

Subject Index

A

Akzo Nobel Chemicals and Akros Chemicals v. Commission, 26

All India Online Vendors Association (AIOVA), 408–409

All India Organisation of Chemists and Druggists (AIOCD), 288, 296

Amazon

AmazonBasics, 402

Amazon Prime, 403

Cloudtail, 393, 397–398, 401

corporate structure, 397

data exploitation as e-commerce platform, 401–402

exclusivity, 394

FBA, 404–405

GoP Business Model, 399–400, 402–405

integration across multiple business lines, 404–405

investigations against, 407–409

Pillow Pets, 401

Press Note violation, 391–392

TISPRO Regulations violation, 391

willingness to forgo profits, 402–403

AML. *See* Anti-monopoly Law (AML)

AM & S Europe v. Commission, 15–17, 24

Anti-cartel, 54

Antimonopoly Act, 180–183

Anti-monopoly Commission (AMC), 512

Anti-monopoly enforcement authorities (AMEAs), 498, 509–510

Anti-monopoly Law (AML), 499, 510, 512–519, 532–533

Antitrust Criminal Penalty Enhancement and Reform Act, 38

Antitrust Damages Directive 2014/104/EU, 347

Antitrust laws, 7, 36, 44, 47, 49, 51, 70, 261–281, 473, 530

Antitrust Modernization Commission, 475

Articles 101 and 102 TFEU enforcement
balance between fundamental rights and effective
balancing exercise, 17–22
contribution of, 14–15
legal professional privilege, 15–17
reconciliation of, 17–22

by EC

companies as targets, 7–8

enforcement powers, 6–7

EU Courts, role of, 11–12

fundamental procedural rights, 8–9

fundamental procedural rights in EU law, 9–11

Hearing Officer, role of, 12–14

by EU Member States, 22

granting procedural rights above EU level, 24–34

reducing procedural rights below EU level, 22–24

overview, 5–6

Asnef-Equifar v. Ausbanc, 205–206

Attorney-client privilege
 Germany, 364–366
 US, 363–364
 AT&T–Time Warner case, 142
 Audio-visual industry. *See* US media
 industry, mergers in
 Avastin case. *See* Roche/Novartis case

B

Banking Regulation Act, 412
 Behavioural economics, 377–379
 and breaking-up big tech companies,
 379–382
 Better Regulation Guidelines, 197–198
 Big tech companies
 breaking-up, 373–383
 self-preferencing and, 418
 Bilateral trade agreements, 524, 531–537,
 540–541
 Blackouts, 145–147
 Bounded rationality, 377–379
 Breaking-up big tech companies, 373–383
 Brexit and competition law
 government's commitment to
 competition and, 111–112
 businesses *vs.* consumers, interests of,
 112–114
 public interest, role of, 114–116
 implications of analysis, 126
 CMA leadership, 127–128
 imperative and voluntary changes,
 128–132
 industrial strategy, 126–127
 politics, role of, 132
 industrial policy, 116–118
 institutional aspects, 120
 CMA, 120–124
 sector regulators, 124–126
 likelihood of reform, 108–110
 overview, 107–108
 regulations, prospects of, 119–120
 UK and EU, future relationship (*see*
 UK–EU relationship)

Bronner, 422, 428, 438, 440–442

C

Capabilities approach of well-being, 204–
 205
 Cardinal proportionality, 65
 Cartel
 academic training and, 55
 anti-cartel, 54
 cognitive biases, 44, 50–51
 corporate fraud, 39, 45–47, 52, 58
 cost/benefit analysis, 37
 balancing benefits and costs, 45–47
 detection probability, 43–44
 participation benefits, 41–43
 participation costs, 37–41
 ‘Cracking down on cartels’ campaign,
 54
 damages standing rights, 211–216
 disciplining levers, managers, 47
 external, 53–60
 internal, 48–53
 effects on trade tariffs, 522
 external company solutions, 53
 competition culture, 54–55
 criminal sanctions, 55–58
 whistle-blower procedures, 58–60
 fines (*see* Proportionality of cartel fines)
 gender difference and, 46
 global cartel enforcement, 61–86
 internal company solutions, 48
 compliance and internal audit
 programs, 50–51
 corporate culture and management
 methods, 52–53
 layoff, 49–50
 remuneration, 49
 media coverage of, 54–55
 morality and, 46–47
 as OFT enforcement, 123
 overview, 35–36
 policy makers and, 55
 price controls and, 288–290

