impact assessment report (IAR), 78

is current approach appropriate?

from a procedural viewpoint, 101–103

from a substantive law viewpoint, 96–100

legal treatment of non-controlling

shareholdings in EU competition

law

application of Articles 101 and 102

TFEU, 83–86

enforcement against pre-existing

non-controlling shareholdings under EUMR, 86–88

*Ryanair/Aer Lingus* case and perceived enforcement gap, 88–89

reform proposed by the Commission

options suggested in the consultation paper, 89–90

proposed system in the WP, 91–92

*Ryanair/Aer Lingus* case, 77–78

staff working document (SWD), 78

## Most-favored nation (MFN) clauses

in e-books sector, 338–39

in hotel online bookings sector, 339–40

in online private motor insurance sector, 340–41

## Multi-sided markets in antitrust law

determining substitutability, 355–56

- existence of market for free services, 352–55
  - importance of market definition in determining market power, 352
- N**
- Non-controlling shareholdings
    - corporate rights, 82
    - financial interest, shareholders, 81
    - firms' incentives, 80–81
- O**
- Offline and online advertising, 358–59
  - Online search and non-search advertising, 359–61
- P**
- Private claims in enforcement of Article 102 Rules
    - decentralized enforcement of private actions based on Article 102 TFEU
    - Private Damages Directive, 535–36
    - Trimen v. Cosmote* case, 536–37
    - subsidiary role of private enforcement of EU competition law, 537–38
  - Promoting democracy through non-intervention, 386–88
- R**
- Reaching and monitoring coordination, 263–66
  - Repeated infringement as aggravating circumstance in setting fines
  - common identity of infringing undertakings
    - Commission's decisional practice, 558
    - legal entity, 559
  - concept of recidivism, 556–57
  - legality of Commission's approach
    - Commission's discretion and *ne bis in idem*, 561–62
    - notion of undertaking, 560–61
    - rights of the defence, 562–65
    - upper limit of fine in case of successive responsibilities
      - application of the 10% ceiling, 565–66
      - application of two separate 10% ceilings, 567–68
      - implications for the calculation of fines, 568–69
  - Resale price maintenance (RPM)
    - and absolute territorial protection, 230–33
    - ancillary restraints doctrine and applicability to vertical relationships, 226–30
    - Chinese approach to RPM
      - judicial approach: *Ruibang v. Johnson & Johnson*, 242–49
      - NDRC's approach: *Moutai & Wuliangye*, 240–42
    - dual-tiered model of AML enforcement
    - dual system of AML enforcement, 250–52
    - SPC Provisions 2012, 249–50
    - and 'effect on trade' criterion
      - decisions of European Commission, 220–24
      - economic theories on relationship between vertical price and non-price restraints, 224–26
      - 'effect on trade between Member States' as jurisdictional element of Article 101(1), 218–19
    - EU approach to RPM, 238–40
    - Guidelines on Vertical Restraints, 216
    - US approach to RPM, 236–38
  - Rescue and Restructuring (R&R) Aid
    - Guidelines of the European Commission
      - balancing test, 192–93
      - balancing test for generic R&R aid
        - market failure fails as justification for R&R aid, 199–201
      - R&R aid to ensure continuity of SGEI, 208–10

R&R aid to prevent social hardship,  
     203–08  
     specific ‘market failures’ in R&R  
         guidelines not plausible, 201–03  
 candidate objectives, 191  
 regional aid, 192  
 ‘R&R guidelines,’ 189–90  
 severe and pervasive harm of R&R aid  
     behaviour of Member States, 196–97  
     Economic Advisory Group on  
         Competition Policy (EAGCP),  
         197  
     financial crisis, 194–95  
     Paragraph 6 of the R&R guidelines,  
         195  
     State aid modernization plan, 197  
 SGEI, 193  
 The Restrictive Trade Practices,  
     Monopolies and Price Control Act  
     (1988)  
     criticism, 440  
     inadequacies, 440–41  
     price control provisions, 439  
     ‘7-Up Project,’ 441  
 RPM. *See* Resale price maintenance  
     (RPM)  
 R&R aid to ensure continuity of SGEI,  
     208–10  
 R&R aid to prevent social hardship  
     aims at objective of common interest,  
         203–04  
     balancing positive and negative effects,  
         207–08  
     distortion of competition, 207  
     as well-designed aid measure?, 204–06  
*Ruibang v. Johnson & Johnson*, Chinese  
     approach to RPM  
     Decision of the Shanghai Intermediate  
         Court, 243–44  
     Shanghai High Court’s Reasoning  
         burden of proof, 248–49  
         J&J’s market position in the medical  
         suture market, 245–47