- risk aversion in, 45–46
- temporal effect and, 45
- Cartel effects and right to damages
 - economic analysis, 216
 - coherence and optimal competition damages, 219–225
 - damages and overcharge, 226–231
 - framework, 216–219
- EU law framework, 231–233
 - competition damages actions, objectives of, 234–238
 - consequences, 239–240
 - corrective justice, 237–238
 - deterrent effect, 234–237
 - effectiveness, principle of, 238–239
 - regulatory choices, 233–234
- overview, 209–213
- policy issue, 213–216
- CBA. *See* Cost-benefit analysis (CBA)
- Charter of Fundamental Rights of the EU (Charter/CFREU), 9–11
- Chicago Digital Competition Report, 380
- China, injunctive relief of SEPs in
 - AML violation, 514–519
 - approaches, 513–514
 - evolving landscape on, 509–513
 - proposals within AML, 513–519
- CJEU. *See* Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU)
- CK Telecoms UK Investments v. Commission*, 447–471
- Clayton Act, 141, 473–474, 476, 481, 485–487
- Cloudtail, 393, 397–398, 401
- CMA. *See* Competition and Market Authority (CMA)
- Code of Federal Regulations, 480
- Comcast–NBCU case, 140–141
- Comfort letter
 - administrative, 308
 - COVID-19, 313–314
 - definition, 307
 - demise of, 309
 - guidance letters, 311–312
 - self-assessment, 310
- generalized system of, 315–318
- overview, 305–306
- Regulation 1/2003, 309–312
- Regulation 17, 307–309
- revival of, 313–314
- rise of, 307–309
- Temporary Framework, 306, 313, 317
- Commission v. Icap and Others*, 11–12
- Community law, general principles of, 10
- Competition Act 1998 (CA1998), 108–109, 111–112, 124, 130–131, 244–247, 249, 255
- Competition Act 2002, 286, 398–399, 412–414
- Competition and Market Authority (CMA), 41, 54, 59, 108–109, 120, 243, 249
 - bilateral cooperation between DG Comp and, 252–258
 - enforcement agenda, 122–124
 - leadership of, 127–128
 - management issue, 120–121
 - sector regulators and, 124–126, 251
- Competition Commission (CC), 109, 120
- Competition Commission of India (CCI), 288, 395, 399–400, 405–412
- Competition Disqualification Orders, 57
- Competition law
 - Brexit and UK, 107–133, 241–259
 - e-commerce entities and, 399
 - Amazon (case study), 399–405
 - case analysis, 405–409
 - conflict of interest, prevention, 411–413
 - legislative framework, 410–414
 - recoupment requirement, 413–414
 - remedying mischief under, 411
- EU (*see* EU competition law)
- formalistic approach to, 319–345
- and injunctions on SEPs (*see* Injunctions on SEPs)