relevant market, 244–45  
 restrictive anti-competition effect, 247

## S

Search engines as intermediaries between  
     three user groups, 358  
 Short-run consumer welfare effects of  
     functional separation  
     lost consumer surplus in the broadband  
         market  
         estimation of change in broadband  
         consumer surplus, 56–57  
         estimation of consumer surplus,  
         54–55  
     lost consumer surplus in the residential  
         fixed-line market  
         estimation of change in fixed-line  
         consumer surplus, 2008 and 2009,  
         58–59  
         predicted and actual residential  
         fixed-line prices, 2008 and 2009,  
         57–58  
     prices for broadband in the United  
         Kingdom relative to comparable  
         countries  
         econometric estimate of the UK’s  
         broadband demand, 38–43  
         econometric estimate of the UK’s  
         broadband prices, 43–45  
         prices for broadband relative to OECD  
         Countries, 34–38  
     prices for residential fixed-line telephony  
         in the UK relative to comparable  
         countries  
         econometric estimate of the UK’s  
         residential fixed-line demand,  
         49–52  
         econometric estimate of the UK’s  
         residential fixed-line prices, 52–54  
         residential fixed-line prices relative to  
         OECD countries, 45–49  
     reduction in broadband prices a transfer  
         of wealth

BT.A and FTSE 100 Monthly Returns  
 Indexed to 2008, 2008 to 2010,  
 59–60  
 BT Market-Adjusted Return, 2008 to  
 2010, 60–61  
 Standard-essential patents (SEPs), 597,  
 613–14  
 Supply and demand for data, 489–90

## T

Three-sided market for web search services  
 search engines as intermediaries between  
 three user groups, 358  
 three user groups, 356–58

## U

Unilateral conduct rules to promote  
 democracy  
 CCI's analysis, 383  
 Section 4(2)(b)(i) Competition Act,  
 384–85  
 Upper limit of fine in case of successive  
 responsibilities  
 application of the 10% ceiling, 565–66  
 application of two separate 10% ceilings,  
 567–68  
 implications for the calculation of fines,  
 568–69  
 US approach to RPM, The *Leegin Judgment*,  
 236–38

## V

Vertical and conglomerate mergers  
 anti-competitive foreclosure, 582–84  
 Chicago critique, 576–77

efficiencies and margin analysis, 578  
 price discrimination, 579–80  
 price increase, 577–78  
 raise rivals costs, 580–82  
 static price rise considered in  
 conglomerate UK merger case,  
 582–84

## W

Wouter Wils' article  
 deficiencies of the 'as efficient  
 competitor' test, 23–25  
 failure of dominant firms to justify  
 pricing practices, 26–27  
*Intel Judgment* as 'effects-based' and  
 'economic'  
 'economically sound' approach,  
 18  
 'laissez-faire' approach, 21  
 price competition, 20  
 uniform prices, 19  
 principal, 12–13  
 protection of the discovery value of  
 competition, 22–23  
 self-interest of lawyers and economists,  
 27–28  
 use of presumptive per se rules to  
 preserve undistorted competition  
 EAGCP report, 17  
 'welfarist' approach, 16  
 usurpation of economics by the welfarist  
 approach  
 monopoly leverage theory/Chicago  
 critique, 14–15  
 Nobel Prize economists, 16