- trade and, 521–542
 - Competition (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019, 128, 245
 - Competitive Impact Statement, 141
 - Consequentialist proportionality, 65–66, 75–76
 - Consumer welfare standard, 196–199
 - Content access providers (CAP), 139
 - foreclosure threats for, 145
 - Content providers (CoP), 139
 - Contextual object test, 268–270, 278–280
 - Contingent valuation, 200
 - Cost-based price controls, 286
 - Cost-benefit analysis (CBA), 194, 196, 205
 - cartel, 37
 - balancing benefits and costs, 45–47
 - detection probability, 43–44
 - participation benefits, 41–43
 - participation costs, 37–41
 - economic, 200–201
 - Courage v. Crehan*, 209–210, 231, 234–235
 - Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU), 192–196, 198–199, 205, 244, 265, 319–320, 326–328, 338–343
 - COVID-19, 257, 305–306
 - comfort letter, 313–314
 - Temporary Framework, EC, 306, 313, 317
 - COVID-19 Cooperation, 313–314
 - COVID-20, 305–318
 - Culpability correction, 80–81
 - Customer foreclosure, 146, 154
- D**
- Deep bilateralism, 540–541
 - Department for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy (BEIS), 116
 - Department of Justice (DOJ), 507
 - clearance process, 480–482, 496
 - merger regulation
 - Merger Guidelines, 482–485
 - mergers, types, 485–488
 - remedies, 489–494
 - overview, 474–477
 - DG COMP. *See* Directorate General for Competition of the European Commission (DG COMP)
 - Directive on Actions for Competition Damages, 211–212, 228, 231, 233, 238–239
 - Directorate General for Competition of the European Commission (DG COMP), 12, 249–250, 252–258
 - Disclosure
 - breach, consequences of, 368–371
 - conditions of, 357–361
 - costs of, 361–363
 - in Europe, 351
 - under EU Damages Directive, 353–355
 - in Germany, 351
 - attorney-client privilege, 364–366
 - breach, consequences of, 369–371
 - claimant's right, 358–359
 - costs on claimants, 362
 - damages liability, 369–370
 - defendant's right, 360
 - implementation, 355–357
 - obstruction of evidence, 370–371
 - requirements under German law, 358–360
 - restrictions on disclosure, 356
 - right to disclosure, 355–356
 - § 33g GWB, 355–356, 358–361, 368
 - § 33g (6) GWB, 365–366
 - § 33g (8) GWB, 369–371
 - §§ 142 ff. ZPO, 352
 - § 242 BGB, 352–353
 - vs.* US, 360–363, 367, 371
 - overview, 347–349
 - protections against, 363–367
 - in US, 349–350
 - attorney-client privilege, 363–364
 - breach, consequences of, 368–369
 - immense cost risk for defendants, 361–362

- protections in, 363–364
 - requirements under US law, 358
 - vs.* Germany, 360–363, 367, 371
 - work-product doctrine, 364
- Discounting, 201
- DOJ. *See* Department of Justice (DOJ)
- Draft National e-commerce Policy, 412
- Drug Price Control Order (DPCO), 285
- Dual enforcement agencies
 - clearance process, 480–482, 496
 - DOJ, 476–477
 - FTC, 477–480
- E**
- eBay* case, 508
- ECN. *See* European Competition Network (ECN)
- ‘ECN+ Directive’ (Directive 2019/1), 22
- E-commerce entities
 - Amazon
 - AmazonBasics, 402
 - Amazon Prime, 403
 - Cloudtail, 393, 397–398, 401
 - corporate structure, 397
 - data exploitation as e-commerce platform, 401–402
 - exclusivity, 394
 - FBA, 404–405
 - GoP Business Model, 399–400, 402–405
 - integration across multiple business lines, 404–405
 - investigations against, 407–409
 - Pillow Pets, 401
 - Press Note violation, 391–392
 - TISPRO Regulations violation, 391
 - willingness to forgo profits, 402–403
 - and competition law, 399
 - Amazon (case study), 399–405
 - case analysis, 405–409
 - conflict of interest, prevention, 411–413
 - legislative framework, 410–414
 - recoupment requirement, 413–414
 - remedying mischief under, 411
 - definition, 387
 - dynamic pricing model, 413
 - and FDI norms
 - amendment, 387–389
 - circumvention of, 394–398
 - Press Note ambiguities, 390–394
 - primer, 385–387
 - remedying mischief under, 398–399
 - Flipkart
 - acquisition by Walmart, 405–407
 - exclusivity, 394
 - investigations against, 407–409
 - TISPRO Regulations violation, 386, 391
 - inventory-based model, 386, 390
 - marketplace-based model, 386
 - predatory pricing, 413–414
 - Press Note
 - cashbacks and non-exclusivity, 394
 - equity participation, 393–394
 - post-Press Note scenario, 396–398
 - pre-Press Note scenario, 395–396
 - 25% sales *vs.* 25% purchases, 390–393
- Economic and Monetary Committee of the European Parliament, 27
- Economic CBA, 200–201
- Elimination of double marginalization (EDM), 150–151
- Ends proportionality, 66
- Enterprise Act 2002 (EA2002), 38, 108–109, 111–112, 114–115, 117, 122, 124, 130–131, 249
- Enterprise and Regulatory Reform Act 2013 (ERRA2013), 109, 120, 122, 124–125, 130
- Error cost framework, 159
- Essential Commodities Act (ECA), 285
- EU competition law, 109–111, 524–525
 - hybrid restraints/tests under, 261–281
 - principle of effectiveness, 238–239

- and UK competition law (*see* UK-EU relationship)
 - welfare standard under, 196–199
 - EU Courts, 11–12
 - EU Exit Regulations, 246
 - EU law
 - framework for cartel effects and right to damages, 234–238
 - fundamental procedural rights in, 9–11
 - legal professional privilege in, 16
 - EU Member States
 - Articles 101 and 102 TFEU
 - enforcement by, 22–34
 - State aid, 87–106
 - EU merger control
 - burden and standard of proof, 464–466
 - development
 - Commission, 466–468
 - EUMR, 470–471
 - General Court, 468–470
 - host mobile virtual operator, 463–464
 - network sharing, 461–463
 - overview, 447–448
 - in retail markets, 457
 - closeness of competition, 459
 - competitive constraint, 457–459
 - overall assessment, 459–460
 - SIEC test, 449, 530, 539
 - closeness of competition, 452–453
 - significantly in, 449–451
 - UPP test and efficiencies, 453–457
 - EU Merger Regulation (EUMR), 315, 317, 449–450, 470–471
 - Europe
 - disclosure in, 351, 353–355
 - pay for delay in, 172–175
 - European Coal and Steel Community (ECSC Treaty), 198
 - European Commission (EC), 72, 305
 - Articles 101 and 102 TFEU
 - enforcement by
 - companies as targets, 7–8
 - enforcement powers, 6–7
 - EU Courts, role of, 11–12
 - fundamental procedural rights, 8–9
 - fundamental procedural rights in EU law, 9–11
 - Hearing Officer, role of, 12–14
 - and cartel fines, 83
 - comfort letter (*see* Comfort letter)
 - guidance letter, 311–312
 - Informal Guidance Notice, 311
 - informational asymmetry between
 - Member States and, 95–96
 - State aid law, 87–88
 - Temporary Framework for COVID-19, 306, 313, 317
 - European Competition Network (ECN), 5–34, 124, 249, 252
 - European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR), 11, 21
 - European Court of Justice (ECJ), 209–210, 231, 238
 - European Economic Area (EEA), 16, 143
 - European Economic Community (EEC Treaty), 198
 - EU-Switzerland cooperation agreement, 254–255
 - Exclusionary abuse, 530
 - Exploitative abuse, 530
- F**
- Fair, reasonable and non-discriminatory (FRAND) terms, 498, 518
 - FBA. *See* Fulfilment-by-Amazon (FBA)
 - FDI. *See* Foreign direct investment (FDI)
 - Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (FRCP), 349–350
 - Federal Trade Commission (FTC), 508
 - clearance process, 480–482, 496
 - Merger Guidelines, 482–485
 - merger remedies, 489–494
 - overview, 474–480
 - Federal Trade Commission Act (FTC Act), 473–474, 477–480
 - Flipkart

- acquisition by Walmart, 405–407
- exclusivity, 394
- investigations against, 407–409
- TISPRO Regulations violation, 386, 391
- Foreclosure, 143–147, 425
- Foreign direct investment (FDI), 385–399
- Foreign Exchange Management Act, 407
- Fox–Walt Disney case, 142–143
- FRAND. *See* Fair, reasonable and non-discriminatory (FRAND) terms
- FRAND-encumbered SEP injunctions. *See* Injunctions on SEPs
- Free trade agreements (FTAs), 525, 531–533
 - competition law in, 539–540
 - Korea–EU FTA, 532
 - substantive competition law in, 537–539
- French Competition Authority, 54, 57–58
- FTAs. *See* Free trade agreements (FTAs)
- FTC. *See* Federal Trade Commission (FTC)
- FTC v. Actavis*, 165–172
- Fulfilment-by-Amazon (FBA), 404–405
- Fundamental procedural rights, 5–34
- G**
- Gap cases, 449
- General Block Exemption Regulation, 90
- Germany, disclosure in, 351
 - attorney–client privilege, 364–366
 - breach, consequences of, 369–371
 - claimant’s right, 358–359
 - costs on claimants, 362
 - damages liability, 369–370
 - defendant’s right, 360
 - implementation, 355–357
 - obstruction of evidence, 370–371
 - requirements under German law, 358–360
 - restrictions on disclosure, 356
 - right to disclosure, 355–356
 - § 33g GWB, 355–356, 358–361, 368
 - § 33g (6) GWB, 365–366
 - § 33g (8) GWB, 369–371
 - §§ 142 ff. ZPO, 352
 - § 242 BGB, 352–353
 - vs.* US, 360–363, 367, 371
- Global cartel enforcement, 61–86
- Google Shopping, 418, 423, 437–438, 440–441
- GoP Business Model. *See* Growth over Profit (GoP) Business Model
- Gross-adds share, 457–458
- Gross domestic product (GDP), 203
- Growth over Profit (GoP) Business Model, 399–400, 402–405
- Guidance letters, 311–312
- Guidelines for Patent Infringement Determination, 511
- H**
- Harm-irrelevant retributivists, 64
- Hart–Scott–Rodino (HSR) Act, 475, 481
- Hart–Scott–Rodino Antitrust Improvement Act, 482–483
- Hatch Waxman Act, 165–166, 178–179
- Hearing Officer, 12–14
- Herd effect, 378–379, 381–382
- Herfindahl–Hirschman Index (HHI), 484
- Heuristics, 377
- Horizontal Cooperation Guidelines, 193, 316
- Horizontal Merger Guidelines, 415, 448–450, 452, 454–455, 467, 482–485
- Horizontal restraints, 271
- Host mobile virtual operator, 463–464
- Huawei v. InterDigital*, 510, 513
- Huawei v. ZTE*, 505–507, 511, 514
- Human well-being (HWB), 196, 199
 - analysis in Article 101(3) TFEU, 205
 - capabilities, 206–207
 - discount rate, 205–206
 - weighing and balancing, 207
 - objective, 196, 203

- capabilities approach, 204–205
- resourcist approach, 203
- subjective, 196, 199–200
 - economic CBA, 200–201
 - WBA, 198, 202
- Hybrid restraints/tests
 - accuracy and administrability rules, 262
 - EU competition law, 265–270
 - US antitrust law, 262–265
 - overview, 261–262
 - vertical price restraints with horizontal effect, 270
 - assessment of, 275–280
 - under contextual object test, 278–280
 - horizontal/vertical dichotomy, 271–273
 - hybrid, 273–275
 - under structured rule of reason, 276–278

I

- ICA. *See* Italian Competition Authority (ICA)
- India
 - e-commerce entities, mischief remedy, 385–414
 - price controls in, 283–299
- Indian Drugs and Cosmetics Act, 289
- Industrial policy, 116–118
- In-house lawyers, 16, 26–31
- Injunctions on SEPs
 - China, injunctive relief in
 - AML violation, 514–519
 - approaches, 513–514
 - evolving landscape on, 509–513
 - proposals within AML, 513–519
 - competition concerns, 499–502
 - EU enforcement, 502
 - Huawei v. ZTE*, 505–507
 - Motorola and Samsung* cases, 503–505
 - Orange Book Standard* case, 502–503

- overview, 497–499
- US enforcement, 507–509
- Input foreclosure strategy, 425
- Institutional economics, 156–158
- Integrated content providers (ICP), 139
- Intellectual Property Basic Act, 181
- Intellectual property rights (IPRs), 498, 501, 512–513
- Intellectual property system, 180–181
- International cartel fines, proportionality
 - current practices of sanctioning, 76–79
 - overall, 83–85
 - shortcomings, 79–82
- International Competition Network (ICN), 62, 68
- International Raw Material (IRM), 213–215
- Italian Competition Authority (ICA), 324–325

J

- Japan Fair Trade Commission (JFTC), 164, 179
- Japan, pay for delay in, 164–165
 - under Antimonopoly Law, 180–183
 - intellectual property system, 180–181
 - likelihood of, 175–180
 - MHLW notification policy, 175–180
 - regulatory framework, 175–177
 - strategy, 177–180
- Joint venture, 315

K

- Korea–EU FTA, 532

L

- Legal persons, 31–34
- Legal professional privilege, 15–17, 23–24
 - for in-house lawyers, 16, 26–31
- Lisbon Treaty, 10
- Lucentis, 322–323, 325–326, 329–334, 336–338, 342

M

- Market-based price controls, 286–287
- Means proportionality, 66
- Medicines for Europe (MfE), 306, 313–314
- Mergers
 - control, 530
 - in EU, 447–471
 - in US, 473–495, 530
 - dual enforcement agencies, 476–482
 - in e-commerce entities, 411–413
 - in US media industry, 135–161
- MFN. *See* Most-Favoured Nation (MFN)
- Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare (MHLW), 175–180
- Monopoly Regulation and Fair Trade Act (MRFTA), 532–533
- Most-Favoured Nation (MFN), 271, 278–279, 306
 - retail-MFN, 273–275
 - RPM *vs.*, 274
 - structured rule of reason for assessment, 276–278
- Motion Picture Patents Company (MPPC), 137–138
- Motorola* case, 503–505

N

- National cartel fine, 68
 - objectives of, 70–73
 - proportionality, 75–76
 - retributive proportionality, 73–75
- National Company Law Appellate Tribunal (NCLAT), 405, 408–409
- National competition authorities (NCAs), 6
 - Articles 101 and 102 TFEU
 - enforcement by, 22–34
 - sustainability agreements, 189–190
- National List of Essential Medicines (NLEM), 285
- National Pharmaceutical Pricing Authority of India (NPPA), 285, 294

- National Pharmaceutical Pricing Policy (NPPP), 286
- Natural persons, 7–8, 32–33
- NBCU–Comcast case, 140–141
- NCAs. *See* National competition authorities (NCAs)
- No-AG agreement, 170
- Non-Horizontal Merger Guidelines, 418, 427, 462

O

- Objective well-being, 196, 203
 - capabilities approach, 204–205
 - resourcist approach, 203
- Office of Administrative Law Judges (ALJ), 479
- Office of Fair Trading (OFT), 109, 120–124, 130
- Orange Book Standard* case, 502–503
- Ordinal proportionality, 65

P

- Paramount Decision, 138–139
- Parsimony, principle of, 66, 75, 81
- Patent settlements. *See* Pay for delay settlements
- Pay for delay settlements
 - in Europe, 172–175
 - in Japan, 164–165
 - under Antimonopoly Law, 180–183
 - intellectual property system, 180–181
 - likelihood of, 175–180
 - MHLW notification policy, 175–180
 - regulatory framework, 175–177
 - strategy, 177–180
 - overview, 163–165
 - in United States, 165–172
- Pillow Pets, 401
- Predatory pricing, 413–414
- Premerger Notification Program, 482
- Press Note, 386
 - ambiguities
 - cashbacks and non-exclusivity, 394

- equity participation, 393–394
 - 25% sales *vs.* 25% purchases, 390–393
 - post-Press Note scenario, 396–398
 - pre-Press Note scenario, 395–396
 - Price ceilings, 284–286
 - anti-competitive practices and, 291–292
 - market-based, 286, 292
 - signalling effect, 292–294
 - Price controls
 - anti-competitive practices, 287–288
 - cartelization, 288–290
 - plus-factors, 294–297
 - price parallelism, 290–294
 - reduction strategy, 298–299
 - cost-based, 286
 - legal provisions, 286–287
 - market-based, 286–287
 - overview, 283–284
 - price ceilings, 284–286
 - anti-competitive practices and, 291–292
 - market-based, 286, 292
 - signalling effect, 292–294
 - Price fixing. *See* Cartel
 - Price parallelism, 290–294
 - Price Waterhouse Coopers (PWC), 40, 52
 - Pro-competition, 427–428
 - Proportionality
 - cardinal, 65
 - consequentialist, 65–66, 75–76
 - culpability correction, 80–81
 - ends, 66
 - legal theory, 63–67
 - means, 66
 - and multiple offences, 66–67
 - ordinal, 65
 - principle of, 63
 - retributive, 64–65, 73–75, 80–81
 - Proportionality of cartel fines
 - features, methodology, 68
 - absolute fine limit, 70
 - mitigating/aggravating circumstances, 70
 - relevant turnover, 68–69
 - international
 - current practices of sanctioning, 76–79
 - overall, 83–85
 - shortcomings, 79–82
 - national, 68
 - consequentialist, 75–76
 - deterrence and retributive objectives of, 70–73
 - retributive, 73–75
 - overview, 61–63
 - Punishment. *See* Proportionality
 - Purchaser case (PuC), 217
- Q**
- Quality of life, 198–199
- R**
- Raising-rivals' costs strategy, 148, 157
 - Regional trade agreements (RTAs), 524, 527, 534–537
 - Regulation 1/2003, 6–7, 27–28, 235, 306, 309–312, 318, 426
 - Regulation 17, 307–309, 314
 - Resale price maintenance (RPM), 271–272, 276–279
 - MFN *vs.*, 274
 - Retail-MFN, 273–275
 - Retributive proportionality, 64–65
 - culpability correction, 80–81
 - international cartel, 80–81
 - national cartel fines, 73–75
 - Revealed preference, 200
 - Risk aversion, 45–46
 - Roche/Novartis case, 320–321
 - analysis, 328–343
 - CJEU ruling, 326–328
 - competition
 - irreconcilability, 334

- licensing agreement and third parties, 332–334
- malgré-moi, 328–332
- factual background, 321–324
- infringement decision by ICA, 324–325
- preliminary ruling, 326
- unlawfulness issue, 334
 - CJEU position on, 338–343
 - factual background, 336–338
 - legal framework, 335–336
- RPM. *See* Resale price maintenance (RPM)
- RTAs. *See* Regional trade agreements (RTAs)
- S**
- Samsung* case, 503–505
- Self-preferencing
 - anticompetitive effects, 443–445
 - Bronner-Van den Bergh Foods doctrine, 438–442
 - bundling mechanism, 429
 - competition on merits, 421–424
 - competitive advantages, 421–424
 - definition, 418, 420–421
 - integration, 424–426
 - pro-competitive effects, 427–428, 440
 - as label, 418, 420
 - as legal category, 428–431
 - implications, 432–437
 - legal tests, 437–438
 - analysis of effects, 442–445
 - indispensability, 438–442
 - overview, 417–420
 - tying mechanism, 429, 431–437
 - varieties of, 432–437
- Separate seller case (SSC), 217
- SEPs. *See* Standard essential patents (SEPs)
- Sherman Act, 38, 181, 261–262, 265, 275, 279, 473, 476–477, 480
- SIEC test. *See* Substantial impediment of effective competition (SIEC) test
- Standard essential patents (SEPs), 498
 - injunctions on (*see* Injunctions on SEPs)
- Standard of living, 198
- Standard setting organizations (SSOs), 498, 501, 518
- State Administration for Market Regulation (SAMR), 499
- State aid
 - assessment, 103–104
 - law, 87–88
 - optimal assessment rule
 - accuracy, components of, 101–102
 - clarity, 104–106
 - errors and complexity, 100–102
 - suboptimal, 103–106
 - types, 100–101
 - overview, 87–90
 - procedure, 92, 99–100
 - formal investigation, 94–95
 - informational asymmetries in, 95–99, 103–105
 - preliminary examination, 92–95, 103–105
 - stages of, 92–95
 - soft law, 91, 105
 - substantive provision, 90–92
 - Type 1 error, 92
 - Type 2 error, 92, 98
- Stated preference, 200
- Structural cooperative joint ventures, 307–308
- Subjective well-being, 196, 199–200
 - economic CBA, 200–201
 - WBA, 198, 202
- Substantial impediment of effective competition (SIEC) test, 449, 457, 460, 463–464, 470, 530, 532–533, 539
 - analytical framework, 449
 - closeness of competition, 452–453
 - significantly in, 449–451
 - UPP test and efficiencies, 453–457
- Substantial lessening of competition (SLC) test, 530, 539

Substantive state aid provisions, 90–92
 Supplier case (SuC), 217
 Sustainability agreement, quantifying benefits
 Article 101 TFEU, legal overview, 192–196
 HWB, 199
 analysis in Article 101(3) TFEU, 205–207
 capabilities approach, 204–205
 economic CBA, 200–201
 objective, 203–205
 resourcist approach, 203
 subjective, 199–202
 WBA, 198, 202
 overview, 189–192
 welfare standard under EU competition law, 196–199

T

Technical access providers (TAP), 139
 TFEU. *See* Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU)
 Throttling, 157
 Time Warner–AT&T case, 142
 TISPRO Regulations, 385–386, 390, 397
 T-Mobile/Tele-Ring, 449, 457
 Trade and competition law
 bilateral agreements, 524, 531–537, 540–541
 FTAs, 525, 531–533, 537–539
 harmonization
 bilateral and regional cooperation, 534–537
 of competition laws, 534–539
 FTAs, substantive competition law in, 537–539
 localized, 524–525, 541
 international trade, issues
 bilateralism and choice of standards, 531–534
 national competition laws and, 526–529

 substantive competition rules
 convergence, 529–531
 overview, 521–526
 RTAs, 524, 527, 534–537
 substantive competition rules
 anti-competitive agreements, 529
 convergence of, 529–531, 535
 dominant position, abuse of, 529–530
 in FTAs, 537–539
 merger control, 530
 voluntary competition legislation, 527–528
 Treaty on European Union (TEU), 10–11
 Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU)
 Article 101
 enforcement, 5–34
 HWB analysis in, 205–207
 legal overview of, 192–196
 sustainability agreement benefits under, 189–208
 Article 102, enforcement, 5–34
 Type 1 error, 92, 159, 470
 Type 2 error, 92, 98, 159, 470
 Tyrie Proposals, 129–131

U

UK competition law, Brexit and, 107–133, 241–259
 UK Competition Network (UKCN), 124–125
 UK-EU relationship
 bilateral cooperation, 252, 257–259
 formal, 253
 forms, 254–256
 informal, 253–254
 dimensions, 243–244
 competition authorities, 249–252
 competition law, 244–249, 256–259
 no-deal scenario, 245–246
 post-Brexit, 245–249
 pre-Brexit, 244

overview, 241–243

UK Fair Trading Act 1973, 114

United Kingdom European Union
Membership Referendum, 241, 244

United States
Antitrust Division, 78, 142–143, 476
antitrust law, 261–281, 473, 530
disclosure in, 349–350
attorney-client privilege, 363–364
breach, consequences of, 368–369
immense cost risk for defendants,
361–362
protections in, 363–364
requirements under US (case) law,
358
vs. Germany, 360–363, 367, 371
work-product doctrine, 364
enforcement and injunctions on SEPs,
507–509
merger regulation, 473–495, 530
pay for delay in, 165–172
Sentencing Guidelines, 74
Upward pricing pressure (UPP) test, 453–
457
US – Germany Antitrust Accord of 1976,
525
US media industry, mergers in
AT&T–Time Warner case, 142
blackout threats, 145–147
Comcast–NBCU case, 140–141
economic theories in analysis of, 143
coordinated effects, 154–156
entry barriers, 151–152
foreclosure, 143–147
innovation, effects on, 152–154
institutional economics, 156–158
interdependency of regulations, 156–
158
pricing strategies, 148–151
error cost framework, 159
financer-distributor, 138–139

market development and structure for,
137–140
net neutrality regulation, 156–158
overview, 135–137
raising-rivals' costs strategy, 148, 157
research questions, 158–161
vertical integration of content delivery,
138–139
Walt Disney–Fox case, 142–143
US Patent and Trademark Office
(USPTO), 507

V

Van den Bergh Foods, 438–442, 445
Vertical Block Exemption Regulation,
278
Vertical foreclosure, 144, 151
Vertical Guidelines, 278
Vertical price restraints, 271
with horizontal effect, 270
assessment of, 275–280
under contextual object test, 278–
280
horizontal/vertical dichotomy, 271–
273
hybrid, 273–275
under structured rule of reason, 276–
278
Vertical restraints, 271, 273

W

Walmart, 405–407
Walt Disney–Fox case, 142–143
Webb–Pomerene Act, 214
Well-being analysis (WBA), 198, 200
Whistle-blower, 58–60
Withdrawal Act 2018, 128
Work-product doctrine, 364

Z

Zero-rating, 157

